



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN – LA CROSSE
STUDENT ASSOCIATION

235 CARTWRIGHT CENTER 1725 STATE STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8717

Student Senate Agenda

Date: February 11th, 2009

Time and Location: 6:00 PM; **Port O' Call; Cartwright Center**

- I. Pledge of Allegiance
- II. Call to Order...6:01
- III. Lobbying Presentation by Mrs. Jessica Tormey – UW System Government Relations
 - a. I am a 1995 graduate from UWL. After graduating I served on the state senate for 11 years. I had several roles; I served as a staff to the joint finance committee and was the chief of staff to a senator for 5 years. 2 years ago I left to work for Wisconsin System. I spend a lot of time lobbying for UWL. I want to give you a presentation on the things I think are important about lobbying and what to know about the legislator. I put down some of these resources so that you can feel in the know about your state government. Also there are certain agencies that become really primary. I listed different services agencies that are helpful resources. The next one is knowing the players in your field. In the case of the La Crosse Growth and Access Plan you had democratic and republican senators that had problems with it and it is important to know who is affecting the policy. Knowing the staff in the offices is important. The staffers make things happen. Good issues can die each year because of money and issues like that. Jessica's Law dealt with child sexual assault and putting sex offenders away. It is very expensive because nobody wants them. It is a good idea but you still have the task of funding. I included the multiple sclerosis tax check off bill. It took me 5 months to get it out of committee. People were worried that money spent on that would be used on stem cell. The day it made it to the senate floor a legislator offered an amendment right away. It got held up because there was a lot of bargaining going on. The bill sat there another 3 months before it was finally signed into law. The idea of having a strong advocacy system is really important to make it through the process. There is going to be a lot of information going in with the stimulus package and how that affects higher education. Potentially it could affect La Crosse because you have an academic building that needs to go up. You are also competing against other schools in the system to get your initiatives passed. And you are competing against other state initiatives.
 - b. Discussion
 - i. Relating to us formulating a plan, in your experience do you think it is better to outline a few things we want or do we need to reach for the stars?
 1. You formulate a plan that La Crosse needs and you formulate a plan that the students need. In Madison all the student reps

decided to sign a letter supporting an issue and it was such a professional statement and the students do best when they are cohesive. I think students do best when they formulate a cohesive campaign. When you rise to a high level I think you do the most effective advocacy. I really hope that happens in this budget cycle. You guys have a very important campaign. No other school was as well represented than this school last year. Fred did a very good job. Joe Gow was one that had no problem meeting with his biggest detractors. Your campus above all others has an A representation.

- ii. Do you have suggestions for students trying to develop relationships with legislators?
 - 1. 2 ways, you vote on campus or you vote at home. Working with your delegation is important. Working with the staff is very important, they are the gate keepers. Coalitions help; it is harder not to schedule someone when there is a team coming down. Maintain email. Use someone like me.
- c. If you are interested in state government there are tons of ways to get in, internships are very important. I look forward to working with you all.

IV. Role Call

V. Approval of Agenda

- a. Added Paula as a guest speaker.
 - i. Why is that in closed discussion?
 - 1. Because it is discussing personnel.
- b. Thiel/Turner... Approved.

VI. Approval of Minutes

- a. Decker/Syafitri... Approved.

VII. Guest Speakers

- a. Academic Initiatives
 - i. There is going to be a little change to the resolution I presented last week. I resubmitted it with a new figure and updated the sponsor list. Today during JPB it was decided to fund the VPO using GQA dollars. They opened up an additional position for staff and a good portion for that was because of the incredible student involvement.
 - ii. You all know that the VPO was grant funded and the grant ended. We put it out there as a GQA position and then the state of the economy came stumbling down on us. Academic Initiatives picked it up, but then they bottomed out. We know that there are 96 reports on from the campus climate survey, so just today in our meeting we were talking about what our options are. As we talked about it, we realized we are have 11 staff positions to be funded by GQA. 9 of those were already identified. We will now have a 10 month position that will be funded; it also means that the Academic Initiative funding will have a new face to it. I am here with what I think is really good news. I am really pleased that you can look at both packages and I think we have a good deal on the table.

