



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN – LA CROSSE  
**STUDENT ASSOCIATION**

235 CARTWRIGHT CENTER 1725 STATE STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8717

---

**Student Senate Agenda**

Date: April 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2009

Time and Location: 6:00 PM; **Port O' Call; Cartwright Center**

- I. Call to Order
  - a. 6:00pm
- II. Pledge of Allegiance
- III. Roll Call
- IV. Approval of Agenda
  - a. Thiel/Turner
  - b. Move to amend to move the WIAC cost reduction plan to new business and to add Klotz and other athletes to guest speakers and add the Readership Program to new business
    - i. Klotz
      1. moved without objection
  - c. Move to add line item B to new business
    - i. Ruplinger
  - d. Move to add the Board of Director By-laws resolution to discussion
    - i. Wallace
      1. moved without objection
  - e. Agenda passed
- V. Approval of Minutes
  - a. Ruplinger/Wallace
    - i. Minutes approved
- VI. Guest Speakers
  - a. Luke Leitner; Dining Services Grad Assistant
    - i. Someone told me of a resolution about going tray less in Whitney. I am here to answer any questions. The first question I got was if it would make it more congested. The answer is no because there are less people in an assembly line, they go up and know what they want, get it, and go sit down. We will have more people going back and forth but the lines will be shorter. Anther question was if people would have to make multiple trips to the dishwasher line. Yes people when they are done with a plate and want seconds usually go back and forth. Have students been surveyed about going tray less in the past and how many? I don't have time to go interview students, but I have talked to students and the feedback was positive. The problem was we didn't hear any of the positive things until we brought the trays back. Then I got emails from students asking why the trays were back. We found later once we put it back then they spoke up. The tray less thing

follows us like a black plague. It is always brought up. Will table cleaning be an extra expense? If people take the tray less thing seriously we will be able to relocate some of the dishwasher staff to clean the tables. We might have to higher an extra person to clean the tables. Some people think this is an issue of students being denied an extra choice. There will be trays for people that need them, and we aren't denying the food, you can make the trips. It takes a gallon of water to wash one single tray. They serve about 12,000 students for lunch. One gallon per tray is a lot of water. Think about the detergent and the waste of food. Some schools that went tray less said students wasted 50% less food. We are hoping to save you some money in the long run. It depends on the success of the program. Hopefully next year we see some savings. The money that is saved doesn't go into the pockets of the food services providers, it goes back to the dining service for you.

ii. Questions

1. How much water does one plate use to wash?
    - a. I don't know.
  2. Do you allow people to reuse their plates?
    - a. It depends on what station you go to. There are some stations that are self serving and others where you are served.
  3. Have you gotten responses from people who don't like this program, what were people saying?
    - a. They said they paid "x" amount of money and they want a tray, I refuse to eat here on Tuesday nights. With the soup bowls they said they weren't able to carry the bowl back because they were burning their hands.
  4. What has been the overall proportion of people in favor and against?
    - a. It has changed over time. Right now it is mostly positive.
  5. Have you thought about implementing a bussing service?
    - a. It's not that kind of dining experience.
  6. I heard people ask about meals with local growers and that would be a real possibility if we went tray less.
- b. Alison Muldrow; Kateri Gurber, Dr. Herald Winona State University Student
- i. For the last three months we have been researching plastic and its use. How often do you use the vending machines on campus and how often do recycle. We want you to replace at least plastic bottle on campus with an aluminum one. 15 schools across Canada have banned plastic water bottles. 8 out of 10 plastic bottles are not recycled each year. it takes 400 to 700 years to start decomposing, but they never fully decompose. If oil is expensive it is not economical to recycle and it is cheaper to throw them away.

