



## **And all shall have prizes?: Assessing Group Learning**

Patrick Barlow, CATL  
April 15, 2011



At last the Dodo said,  
'*everybody* has won, and all  
must have prizes.'

Retrieved 4 11/2011 from <http://ebooks.adelaide.edu.au/c/carroll/lewis/alice/index.html>  
holder of a Creative Commons License. eBooks@Adelaide, The University of Adelaide  
Library, University of Adelaide, South Australia 5005



# Assessing Group Learning

- Plan for today:
  - 2008 NSSE results on group work
  - Identification of some potential assessment challenges
  - Review of your current use of and satisfaction with group learning techniques
  - Review of some strategies/tools that may aid in assessment of group learning



# 2008 NSSE Responses

- First year students report lower frequency of IN-CLASS group projects than our system, Carnegie, and National comparison groups. Seniors are not meaningfully different.
- First year and Senior students report higher frequency of OUT of CLASS group projects than all 3 comparison groups.
- Seniors report a positive impact of UWL experience on ability to “work effectively with others” at levels above all 3 comparison groups.



# Some Assessment Challenges

- Identification of students' pre-course level of the abilities/skills/knowledge you hope to impact in your course.
- Identification of your focus of the assessment on the knowledge, skills, attitudes you hope to build through via your group work
- Collection of information on group process and product (focus on both?, who provides the data?)
- Use of assessment to help improve group techniques (formative) vs. assessment of end result of impact of technique (summative)
- Getting the overall picture of impact from a series of groups vs focus on the exemplary/dysfunctional extremes



# Current Use and Issues

1. How and why do you use group learning in your classes?
2. How do you currently assess group learning?
3. In what ways are you satisfied or dissatisfied with your current assessment strategies?



# Some Tools

- Group Learning Strategy: Small Group Discussion
  - Tool: Discussion Evaluation Form (Cerbin, 2010) completed by students
  - Delivery: Prior to use of discussion and few choice points in course
- Group Learning Strategy: Ongoing Team Project
  - Tool: Teamwork Rubric (Jiles, Huba, et al, 2000) for students and/or instructor
  - Delivery: Before group begins work and ongoing monitoring
- Group Learning Strategy: Peer Review of Writing
  - Peer Review Record (Phillips & Ahrenhoerster, 2009)
  - Delivery: Each peer review cycle



# Some Other Resources

- Identify problems in group work and solutions:  
<http://www.cmu.edu/teaching/solveproblem/step1-problem/index.html>
  - (Check area for Group Skills and Dynamics)
- Design of Group Learning Strategies: Collaborative Learning Techniques, Barkley, Cross, & Major (2005) available from CATL
- Grading Issues:  
<http://www.cmu.edu/teaching/assessment/assesslearning/groupWork.html>

