



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN – LA CROSSE
STUDENT ASSOCIATION

235 CARTWRIGHT CENTER 1725 STATE STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8717

Student Senate Minutes

Date: November 7th, 2007

Time and Location: 6pm in Cartwright 339

- I. Call to Order
- II. Role Call
 - a. Present: Allen, Armstrong, Bayer, Baalbaki, Cerwin, Csargo, DeShong, Fuhrmann, Grosheck, Guidote, Hammen, Holzem, Kahl, Kohl-Riggs, Klein, Klotz, Langhoff, Liebzeit, Lynch, McCaigue, McManus, Moua, Navarre, Putnam, Rome, Ruplinger, Sackmann, Savaglio, Schooley, Trimborn, VanWinkle, Wallace, Cooper, Frenner (left early), Syafitri
 - b. Absent: Vang (excused)
 - c. Others: Bergman, Cikara, Ludwig, Perket, Ringgenberg, Wilson
 - d. Guests: Carmen Wilson, Gubbi Sudhakaran, Bob Hetzel, Paula Knudson, Jacie Gamroth, Adam Conlin
- III. Approval of Minutes
 - a. Sackmann/ Ruplinger
 - b. Approved.
- IV. Approval of Agenda
 - a. Hammen/Cerwin
 - b. Amendments
 - i. Executive Board Resolution in new business as line item H.
 - ii. Carmen Wilson to talk about faculty senate and position on Growth Quality and Access.
 - c. Approved.
- V. Guest Speakers
 - a. Carmen Wilson
 - i. It was unanimously approved by senate at the faculty meeting. UWL is in a tough spot, short some funds.
 - ii. Have highest student faculty ratio
 - iii. Faculty here always steps up for students.
 - iv. If there is not a light at the end of the tunnel soon we are going to see many leaving. They get paid less and work much more. Cant keep it up and need to find some other funds.
 - v. If I had to pay more for my daughter to come here I would.
 - vi. Faculty senate pleads with you to approve proposal, we have to find more ways to find new funds.
 - b. Gubbi Sudhakaran, Physics Department Chair, Coordinator of NCUR 2009
 - i. Undergrad research conference.
 - ii. It is the largest conference UWL will be hosting.

- iii. April 16th-18th 2009.
- iv. Mission is to promote undergrad research, not limited to sciences.
There are several challenges. Here to ask for help
 - 1. We need rooms for the presentations. We need 50-60 rooms during class days. Presented to faculty senate, they said they would incorporate it into classes.
 - 2. We will have 3 speakers. Thinking of having them present in gym. The suggestion was we should use the REC Center instead. We need to use it for 3 days. Students said this is something they would like to host.
- v. Would like to hear about your views on classes on those few days.
 - 1. Would the 50-60 rooms be spread out or more directed towards science?
 - a. Will be spread.
 - 2. Don't need a big lecture hall?
 - a. Needs to hold 40 people.
 - 3. Support undergrad research, and support missing class.
 - 4. If teachers tell the students to come plus the people that are coming, will we have enough room?
 - a. I think we should be fine.
 - b. We do have the logo already accepted.
 - c. We have booked 1,400 hotel rooms. Imagine the economic impact on city.
 - 5. Provide info on mostly all majors correct?
 - a. Yes
 - 6. Thought about providing transportation from hotels and campus?
 - a. Working on it.
 - b. Planning activities so we can keep students on campus. We will be seeking help from you.
 - c. This is self supported, we will not be taking money from UWL.
 - d. UWL students will not have to pay to attend.
- c. Bob Hetzel, UW-L Vice Chancellor of Administration and Finance Paula Knudson, Dean of Students
 - i. Would like to talk about 2 resident halls being demolished as part of the plan for new academic building. Need to be thinking about whats going to happen when we loose 400 beds.
 - ii. Would like to replace those beds, we need to have broad representation across campus.
 - 1. What should they look like, where should they go?
 - iii. Would be in our best interest as an institution. This is critical to the fabric of this institution. It would be best to add resident halls on the campus to replace the 400 beds but also add more. We still have 15 RAs with roommates, we are very overcrowded. So we decided it

would be good to increase Res Halls. We have submitted a proposal for 500 beds.