- ii. Recycle one aluminum can saves 95% of the energy it would take to make another aluminum can. It is easily recyclable. It is the second most abundant mineral. The University of Winnipeg was the first school to ban the sale of plastic water bottles on campus. This movement is slowly coming to the US. We have University of Arizona. For the future we would like to see the aluminum bottles. We would like to see at least one plastic vending replaced on your campus. When we presented this to Winona they were so passionate about it that they removed all the plastic vending machines.
- iii. Questions
  - 1. Is the plastic used for milk recyclable?
    - a. It is not.
  - 2. What did you do with the vending machine provider?
    - a. We used the same machine just had the vendors take out the plastic bottles. Cans are cheaper than buying the bottles.
    - b. Winona also has an agreement with Pepsi; if they were able to do it then we should be able to.
    - c. Our goal here is to change the world. 15 billion barrels of oil each year are wasted on plastic bottles. This is a big problem here and we are going big. I am taking my class to NYC next year to meet with the CEO of Pepsi. We are trying to convince them to catch this green wave. If you truly are going green, you need to go dark green, you can't go light green.
    - d. A lot of companies are saying they are green and they are not. Green-washing is becoming a really big problem. We think that this is an awesome step towards getting a darker shade of green.
  - 3. Does it require less energy to produce an aluminum can?
    - a. Yes much less.
  - 4. Can you reuse the aluminum can?
    - a. Not the can but you can reuse the aluminum bottles.
  - 5. What was the cost to get this program up and running?
    - a. We are in negotiation with Pepsi right now. It is already happening.
    - b. There is revenue you can get from recycling aluminum.
  - 6. What was the reaction by the students on your campus?
    - a. They were ecstatic.
  - 7. I really hate bottled water; with switching to aluminum what do you get for water?
    - a. We have water fountains so students use their reusable water bottles.
  - 8. Could you forward the resolution you passed to us?
    - a. Yes.

9. Is there any movement towards making vending machine bottles made of stainless steel?
    - a. No. to get Pepsi to move towards this will be like moving a mountain.
  10. Have you only been approaching universities?
    - a. So far only universities. The next step is businesses.
- c. Dr. Paula Knudson and Dr. Bob Hetzel
- i. We have been trying to come in to paint the picture of some of the challenges that we are facing. We are looking at some pretty serious cuts to our reserve. We have a few new things that we are going to talk about tonight. We have an issue with the sports complex that we are facing.
  - ii. In the face of the auxiliary sweep, we have been able to develop a room increase of only 3.51%, we feel pretty good about that. In dining services, Dr. Larry has been able to bring the dining rate increase of 1.31%. In parking the increase is \$20 more dollars, but we are getting ready for the new parking ramp. We have to get the parking ramp in because one of the projects of after the res halls is the new student union which will take over one of the parking lots. You have to pay for progress. It is planning for the future. I hope you would agree that a new student union and a new science building is worth it. With seg fees it is a 7.85% increase. It is a big number, but in the context of what this university has had to face this year, of 3 million dollar budget cuts, you as students have been incredibly helpful. It has been a very challenging year but one that we as a campus has faced and figured out. We have a new sports complex debt. The students agreed to fund 2.5 million. The debt of that is \$118,000. We are building in about \$180,000 plus in stadium operations.
  - iii. Questions
    1. With the student center moving forward, what is the debt service on this now? What has changed that this is getting moved forward?
      - a. It is all paid off in 2015.
      - b. We have just started talking about the new student union since the auxiliary raid. Instead of waiting until 2017 for the new union, should we start that as soon as the res halls are done? It would take 2 years to build, because it is going to be big.
    2. Is the REC's new roof included in this situation?
      - a. It is basically paid off.
    3. Do we need to refill that reserve?
      - a. That is incorporated. We are trying to balance between keeping the reserve low because of the state, so we are going to be building it up but not drastically.