iii. So you'll notice in the resolution I reduced it to \$146,000. Some of the comments that we had last week were about trimming the budget. The oversight committee talked about it at our meeting. We have to talk about the what ifs. Last fall we were very transparent. We received very few negative comments and the comments that we had were very similar to the ones we had here in senate. After hearing Bob today in JPB, they are still optimistic that this will be going through system next year. It is over \$260,000 so we are requesting \$146,000 that supports those services. One of the discussions that the oversight committee had was where can we go. Supplies and expenses are very small compared to the positions. If this resolution does not go through, we would have a little we can take out of the reserve, but that is meant for the 5 Year Plan. We would be forced to make \$150,000 worth of cuts. With international education we offer stipends for the students, that comes back more than ten fold. Research is \$46,000, so we are hard pressed to make any cuts there. If we cut Academic Advising Center we would have to bring two people down to half time position. The last couple of years we have asked the program directors to start cutting back. We have done what we could, this is our last effort. I don't know where the cuts would be made. It would have to be decided this next Friday. Hopefully we don't have to do that. I am up here speaking on behalf of the students and the sponsors. We know it is not the ideal time to be doing this; we had to look at the whole picture and figure out where to go. This is a one year thing.

iv. Discussion

1. I wanted to say that this doesn't allocate the money from the senate. It says that when the Pepsi Fund Committee is convened, this is the recommendation that senate has made.
2. If it goes through, we have to be careful because the way that the bylaws are written for the campus community enrichment fund is that they need 9 weeks to make a decision. It needs to be made next Friday. We would make a proposal to rescind that particular part of the committee by laws. We need to make sure we make that motion tonight.
3. Who is paying the staff for the Disability Services staff?
 - a. This funds half the position, the other half is being matched.

b. PeopleSoft Campus Solutions

- i. Sneak preview of the new Wing Student Center that will replace Talon in March. We want to share on our thoughts on how we communicate to the students this change and how best to provide the training that will be needed. It is a common systems initiative that was mandated by system. It will take the place of our old system. Our goal is to use it as much as we can. It is a very robust package. In this first phase we will roll out what we need to, it is a phased implementation. Financial Aid is going live this weekend. There will be a transition period for the

students. There might still be some things that will be done through Talon for this semester. We are excited about the new Wing student center; it has everything that Talon had and more.

- ii. I am normally in the admissions office but I have been working on this the past year. It makes a spreadsheet of your classes. It has a tab to search the class timetable. You can click on a link for the class that gives you the description.
 1. Is the wait list new?
 - a. That is a new feature, and we are still trying to figure out if we can make it work.
 - b. It is one of those things that might not happen in phase one but might happen in the future. It might take phase 2 or 3 to get it done.
- iii. The SNAP report on here is the Advisement report. There is a what if situation where you can see what would be required if you wanted to change majors or minors.
 1. How did we function as a university before this, it just seems so much better.
- iv. You can see all the classes you have taken here, you can view your financial aid package, and pay your bills. You can accept and decline financial aid awards online instead of going into the financial aid office.
 1. Will the scholarships be online as well?
 - a. It will be.
- v. There is a to do list that will list everything you need to do for applications, paperwork to turn in, etc.
- vi. This has your enrollment dates and advisor information. We learn new things every day about this program
- vii. Discussion
 1. What is the launch date?
 - a. Around March 15th. The first time you would go in there is to register for summer courses.
 2. Could it have longer than a five minute log out?
 - a. I definitely does.
 3. In terms of sharing with the campus community, maybe there could be a web tutorial with a voice that tells you what is there. It would be very helpful and would reach a lot of students.
 4. What does Wing stand for?
 - a. We didn't want it to remain Talon, but we haven't decided what it stands for.
 5. With the portion with the advising, how is that going to affect the advising center?
 - a. This is only replacing the SNAP report.
 6. Is there any way that it can gray out the classes already taken?
 - a. We'll take a look at it.