1. we are not quite sure what it looks like right now.
 2. it would be a step up from traditional resident halls. Bathrooms would be shared by a few rooms, but there would not be a living area or kitchen.
 3. that is what is going before the state right now.
- iv. It is a long process. Chancellor has heard from a number of students. We hope to talk to people about financing options available to us.
1. we will probably the spend the first half of spring 2008 planning for the style and where they should go.
 2. after that we have to get approval from state.
 3. hope to break ground in spring of 2009. by fall of 2010 we will have new bed spaces.
 4. that's about as quick as we can do this.
- v. We know we want to have a student voice in process. We are on an aggressive timeline, this will be a very action oriented committee. We have identified some staff, RHAC, and are hoping to have representation from senate as well.
- vi. We also want to keep group size somewhat small. Proposing 2 reps from RHAC and one rep from senate.
- vii. Even though there is going to be a committee, we need to make sure it's not just the committee making decisions. Will be conducting open forums.
1. not only on new buildings, but also in looking long term on housing issue. Need to look at future.
- viii. Part of planning process is going to a conference in Orlando. Program called Housing for the 21st Century. Architects will be coming and talking about sustainability and recycling, wonderful concepts.
1. One of the walls will be like a big screen and will become your TV screen and computer.
 2. Also having moveable walls.
 3. Nanotechnology, having better sound proofing.
 - a. "housing of the future"
 - b. How can we incorporate that into our planning.
- ix. Questions
1. How long of a commitment are you looking for from the students?
 - a. All of spring semester for programming. Secondly summer and fall.
 2. a student can serve spring and then have a new student serve summer and fall?
 - a. Would like to have at least one or two that can be consistent throughout project.
 3. Any talk about where this is going to be?

- a. Look at all spaces and make a informed decision.
Master plan says will have to have a parking structure some day. We will look at green spaces. Look at north campus, but probably to athletic fields since they will be under construction. That's why it is a campus process.
- b. In these new structure(s), the office of residence life will be occupying one of them.
- 4. Clarify time line.
 - a. Other halls will go down in June of 09; new buildings will be up in 2010. We will be working on the time gap. There will be one year we will have to figure out where these 400 students will go.
- 5. Rates of off campus housing could rise.
 - a. Work with local landlords to accommodate the students. As soon as we get approval we will begin doing that.
- 6. How will it affect rates for incoming students?
 - a. Rates will go up.
 - b. It could be in the \$800 range. We are still working on it.
 - c. This is what is occurring on other campuses. Whitewater, Stevens Point, Oshkosh. It's a consequence of old buildings, they are functionally obsolete.
 - d. We want to minimize that impact as much as possible. It's very preliminary; we have lots of work to do.
- 7. With the tuition increase, how do you see that as being competitive with other campuses?
 - a. This doesn't affect tuition. The cost to live on campus will be higher.
 - i. But there will be more amenities and higher level of satisfaction.
 - ii. There will be a lower rate, a mid rate, and a high rate.
- 8. Have you thought about going up instead of out?
 - a. Student safety is very important, have to make sure safe for all students.
 - b. We will not get one penny from student tuition or the state. It is all user supported.
- 9. Whitney already has limited space.
 - a. That would be part of the conversation. Chartwell food service staff will be on board. Will only add beds by 100, but we do need to address that.
 - b. We have had more students than we do now on campus that had to eat here so we can do it.