- b. Our reserves are going to be just over \$1 million increase of a catastrophic event. The lesson of 2009 is that it doesn't pay to save.
  - 4. Are there any plans to keep building on the REC?
    - a. There are still two pieces to it. I don't know when it will be done.
    - b. I think that is still a few years out. We are trying to balance building it and the capacity of the students to pay for it.
  - 5. The Arts Building is looking at expanding as well; do you know when that will occur?
    - a. A long long time out there. It won't happen until after 2020. If we get a donor that would loan us \$20 million we would do it tomorrow.
  - 6. Text book rental has no money for salaries, so does that mean it is being outsourced?
    - a. We will pay a management fee to the winning bidder for the text book service. That will begin to get us out of the financial whole we are in.
- iv. The issue with the sports complex is that we have this wonderful new complex that will change the face of our campus, the difficult thing is that no one has been planning at all on operational expenses for this facility. We have to pay for cleaning, lights, maintenance, etc. We have been trying to talk about what the costs are going to be and what the revenue will be. It is approximately \$200,000 for operations. We plan on \$20 to \$25,000 for revenue. That leaves us with \$175,000 of operational fees with no funding. We anticipate the use of the space will be heavy student use. I don't like the thought of piecing out the cost and charging each group that uses the complex.
- v. The external users will be paying their game day costs. We can do a fee for intramurals. We don't have a lot of options.
- vi. Questions
  - 1. How is the complex doing in terms of the construction schedule?
    - a. We are on schedule.
  - 2. How do you expect to fill the complex with events if the La Crosse Center has a hard time booking?
    - a. I think beyond the rental of the local football teams and track teams; I don't think there will be more than that. It's not realistic to day we are going to get concerts there, and that is why our numbers are very conservative.
    - b. We will be back in the spring with more concrete numbers. Right now these are educated estimates.
  - 3. Will there be costs for outsiders to get into the events?

- a. They will be paying more. The football tickets will be going up.
- 4. Are we still building the east side stands?
  - a. We will build them if someone donates. We aren't asking students to do that. We told donors it has already been designed and we are waiting for donors.
- 5. Will there be open time for students to use the complex?
  - a. Yes there will be open times. The first priority is ESS activity class and then athletics.
- 6. Can you explain the revenue brought in by the track meet?
  - a. The deal with the chancellor and the athletics department is they will allocate \$10,000 for the stadium.
- 7. What will this cost students if it goes in seg fees?
  - a. Around \$35.
- 8. How was this facility accounted for? is it viewed as a PR building? Is it our decision to account for it in a different way?
  - a. It is a state facility. The only state funds are for the track. This complex is known as a state facility but it is PR funded. So the budget is a 128 budget.
- 9. Why are we always approached all of a sudden for money?
  - a. It was bad practice. I wish I would have been here. I apologize for that, I didn't do it, but I have to fix it. It is like academic initiatives and the match, the administration said they were going to match it and they didn't.
- 10. Is a pro team going to come to practice here? Will that bring in revenue?
  - a. There is potential and there is revenue but not a lot.
- vii. The student tech fee committee endorsed the pay for print with good reasons with the information they had at the time. Many of you have come out and say it doesn't feel right. You passed a resolution to meet with the exec group. You are right, it doesn't feel right. So let's take a step back from this and explore our options. Even if we do go this way maybe there is something else we can look at that would benefit students. So that students don't feel like we are taking away and you aren't getting anything for it. We hope to have our technology folks see if they can get more creative here.
- viii. We have some work to do. My sense from talking to a number of you is that we are spending a lot of the fees on salaries and you aren't getting a lot out of that. We are spending a lot of money, but we aren't getting much. I have asked John Tillman to look at the GCA labs to see if there are savings in that. He told me today only 85% of the campus is wireless. There is 15% of the campus that is not wireless. And the part that is wireless is not always working, you remind of that. I told John we need to identify where we can invest the resources. We

need to manage the GCA labs differently. Do we still need to manage the GCA labs the way we are today? My sense is that we can cut back on that and still provide the service. We want to work with students over the summer to make good decisions.

- ix. I think what you have is a commitment you have from us to not make a rash decision but engage in honest dialogue with you.

d. Melissa Klotz, WIAC reduction plan

- i. There are a few decisions in particular that we have issues with. Joe Baker voted against the decisions that most people have been against. Winners Council voted in line with Joe Baker. The chancellors will be discussing this tomorrow at the chancellor's meeting. Gow understands what is going on and has every intention of voting in line with us. The reason I brought the resolution is to hopefully influence the other chancellors. I have gotten in contact with other students on other campus so hopefully they will be going to their chancellors. The third cost reduction rule affects us because it eliminates the swimming and diving training trip. #5 would have one of the non-conference games be local. We have to go outside of that perimeter to find games, so it will be more difficult to do that. Reducing the swimming and track meets from 3 to 2 days wouldn't limit our performance because we wouldn't have time to rest; we wouldn't get invited to the tournaments because we wouldn't be fast enough. Also we would lose our conference relay meet for swimming that would be a big deal because we only have 4 meets. The reason I chose to take a stance to oppose the entire plan in general is because I think more time needs to be taken. We understand that there are budget problems, but let each school and each team decide where to cut their own budget.