7. Is there any way to see what professors are using which textbooks?
 - a. PeopleSoft doesn't come with any system to work with textbook rental. So you would still get your list when you register. Some of that is a timing issue, because even though you are registering for the class earlier, the instructors don't have to turn in their requests by the time you register.
8. Will students still have to meet with their advisors prior to registering?
 - a. It is my understanding that it will still be the discretion of the program or the advisor.
 - b. The system wasn't meant to replace anything from the advisors or advising center.
- viii. I am working in the communications department. We have done some handouts in the bookstore letting students know what is coming. We want to do online tutorials. What I want from you are other ideas on how to communicate with the campus.
 1. I think the video tutorials are the most effective.
 2. Have you thought about advertising on Facebook?
 3. Could you train the ITS people and then if we have questions we can go to them right away. I don't think I would want to wait a week to go to the Q&A session.
 - a. We are doing some general training with them. We have talked about increasing working with the ITS students, we are just proceeding with caution because we don't want the support center answering questions that need to be answered in another office.
 4. Will faculty use this too?
 - a. They will have what is called Wings Faculty Center. They will have a course list; they will do their grading online. It does interface with d2l. They are partners so they talk to each other.
 5. How long with students be able to access this after they graduate?
 - a. We haven't set an exact time frame. At any point in time, even if we only decide to go back 3 or 5 years, there is still an opportunity for you to go in and request an account.
 6. With everything being put on to this one system, is there potential to lose all the information?
 - a. We feel very sold with this; we have nightly back up of our information. We have partnered with UW Madison for this. We run load tests with UW Madison so that we can be sure that the performance level is there.

VIII. Officer Reports

- a. Derek
 - i. Board of Regents report: they had the business finance and audit committee that did the state recap and a state audit as well. The state auditor tells them what they need to improve on. One of the things were there is beginning to be a lot more banking in the schools. They need to work on identity theft prevention. They also mentioned where we are in terms of state support. Over the last 10 years there has been a 74% increase in student tuition and fees. That is why it is so important to have a relationship with our legislators. We have taken a really big hit. The Pepsi committee needs one more senator representation. If anyone needs to know what it is let me know? The meeting is Monday at 2:15. (Haase)
 - ii. Leadership conference: I will send out an email reminder, meeting at 7:15 at Java Detour. It is February 21st in Madison, goes from 10 to 4pm. You have to commit because it is a \$25 registration fee.
 - iii. February 28th is the university summit at Green Bay. They want to bring together UC schools and non UC schools. We are looking to send 5 to 8 people. One of the major topics is going to be coming together as a unified student voice to do effective lobbying. There will also be presentations on shared governance and lobbying. It might be similar to what is going on in Madison but more focused.
 - iv. Director meeting tomorrow at 5:15pm.
 - v. We had involvement fest yesterday and I bribed people to write down suggestions and ideas with dark chocolate. Jacque will put that together for you all to see in the office.
 - vi. There will be an ad hoc committee started for the textbook rental issue.
- b. Karly
 - i. Thank all the senators who came to the open forum last night. It was a healthy discussion.
- c. Others
 - i. Environmental Sustainability
 1. Check out page two of the Racquet.
 2. At 6pm I was on the Channel 6 news to talk about Recycle Mania and the energy challenge.
 3. We started drafting a resolution to change our coal plan to natural gas and bio fuels.
 - ii. Legislative Affairs
 1. Leg Con delegation is set. I didn't get any applications from any senators, but I am really happy with the delegation we are taking.
 2. Camp Wellstone: it is a leadership conference; the campus would host several organizers from Wellstone in the Twin Cities. They focus on lobbying and other issues. We would be forming a group of students from a bunch of different parts of campus. We want to get 60 to 80 students. So maybe there would be some action that would come out of this. we are

putting together a preliminary planning group, is anyone interested in helping with that? I would like to get a lot of groups to cosponsor this; it would be useful to all of us. It would make us more effective. Please take this back to your groups and get back to us.

iii. Shared Governance

1. One thing that UW Madison copied us in on was that they were told by system that they should register as a student organization to be eligible for funding. they sent a letter back to system and told them they were wrong, that they weren't a university organization, they are the student government. It is something we can talk about a little bit down the line. It is something we need to think about.

iv. Social Justice

1. Multicultural Day: Starts at 10 to 3pm. High school students will come in and we will show them the orgs on campus.
2. Thanks to everyone who came to the open forum last night. I think everyone came out with a better understanding of what this means to people.

v. City Affairs

1. You can no longer drink at parks
2. Vote on Tuesday the 17th.

vi. CFO

1. We are working to make the budget process easier for student orgs. We have been holding interviews with chairs and advisors to make the process easier to understand.

vii. Gender Issues

1. Thanks to everyone that helped with the VPO funding issue.
2. The first Vagina Monologues rehearsal was on Tuesday.

IX. RHAC Report

- a. Joe Gow's last lecture is tomorrow night at 7pm in Valhalla. There was a professor who was diagnosed with terminal cancer and he decided to spend the rest of his time giving inspirational speeches and so this is based on that.