10. Stevens Point kicks out all juniors on campus.
 - a. It's something to consider, but our upper classmen bring a lot of value to our campus community.
 - b. One of the best ways to destroy a community is to tell someone who wants to live with you that they cannot. I don't feel good about that type of option.
11. Unhealthy, cluttered, and unattractive to have to live in a dorm with 2 other students. Thought about cutting back on enrollment at all?
 - a. Every student contributes in tuition. If you cut back 100 students, it poses some financial problems.
 - b. Asked if there was a way to defer the demo of one of the buildings for a couple of months.
 - c. We will look at all of our options. Something will emerge that will work.
 - d. We are late to this and we have some work to do.
 - i. Lot of transition with administrative positions, but I don't think it's too late.
12. With the one year we will down, have we thought about not making freshman live on campus?
 - a. Yes. Policy is freshman have to live on campus if space is available.
 - b. Sophomores living on campus get a .4 higher GPA than those living off of campus.
13. We are saving 3 million dollars because the student to faculty ratio. Look at increasing height of building?
 - a. Made a decision to not raise level of building from student input.
 - b. If you go above 5 stories then you have to follow different construction codes.
14. Is there a certain distance for freshmen?
 - a. We don't have that.
15. When do you want that student on committee by?
 - a. You can give us a name and we will try and work with their schedule.
16. Would think it would be best to find someone that is very excited about it and would be in it long term.
 - a. These meetings aren't always in our control. Reality is we will have to have a meeting weekly and may want to have a student backup. Probably will be schedule conflict.
 - b. The next step is to select an architect and engineer. Until we know who the architect is we will not know when the meeting is.
17. As common sense, has there been any thought in changing the location of the academic building?

- a. The train has left the station; the academic building has been sighted. The answer is we can't move it. The campus master plan said the new academic building would go and has been approved by board of regents. We have asked and we are not able to do that. Our error is we were out of step, we should have been planning the new res halls at the same time. We are a yr late on it.
 - 18. Want to commend you for including students. We appreciate you coming and getting student input.
- d. Bob Hetzel, UW-L Vice Chancellor of Administration and Finance, New Academic Building Classroom Sub-Committee.
 - i. At some point we want to bring in what the building is looking like. We've got 4 floors mapped out. There are 35 classrooms in the building. Now the next part is to get your assistance with the design of the learning spaces. How should we be designing them as we move forward?
 - 1. What's the furniture going to look like? All the elements that contribute to the learning environment.
 - 2. We need help from students. Need at least one student.
 - ii. Questions
 - 1. How many students would a room like this hold?
 - a. 65.
 - 2. Looking for a more scientific person or anybody?
 - a. Anybody and would be helpful if you have been in more than one building.
 - b. I need someone that's got perspective on learning spaces that we currently have.
 - c. We need guidance on what makes a learning space creative.
- e. Jacie Gamroth, La Crosse City Council and La Crosse County Board Member
 - i. Apartment complex on Main and West Ave. decided not to tear down building.
 - ii. Should commercial projects come to city council to be passed? Thought it took away from trust of city professionals. I personally think it lengthens the red tape that businesses have to go through. I am trying to get things moving faster.
 - iii. Some thing good that came out of the conference is La Crosse is doing alright.
 - iv. County Board, big price tag on jail 29.5 million. It was passed. We were able to come together on the project; this is a nation wide issue. Jails are over populated in many other parts of our state. Jail staffing isn't qualified to deal with mentally ill inmates. I voted for it, it's a big problem and everyone is dealing with it.
 - v. They are trying to get younger elected officials.