ii. Questions

1. Where do you get the funds for the travel you take in swimming and diving training trip?
  - a. We go every winter to somewhere warm and isolated to focus on the sport. We pay for that ourselves, it is not UWL money at all. To have it in the WIAC cost reduction plan doesn't make sense, because we pay for it.
2. What brought this about? Was the WIAC saying they weren't running the business efficiently?
  - a. Joe Baker said some chancellors got together to talk about saving money and they asked the commissioners to find ways to save money in athletics.
3. What is the commissioner's stance on this?
  - a. He has not gotten back to me.
4. What is the disadvantage of scheduling an extra game in your conference?
  - a. It makes you better to play someone else instead of playing the same teams over and over again.

5. Why are softball, tennis, and golf the only exceptions?
    - a. I think it was because you absolutely have to be outside for those sports.
  6. Can the chancellors amend this?
    - a. It is up to them.
  7. Will you be speaking to the chancellors tomorrow?
    - a. I talked to Gow on Monday, I have a document with ten testimonials that I will send out, but I haven't pushed to sit in on the meeting.
- e. Chancellor Gow
- i. I think the solar hot water system from CCEF is a wonderful thing. The idea is to get big projects, so hopefully people will keep that in mind. It will be a major thing in the history of the university. the other issue is one you have let me know you are concerned about, the pay for print. That recommendation has been brought to me but I take your concerns very seriously. I have concern in how we would implement a pay to print system. I want you to know it is coming. Derek made a great point at the meeting, when we go in that direction we need to impress upon faculty that it is a new world and students do need to pay for printing. So we would work very hard to make faculty aware of the issue. We are going to work very hard to talk about what the options are and when we are going to do this. The other thing is the free prints that everyone gets, the recommendation is 10, but that may be tweaked. This is a dramatic thing, all the fees you are being charged. You will tell your kids that you went to school in a time when the state pulled out of higher ed. If we want to maintain quality we have to find other ways to pay for it, and that sometimes falls on you. Every penny that we raise we put into the university. Something like paying for printing makes sense, it is just how you do it, and that is what we are looking at right now.
  - ii. Questions
    1. I heard that you will be at Veggies with the Leggies, is that true?
      - a. I am leaving the chancellor's meeting early to be there. I haven't seen them all together in a higher ed setting, so I am delighted that you put that together.
    2. What are your thoughts on the WIAC plan?
      - a. I think the people involved in athletics are the people I am going to listen to the most about this. I am happy to listen. Other schools are talking about how to handle these budget cuts. At one meeting someone said let's cut sports. WIAC came out with this plan to save money and it involves changes in athletics. I am going to vote the way you want me to vote. The thing is other institutions might out vote us. Melissa pointed out that not all nine of these universities have swim teams, it is

only six. If it doesn't impact a university then they shouldn't vote on it. So maybe we can get it where we want it, I am working on it.

- iii. I have to thank the old leadership, this has been fantastic. Derek and Kyle have kept it going strong. You guys have been fantastic. I think we do this better than anybody.

## VII. Officer Reports

### a. Derek

- i. Keng Moua had to resign for personal reasons. If you see him let him know we are still thinking about him and thank him for his contributions this year.

- ii. Thank you for your work on NCUR.

### b. Kyle

- i. I have been getting multiple comments from people about how they appreciate knowing what senate is doing this year.

- ii. Next week on Tuesday morning we will have our tri campus advocacy group. We will be going there with the new administration.

- iii. Next week Friday or Saturday we will have the system reps meeting.

- iv. I know right now it is tuff to concentrate on school and senate. Thank you all for coming here. There are some individuals that don't come and that irritates me. I appreciate your attendance.

### c. Others

#### i. City Affairs

- 1. Matt Harter officially mayor as of Tuesday.

#### ii. Environmental

- 1. Happy Earth Day. Tomorrow is neighborhood clean up.

- 2. Meet at Riverside Park for Critical Mass.

