

Unjustified answers will be treated with skepticism. Remember, this class is more about the language than the mathematical answer. Your answers should reflect that emphasis.

- The following truth table is set up to prove that two distinct sentences (shown as blank headings in the table) are both logically equivalent to $H \implies C$. Fill in the blank with the missing sentences.

(Hint: One of the missing headings uses the connective “or,” and the other is called the “contrapositive.”)

H	C	$H \implies C$	not H	_____	not C	_____
T	T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

- Compare and contrast the contrapositive of $H \implies C$ with the converse of $H \implies C$. Which one(s) is/are logically equivalent to the statement $H \implies C$?

- Suppose you are given the fact: $A \implies (B \text{ and } C)$.
 - Can you conclude that either B is true or C is true? Can you conclude that both B and C are true? Explain.
 - The theorem on two conclusions gives us a way to break apart the given fact ($A \implies (B \text{ and } C)$) into two separate implications. How?

- If $(A \text{ or } B) \implies C$, what can you say about A and B separately? (Be precise in your language.)
- Give a verbal example to illustrate how the *converse* of $A \implies B$ is related to $A \implies B$ itself.
- Re-express the sentence “ $A \iff B$ ” as a compound statement, as the theorem on “if and only if” allows.