- vi. On Monday and Tuesday we are having our budget hearing. Have issues to talk about:
 - 1. Alternate side parking: it brings in 25 thousand dollars in tickets.
 - a. Should the city bank on that much money coming in? they shouldn't be counting on that money for their budget.
 - i. It's about that much every year. They know they will get some money from that.
 - b. How has council looked at diagonal parking?
 - i. It will be talked about next month. I don't see how the city will be against it.
 - c. Hope the city wouldn't do something because they want the fine income. My concern with diagonal parking is plowing. City doesn't plow as well as they think. Beneficial if they are plowing on both sides of the street.
 - i. That's something I am still working on.
 - 2. Traffic intersections around campus.
 - a. Many stories with people almost getting run over. They have to do research on intersection and see if it actually needs it. It takes time.
 - i. Crossing guard on West Ave helps a lot.
 - 3. Should the city raise 1% bed tax to help with UWL stadium. Will raise tax on hotel.
 - a. As soon as stadium is done will tax be done?
 - i. Would last for 7 years.
 - b. In support of it, we could bring in a lot of money.
 - 4. Onalaska is doing the same thing.
 - a. We would all be in support of it, but do you know if it would affect conferences and people coming to La Crosse?
 - i. Is an issue we will be talking about.
 - b. Right now some room tax is 11.5%, still below other cities. Dells is 22%.
 - i. La Crosse is actually 7%, Onalaska is higher.
- vii. County board budget issues:
 - 1. Do you think Salvation Army should be funded by county? Issue of separation of church and state. Two lawsuits right now. However right now they are the only ones taking care of homeless.
 - a. If you fund one, how do you say no to another?
 - 2. Should the county fund UWL?
 - 3. Request for funding from Casa for Kids. They are the child's advocate. They are almost the same as what the county provides. But they do add a little more personal touch to it.

- f. Adam Conlin, Progressives Chair
 - i. Wanted to say thanks for listening to resolution.
 - ii. Been doing research on poverty rates. There was also concern on environmental issues.
- VI. Officer Reports
- a. Fred
 - i. Will go to Madison tomorrow. Having dinner with Riley, Growth Quality and Access will be brought up.
 - ii. Hope everyone is ready to make a decision.
 - iii. Will be leaving to Europe, forward questions to Perket.
 - b. Bjorn
 - i. Thank you for turning in alternative office hours.
 - ii. There is a CAPs committee meeting next Friday. They look over academic policy. If anyone here available at 2:15 this Friday afternoon please let me know.
 - iii. Having open forum on Nov 12th at 6pm on Growth Quality and Access.
 - iv. Next week Eric will be running meeting again.
 - v. Nov 21st no meeting.
 - c. Others
 - i. Ryan
 - 1. Cranking down on restructuring. Have different opinions, if anyone has any questions or opinions shoot us an email. Let us know what you think positions are about. Please get in contact with any of the Board of Directors.
 - ii. PR
 - 1. Go to the open forum.
 - iii. Gender Issues
 - 1. White Ribbon Campaign, we have many on board with us as well as local abuse shelter. Please look at cards and let me know what you think.
 - iv. Social Justice
 - 1. Next meeting next Monday at 7pm.
 - v. USSA
 - 1. EAT 23rd of Feb
 - vi. CFO
 - 1. Working on the budget. Next week meeting with Ryan to go over it.
 - vii. UC
 - 1. no report.
 - viii. City Affairs
 - 1. MTU meeting last night.
 - ix. Grass Roots
 - 1. No report.
- VII. RHAC Report
- a. Applications out to fill position.

VIII. Advisor Reports

- a. No report.

IX. Committee Report

- a. Budget and Planning

- i. Some questions asked was flat rate increase in system. Going to be applied in j term next year, will make motion to support it.

X. New Business

- a. UW-L Student Association Resolution Opposing the Xcel Rate Increase

- i. Hamenn/Ruplinger
 - ii. Everyone in support of this.
 - iii. I don't think students can afford increase.
 - iv. Discussion

- 1. Have amendments to add. Motion to amend to the last "where as".

- a. Groshek/Hamenn

- i. This would only strengthen our argument.

- b. Discussion

- i. The last where as, they have proved that nuclear energy is a lot more efficient. Recommend taking nuclear energy out.