X. Advisor Reports

- a. One on one meetings. I have plenty of time next week.
- b. There is a leadership conference here in Cartwright. I will send out an email on how to register. Saturday 21st of February. There will be a lot of people from this campus presenting on various leadership issues. This is different because it is just students from this campus.

XI. Committee Reports

a. Apportionment

- i. We spent the first week of deliberations, I encourage you to look at the budget proposals on d2l.

b. Academic Affairs

- i. We think we will pursue the collegiate readership program
- ii. We will send out an email to faculty to tell them to use d2l.

- iii. Applications for spring commencement speakers will be sent out by Friday and will be due by March 9th. 3 to 5 minute speech, hand it in to Larry's office. All the seniors that are graduating will get an email soliciting a response.
- c. Legislative Involvement Committee
 - i. I will try to convene us soon to do some get out the vote stuff. There are a couple of other ideas we can work on.
- d. Legislative Affairs
 - i. Meeting Tuesday at 4pm in room 342. if you have any ideas come to the meeting.
- e. JP&B
 - i. The budget of the counseling and testing center is going over to the health center. They don't have the money to support that. We are trying to find options to make an increase in segregated fees as small as possible. It is my impression that many students want to see those services continued so we are going to try and figure out a way to do that. We are trying to find ways to find additional revenue. One of the things we are talking about doing would be opening up the health center to spouses, significant others, as well as families. We would charge them part of the segregated fees to use the health center. If we went to the family plan it would include a partner and one child. It could be a cost saving way for families to have an affordable health care. It would be a way to get revenue as well as offer significant health care. If they went with a family idea, they would have maybe a 200% segregated fee.
 - 1. Would they have increased times, higher more positions? I see it overwhelming and getting in the way of students.
 - a. There aren't many students coming in from WTC. The director of the health center said he feels like it would be a small number of people using this and it wouldn't get overwhelming.
 - 2. Would it increase our segregated fees?
 - a. It would decrease our segregated fees.
 - 3. Would this be added to the student bill?
 - a. I think you would have to pay up front.
 - 4. If I had a child and my child needed a vaccination, would that be something they could get?
 - a. The health center has fairly comprehensive services, it would be up to that student or family to see if this is a good idea or not. It was under the impression that they would not be offering the counseling and testing center services because that would overwhelm the counseling and testing center.
 - 5. We don't want to just start offering charity, but right now it is not just feasible to pay the UWL health insurance.
 - a. It is not health insurance; it is jus the in house services.

6. Do you know how the family planning waiver would fit in?
 - a. My understanding is that it is more exclusive for students in more particular situations.
 - b. I don't think that covers males.
7. How would this effect appointments and prescriptions that they give? Would it affect the supplies?
 - a. Dr. Allen didn't indicate any of that.
- ii. I would like to share that another one of the revenue ideas would be charging for no-shows. The rational is that if we all pay for this community service, and then we have people not going to the appointment, it is really irresponsible. It would be something nominal like \$10.
 1. How would they charge that fee? Would it be on the student bill?
 - a. I was referring to students. it would be billed to the tuition. I am not sure how it would be worked out for the families or significant other.
 2. Why wouldn't you charge for the counseling and testing center?
 - a. Because there are a lot more implications for that. A no show would be an indicator of something more significant, and it would go against what the counseling and testing center stand for.
- f. The Mission Committee
 - i. Larry will be taking this one on.
- g. Dean Search and Screen
 - i. The last open forum this Monday 10:30 in Port O'Call. Let me know your thoughts and opinions.

XII. New Business

- Motion to package and open line items A and C
 - Kahl/Taylor...Approved
 - a. SA 0809-042: Resolution Granting Organizational Status to Computer Science Club
 - i. Call to question
 1. Acclimation
 - a. Approved
 - b. SA 0809-043: Resolution Recommending the Allocation of Campus Community Enrichment Fund Dollars to Academic Initiatives
 - i. DeVries/Schoonover
 1. I made a change to the requested amount. I added additional sponsors for the document. Please note that there is everything from faculty to organizations to students. The first page is all sponsors.
 - ii. Discussion