- ii. Friendly amendment on taking out nuclear energy

- 1. Sackmann/Cooper

- a. A lot of benefits of nuclear energy, society don't understand the potential. Actually not a lot of hazards coming from it.
 - b. Worried we are making environmental recommendations, we are not experts.
 - c. Discussion on friendly amendment

- i. Sackman is not credible either.
 - ii. I don't like it because it trust Adams research.
 - iii. Nuclear energies positives is it is one of the most cost efficient. But we look over mining and disposal. I spent a week in Slovakia working with Green Peace and saw how nuclear energy extract destroys cities. It's happened in the US

where they take advantage of indigenous groups. Money doesn't even go back to the people. When it's disposed of it goes back to native lands. It doesn't break down so they put it in concrete bins.

- iv. Don't understand how nuclear energy is responsible, more efficient but not responsible.
 - v. Worried about safety. These things have a life of hundreds of years. Excel won to put it into Echo mountains.
 - vi. As far as taking it out, I am indifferent. When it comes to taking advantage of people, that is another discussion. did read an article about nuclear energy coming back.
 - vii. I'd like to go more towards renewable energy. Nuclear is not a renewable resource.
 - viii. Most people have made up there minds and don't want to get into an argument about it.
 - ix. If we are talking about investments, we are dealing with money and that's a different subject.
 - x. Call to question
 - xi. Vote
 - xii. Friendly amendment fails
- iii. Call to question
 - 1. Objection
 - iv. Friendly amendment to put last clause up one.
 - 1. Klotz/Cerwin.

- 2. Discussion
 - a. Call to question
 - i. Vote
 - ii. Acclimation
 - iii. Friendly amendment passes.
 - v. Call to question
 - 1. Point of info, spell first where as right.
 - 2. Vote
 - a. One abstention, passes.
- 2. Call to question
 - a. Objection, have another spelling error.
- 3. Call to question
 - a. Vote
 - i. Passes.
- b. Resolution Acknowledging UW-L's Current Budget Instability and Endorsing the Differential Tuition Proposal: Growth, Quality and Access
 - i. Hammen/Schooley
 - 1. I do have concerns about the whole thing. I am in support of what it's trying to do, just not how it's going about it. Not sure how financial aid would play out. If you can prove me wrong I welcome it. I think it's a bad image to the legislatures that we are going to differential tuition, why would they need to fund us? At this point in time I know there are no other means to do it.
 - 2. there is still the Access portion to this, we are still making this accessible to people who really need it. If we do pass this we need to look at other actions and what we are going to do to stop further tuition increases. We need to let them know we can't encourage our students to get jobs in WI.
 - 3. Did some hall council visits, a lot of residents concerned, didn't understand why we are passing this tonight if we are looking for input on Monday. It feels like it is a good idea, but maybe there were some steps that should have been taken before we got to this. Maybe there is a better solution.
 - 4. regardless of whatever student input we get, we as elected representatives can make an educated decisions. It is important to pass something like this as a group of people who have put a lot of time and effort into it. We should make a decision despite what students think.
 - 5. if we were properly funded by the state and UW system, we wouldn't have to do this. We are basically doing their job. How can we be more competitive if we don't receive funds? I am going to support this, it will benefit us in the long run.

6. The reason we are not funded evenly is because when budgeting started we didn't need as much. We have been getting the same percentage since then.
7. State is not funding us now, didn't give us money with first proposal, we shouldn't set our school back financially because we are afraid of what they may not give us.
8. Make amendment to change student association to student senate.
 - a. Wallace/Fuhrmann
 - b. Discussion
 - i. Good point, student association encompasses entire student body. Important to show its coming from our body.
 - ii. It's more representative. Although we are elected officials, there are ways of removing us from office.
 - iii. Call to question
 1. Vote
 - a. Amendment passes.
9. Can't see how anyone can add anything. Call to question
 - a. Vote
 - i. One abstention, passes.
- c. Relay for Life Facilities Request
 - i. Point of info
 1. Both c and d were packaged last week.
 2. motion to approve c and d
 - a. Hammen/Klien
 - b. Move to question
 - i. Vote
 - ii. One abstention, passes
 3. Vote
 - a. One abstention, passes
 - b. Point of information
 - i. Why are we guaranteeing this as a two year commitment?
 1. They originally requested the REC indefinitely, we would be the only ones that accommodate them.
 - ii. Motion to approve items E and F
 1. Vanwinkle/Ruplinger
 2. Discussion
 - a. What the student's message is?
 - i. It's about doing some alcohol alternatives. They'd like to work on advocacy for safe drinking.

- b. Does anyone have any say as far as a name of an organization? Can you name it anything you want?
 - i. They can choose any name they want.
 - c. Is there no direction in how their name and mission statement correlate.
 - i. Its not limited strictly to alcohol.
 - d. Point of info.
 - i. Alcohol is a drug.
 - e. If you are interested in an org then you are going to read there mission statement anyway.
 - f. Call to question on E and F
 - i. Move into a vote
 - 1. Passes
- d. AAU Basketball Tournament Facilities Request
- e. Resolution Granting Organizational Status to the Vietnamese Student Association
- f. Resolution Granting Organizational Status to the Students for Sensible Drug Policy
- g. Organizational Grants
 - i. Armstrong/Cerwin
 - 1. Orgs need money.
 - ii. Discussion
 - 1. Did a great job of putting it together. They do great things, should approve it.
 - 2. I believe it should be “environmental council”, not “environmental club”.
 - 3. The last column on the sheet I passed out last week was before we know we had a carryover. Changed it 90% reflecting carryover plus the surplus recommended. Column z is the correct one.
 - 4. Why are you putting that up, you should go with the recommended percentage? Think you should go with the 100%
 - 5. This document isn’t what we were taking about last week. Now we have a new document.
 - a. We don’t have a new document; we still have the old one, just needs to be amended.
 - 6. What is the amount approved for 07-08 budget plus carryover?
 - a. \$23,300
 - 7. If we didn’t agree with the amount, here are alternatives. I don’t have a problem with the additional info. I think that overspending by 5,000 is too much and column A is better.
 - 8. If we are just playing the guessing game why not guess with 5,000 over? How do you play that game? Is apportionment going to take away the carryover next year?

9. this is the max amount that they would have. A lot of orgs have amazing ideas but it never gets done. We cant go any higher than 5,000 but we can go under that.
 10. the last thing I want is the orgs committee to come in to a new apportionment committee and get grilled. I want the apportionment committee to hold their end of the bargain. I think spending closer to 3,000 over is a better alternative.
 11. why even risk going over? Lets just gradually increase it and later progress up to 5,000.
 12. Have they overspent before and if they have how much do they normally overspend by?
 - a. We have overspent in the past. We still have carryovers. It's been that way for a couple of years, consistent.
 13. Point of info
 - a. The additional carryover each year is above 2,000.
 14. Question of parliamentary procedure
 - a. Is there a way we can refer this back to the committee?
 - b. It would require a motion to reconsider in committee
 - c. Would it then take another 2 weeks?
 - i. Yes
 - d. These people need the money
 - i. Orgs meets Friday.
 15. As its put forward, we really don't have anything to vote on. I think it would be a big disservice to other orgs. There are orgs on that chart that are not eligible for the money. I think it should go back to committee. Rather do it right than just throw something on there. Motion to reconsider back to committee
 - a. Vote
 - i. 24:10:1
 - ii. Referred back to committee.
- h. Executive Board Resolution
- i. Motion to approve line item H
 - ii. In theory, if its no vote, we don't have to tell anyone.
 - iii. Exec Board can meet when senate is not is session. At end of last weeks meeting we got together and met. We have the input of Gow, Hetzel, and Wilson. Move into discussion
 1. If we are not in session, what does technically does that mean?
 - a. Constitution says exec board can meet when senate isn't meeting.
 2. When senate is not in session, other people can choose what we are going to do. I have a big problem with that because we were elected to make these decisions. And who is going to be on committee?
 - a. Left list in office (read names out). Willing to have input from anyone else