1. Since the VPO isn't a part of this anymore, do we just leave it in, do we take it out. And for all the sponsors, were they still sponsors when the VPO was taken out?
 - a. When we got sponsors for this it was explained that it was in support of this document. There are students that came in support of this without the VPO.
2. Motion to rescind article three of the campus community enrichment fund bylaws... VanWyk/Kahl
 - a. Would the approval of the chancellor be taken away as well?
 - i. I don't think so, the chancellor is involved in this whether it is in the bylaws or not.
 - ii. Call to question
 1. Approved, one abstention
3. Most of my concerns have been addressed. I move to strike all the whereas clauses that address the VPO. They should reflect the therefore be it resolved... Decker/Kahl
 - a. I agree, although the information is great to read through I don't think it needs to be in this document.
 - b. I think it strengthens the resolution.
 - c. Call to question
 - i. Approved.
4. Last week there was a big push for the VPO, but the rest of this stud is significant in its own right. The assessment task force already looked at how we can cut back. We have had more requests for graduate research and we can't fund it. I think that this is a great resolution and I think this is a great way for the money to be spent.
5. Last year we had to call an emergency meeting because of the funding; now we are in another state of emergency. Do you feel that before you bulked up this program, don't you think you should have looked at possibly securing money for it?
 - a. Since that happened the reauthorization of the program has been delayed. The university's commitment is done.
6. You did know going into this that the contract would expire correct?
 - a. Yes.
7. Why wasn't this foreseen as being a problem? Why wasn't this brought up sooner? I don't like the idea of rushing and suspending the rules. I think in the future more careful planning and more careful foresight would serve the committee well. Be more cautious when you are looking at contracts expiring and increasing differential tuition.
 - a. First of all I feel like there should be a response to what was just said about mismanagement. I think it is

speaking out of ignorance. We knew five years ago the university said they would pay half if the students would pay half. It was a contract that the university backed out of. If there is someone to blame then it is the chancellor at the time. We had an agreement with the regents to present something different. The regents told us no. so to say it was mismanagement on our end is misleading because we have been jerked around by powers beyond our control. No one wants to do it this way. To presume that they don't know what they are doing, I don't think that's the right way to approach it. We have no option, we either cut funding or we try to do something to try to make that happen. While we had the right intention with the Pepsi fund committee, I don't think we need it. I think it could be split up among committees.

- b. The initial idea of the Pepsi fund, there has been a process established. They were there all last semester and nothing was proposed. This is not an ideal situation that we are in right now, I know things seem rushed. Two years ago when I was a freshman on the committee when we were looking down the road and telling them to tighten their belts. Everyone was very conscious about that throughout their proposal process. Many of the groups brought in requests less than what they asked for the previous year. There has been quite a lot of planning. We didn't know that is was going to be halted. Which is we pursued other avenues. It would be detrimental to the services if we had to cut anymore.
8. Move to amend to: therefore be it further resolved in the event of a differential tuition increase is not approved by the Board of Regents for fiscal year 2010/11, UWL SA strongly opposes a renewal of the allocation authorized by this resolution...Decker/Herro
- a. The intent is that if the regents don't approve the differential tuition, it would have to go through a more structured mechanism.
 - b. All this is saying is that every year they can't come back and use Pepsi money for AI. We don't support the continual coming back to this.
 - i. You can't dictate what the future senate can do.
 1. Correct.
 - c. This one event aside, would this be strongly encouraging a committee in the future to do something a certain way?
 - d. Dictating a future student association...

- e. In the bylaws of the committee it says any group is eligible to apply.
- f. Doesn't already say that this is a one time allocation?
 - i. Correct.
 - 1. So no matter what they would have to come back with a resolution.
- g. This is just to make sure this isn't setting precedent. We don't want this to be a renewing thing.
 - i. I will be convening the committee and there are several other groups that want to apply for this.
- h. Move to question...Herro/Klotz
 - i. Failed.
- i. We aren't keeping this money a secret. If we need to use it again to keep the services afloat then I am ok with that.
- j. Saying what a future senate can do, what happens if the economy drops even worse?
- k. This is saying that we are making a one time check and so this reiterates that we don't want it to be repeated. It is not the intent of this to tell the future senate what to do. We are saying this senate can not do this again. I don't think this constant last minute emergency tizzy that we are getting into is the appropriate to fund things. I am just recommending that actions be taken as far in advance as possible.
- l. This year given that we proposed a different plan and they said no, that is highly unlikely to happen. I don't think we will find ourselves in a situation like that.
- m. The Academic Initiatives are things we support as students. If we have to use this money for this in the future then so be it. Are there things that other groups are pushing towards?
 - i. It hasn't been completely explored because the committee hasn't convened and those proposals haven't come in yet. This would be another process that initiatives could go through.
- n. I don't get why we have to restrict it, it is not like a shift in money, this is the last resort. I can see the need for more restructuring, but I don't think this is a good idea
- o. Call to question
 - i. Failed.
- 9. Call to question
 - a. Roll call
 - i. Passed 26:0:0