- i. It should be a committee representative of the student senate
- 3. Are we going to see the survey before it goes out?
 - a. It depends on the committee.
- 4. Does this conclude a movement to educate the students before they take the survey? How many senators involved in this campaign?
 - a. I sent an email but only got one response. So this committee formed by people showing interest.
- 5. Point of Clarification
 - a. Don't we need to approve your decision to make this resolution? Any decision made by the exec board needs to be reinforced.
 - b. Point of Parliamentary procedure
 - i. Any committee needs to submit minutes with the resolution
 - 1. They were sent out.
- 6. These members are approved by the senate body to serve on this board. They are here to make recommendations to the senate, I think they met because of the time sensitivity, but they need approval from this body.
- 7. Roberts Rule of Order says we need to vote initially if we want to consider it, and then we can vote on passing it or failing it.
- 8. Right now it hasn't even been accepted.
- 9. We need to vote to accept it, it doesn't need a second because the resolution has already been accepted to be brought forth.
- 10. Move into vote on whether or not to accept it to discuss it.
 - a. Passes.
 - i. Motion to approve
 - 1. Wallace
 - ii. Discussion on actual resolution.
 - 1. On short time frame. We have not gone beyond everyone's back; we met at 7pm on Friday night. We discussed what needs to happen. As far as educating, open forum was step one.
 - 2. I don't like that we can choose to disclose or not disclose this. Worried about the composition of this committee. Sounds like all females and no males.
 - 3. Within actual survey there is an educational component.
 - 4. Has more indebt questions that give us insight to demographics.

5. The members that were selected because they showed interest. Male members will be on the committee.
6. If this resolution passes this is public knowledge. So if we don't disclose the info it would be assumed that students voted against it.
7. Most of the work involved with this could be done by people in my class. That is something that needs to be considered. We have to do our presentation by the same time that it needs to be presented to board.
8. Another reason why we can choose to not use disclose it is because if we don't get a representative results of population.
9. There are websites out there that will do the surveys for you.
10. We can use the survey system used in 212.
11. If people upset about composition we can make the changes. if we want it to be affective we need to keep group small.
12. Anyone can be on an ad hoc committee. Maybe on open forum make it known that students are welcome to join. Make it fair and open.
13. Call to question
 - a. Objection
14. Idea of getting a good rep of students to take this survey is important. Its our job to make sure that that happens.
15. if we take this survey, we have to share the results. If you don't get numbers that represent the student body then you say that in the survey. This composition of the committee is not the focus. There are ways to do this that could have been a lot more shady. Its not fair to criticize it.
16. Call to question
 - a. Objection
17. If you are willing to educate students then it should go through. If you are not going to make a commitment to it then don't vote for it.
18. Call to question

- a. Objection
19. Is there two surveys that are going to be run?
- a. Yes
20. Is this survey going to be taken on the 14th?
- a. Committee will decide, but latest date is 14th.
 - b. We need to write a resolution reflecting the results.
21. We can still always use class's results as well.
22. my experience with the class surveys is they don't get very many back, not accurate representation of student body. We had discussed leaving it open for a period of two weeks to help get more votes out.
23. Point of info
- a. You can't open it up for two weeks. It takes time to compile the results. And the response rate is the response rate.
24. we just did a survey open for 2 months and had the results calculated in 2 days.
25. if we do 2 surveys its going to reflect on us as we don't know what we are doing.
26. Call to question
- a. Object
27. This whole "its like a fight" thing is completely ridiculous. This committee is the one that is going to sort out all the details.
28. Call to question
- a. Objection
29. There could be 70 groups on this campus doing the survey, it doesn't matter. You are deciding if you want this body to do this?
30. Motion to amend resolution to add "therefore be it further resolved that each member of UWL student senate and board of directors be required to participate in at least two class raps with one occurring outside classes that they are in and one organizational meeting

promoting the Growth, Quality, and Access Plan.