- c. SA 0809-044: Resolution Granting Organizational Status to Social Dance Club
- d. SA 0809-045: Resolution on Altering Per-Diem Amounts in Apportionment By-Laws
 - i. Klotz/Schoonover
 - 1. We are changing the resolution to say the bylaws of Apportionment not the Student Association.
 - ii. Discussion
 - 1. I think this is cool that we are finally updating them and bringing them into 2009. I support this.
 - 2. We are going to be bringing budgets to you next week. We are not necessarily allotting people more money to use for travel; we are simply allowing them to use more money.
 - 3. Why do they cover mileage?
 - a. The mileage is the state rate, 48.5 cents a mile.
 - 4. We could if we choose to reimburse people at less per mile if we saw it fit.
 - 5. Call to question
 - a. Approved
- e. SA 0809-039: Resolution Approving the Environmental Sustainability Director
 - i. Call to question
 - 1. Approved

XIII. Discussion

XIV. Announcements

- a. Augustana is our Spring Concert; we are going to be making shirts this year. There are going to be \$12. Let me know if you are interested.
- b. If you have an opinion on putting SEI's online.
- c. Dr. Eddie Moore will be in Graff Main Hall 260 on Monday, the topic is White Privilege. He is the founder of the White Privilege Conference. Starts at 7pm, it's free.
- d. The meeting started at 6pm tonight and we had 7 or 8 people walk in late. Jess Tormey drove all the way from Madison to be here. I think it is unacceptable.
- e. CAB is hiring for next year. We have to publicity positions open. You can get really creative. We also have a PR position open, a financial coordinator position, and two cellar positions open.
- f. REC Sports is also hiring, applications due March 4th. we have things like customer service reps, special events supervisors, climbing wall staff. It is a great place to work.
- g. College Night at the Slopes at Mt. La Crosse. We do the transportation there and back.
- h. Snowed In, we will be showing The Dark Knight. Free massages, yoga classes.
- i. On Feb 26th is the Minority Reporter. They made a great movie about stereotypes, Graff Main Hall 7pm.
- j. Donate to the Blood Drive next week.

- k. February 24th motivational speaker.
 - l. If there is anyone that is not on one of our three committees and wants to be on legislative committee then holler.
- XV. Closed Discussion
- XVI. Adjournment
- a. Thiel/Herro
 - i. Meeting adjourned at 9:31pm.



UW-La Crosse Student Association 2008-2009

SENATORS	ROLE CALL	ROLE CALL 2	ROLE CALL 3	ROLE CALL 4		ROLL CALL VOTE 1		
						YES	NO	ABSTENTIONS
Barquero								
Blazek	x	x	x			x		
Brown	x	x	x			x		
Cox								
Decker	x	x	x			x		
DeVries	x	x	x			x		
Haase	x	x	x			x		
Hall	x	x	x			x		
Hastings		x	x			x		
Hayes	x	x	x			x		
Her	x	x	x			x		
Herro	x	x	x			x		
Hougen	x	x	x			x		
Jimenez	x	x	x			x		
Kahl	x	x	x			x		
Klotz	x	x	x			x		
Knudson	x	x	x			x		
LeFevre	x	x	x			x		
Nguyen	x							
Palmer	x	x	x			x		
Schmidt	x	x	x			x		
Schoonover	x	x	x			x		
Sweeny	x	x	x			x		
Syafitri	x	x	x			x		
Taylor	x	x	x			x		
Thiel	x	x	x			x		
Turner	x	x	x			x		
Urbas	x	x	x			x		
Vang	x							
VanWyk	x	x	x			x		
Wolf								
Present	27	26	26	0	TOTALS	26	0	0
Total	33	34	34	34	Members Present	33		
					PERCENT YES	0.787878788		
Percent Present	82%	76%	76%	0%				
Total Votes						26		