- a. Rome/Ruplinger
 - i. Point of info
 - ii. If it's not in the senate by laws can we do this?
 - iii. If you approve it
 - b. The only way people are going to do this survey is if we get out there and tell people about it. We say we are behind something and then do nothing about it, by putting it in resolution it makes sure people will act.
31. Support amendment to make sure people will actually do some work. Would like to see people work towards what they believe in.
32. A lot of us don't have time to do this.
33. Point of info
- a. It's over a two week period, so if you have just 10 minutes in the last weeks just let me know.
34. I am leery about this because I would like to give the senators that don't vote for this the opportunity to not do class raps and not be forced to convey this to other people.
35. Question legality of forcing senators to do anything. I don't even think this falls within order of business. You could have resolutions that have very serious moral implications, you can't force them to act on it.
36. point of info
- a. can we just change it to recommendation?
 - b. Yes
37. Point of info
- a. Can you scroll down?
38. I am against amendment. There are too many people that don't agree with resolution and we shouldn't force them to promote something they don't agree with. It's not responsible of this body.

- 39. if you substitute your office hours then you could get away with this. And this is not extra because you are already involved in orgs and use that to your advantage.
- 40. Point of clarification
 - a. If you say to use the office hours, wouldn't you have to place that in the amendment itself?
 - i. You could do that and substitute "within a time frame" because still within the guidelines of the office hours.
- 41. Roberts rules of order says the motion can be made but it cant dictate a members conduct.
- 42. Point of order
 - a. Your amendment is out of order.
- 43. Point of clarification
 - a. That part of the amendment is out of order.
- 44. I'm going to strike that part of the amendment
 - a. Motion of a recess of 5 min.
 - i. Division
 - ii. 17:17
 - iii. VP gets to tie break, 5 min recess.
- 45. Intent of resolution is to gather their input. We are not requiring you to do this, but we are hoping to you will go back to your constituents and inform them. Roberts rules tells us we can't make any motion that dictates what senators are required or not required to do. So therefore the motion is out of order and stricken from resolution.

- iv. Call to question
 - 1. Move into vote
 - a. Passes.

XI. Discussion

- a. USA Today Trial Period (non-action)
 - i. Cerwin/Klien
 - ii. Discussion

1. Trial period for USA Today, wouldn't cost us anything. We get to make decision if we want to pursue it or not.
2. Think it's a great idea.
3. Unless anyone has anything to say against it then they shouldn't talk.
4. We did this last year but it was supposed to end at the end of last year, so I don't know if we are still paying for it.
5. I think it would be beneficial to our university.
6. I don't see why it isn't an action thing.
 - a. No one submitted anything, so we can just make the recommendation.
7. I have the right to make a policy position.
8. Motion to close discussion
 - a. Hammen/Fuhrmann
 - b. Passes

XII. Announcements

- a. Thanks to secretary
- b. On Tuesday I received a new document form SEG fee committee
 - i. They took away students rights to lobby.
 - ii. The SEG fee committee shot down taking away lobbying rights of students, but they are still meeting.
- c. Senators are doing their part, you should question why you are senator and give your position to someone who will.
- d. BSU putting on a bash next Friday at 7pm.
- e. Let business majors know that council will be meeting next wed at 4pm in 330 Cartwright. Want to get committee off the ground and going.
- f. Please email times for class raps. It is not giving opinions, just factual. Just want to say disappointed because people say they sit in office for constituents to come to them but they don't really come to office.
- g. Volunteering Within racked in 5,600 items for people in need.
- h. Next Monday at 3 at 7pm in Wing Room 3 Excel Energy meeting.
- i. Relay for life Feb 8th. Women's Leadership this weekend.

XIII. Adjournment

- a. Vanwinkle/Cerwin
 - i. Passes.
- b. Meeting adjourned at 10:02 pm.