#### iii. Legislative

- 1. Went to a forum on Monday. They talked about burn barrels.

- 2. Posters in the Rotunda was good. We got to see Shilling and talk to Kapanke.

- 3. Veggies with the Leggies this Friday. If you could tell your friends it is going on at 1pm in this room this Friday.

#### iv. Shared Gov

- 1. It was an exceptional speech Matt did at the Rotunda.

#### v. CFO (director's report)

- 1. Sat as the vice chair of apportionment and the student tech fee committee. Some of the things our budget went to was salaries, supplies (printing and dining services), administrative fees (attorneys, long distance, postage), and travel (Leg Con, etc.). Some of the things I have been working on has been the SUFAC restructuring and the SUFAC website. We wanted to have a central place where organizations could come to get information. We will have budget request and one shot request forms on there. We are also going to post past budgets on there.

The guidelines and F50 will be posted. If you have any ideas for things to add let me know.

VIII. RHAC Report

- a. Blood Drive, we need donors, April 28<sup>th</sup> to the 30<sup>th</sup>. There are a ton of spots open, we really need donors.

IX. Advisor Reports

- a. Jeremy
  - i. I have a lot of time next team so feel free to spend it with me.

X. Committee Reports

- a. Student Service and Buildings
  - i. Met on Monday, talked about the meal plans. Talked about Cartwright's hours over the summer. They are talking about closing an hour early on Friday's. Sue wants to change all the towel driers to hand driers. Maybe we could do that campus wide. It reduces paper towel waste. It talked to Groshek about that and it might happen next fall.
  - ii. I would like to get a feel on what students think about the athletics complex situation. The reason that there is such a big operating budget is because these are things they didn't think about before. We are going to have to pay someone to oversee. They want pay someone .75 FTE to oversee the operations, events, and promotion. There is nothing we can do about it now. Either way it goes back to the students.
    - 1. One of the major decisions that we have is if we want each student to pay a user fee or if we want it as a seg fee. We used to pay about \$16,000 a year to pay for the old stadium. Then senate asked why we were paying anything if it was coming down. We knew it would be coming back, but we never knew how much.
    - 2. We get that it is not the ideal situation, but I am more in favor of the \$20 assessed to everyone. If everyone is paying for it then it gives us more lobbying power for everyone to use it.
    - 3. If we do charge athletics and intramurals for the use, students still end up paying for it because those group's budgets will need to be bigger.
    - 4. Do other schools have athletics pay for their fields?
      - a. Either way athletics still pays for some of it.
    - 5. I don't see why it has to be a dichotomy, like with the Health Center there are certain things we still have to pay for.
    - 6. What is important to me is minimal barriers of access to anyone who wants to use it. The more upfront that we pay for it the easier it is for anyone to get out there and use it.
- b. Election Commission
  - i. If you have any ideas let me know. We are meeting tomorrow.
- c. Leg Affairs
  - i. We are bringing up the by-laws next week. I will put them on the website.

d. Apportionment

- i. We discussed the cost of running the complex. We will continue those discussions. Bob was very honest with us. He is assuring us that they are going to run as tight a shift as possible. We are also considering a future carry over request. A group that we are funding currently, their leadership is leaving for a year so they want to hold their carry over for a year. That is a step that groups are beginning to budget in advance.

XI. New Business

a. SA 0809-060 Resolution in Support of Chartwells Going Trayless

i. Wallace/Turtenwald

1. Luke did a great job answering questions.

ii. Discussion

1. We passed a resolution similar to this in RHAC so we are in support of this. We were thinking about having a campus wide survey about what students would want to spend the money on.
2. With the survey we wanted to ask what students would want to spend the money on if we had savings. But Luke addressed those issues.
3. Move to amend to strike anywhere it says Chartwells and say University Dining Centers
  - a. Ruplinger/Kahl
  - b. Call to Question
    - i. Passed
4. Amendment: Therefore be it further resolved; student senate recommends that cost savings from going trayless be put towards lowering or offsetting the cost of dining services
  - a. Wallace / Herro.
    - i. The idea is to lower the cost not provide additional options.
    - ii. By lowering the cost you can still bring in local and organic foods.
  - b. Discussion:
    - i. Shameless CAB plug; an incoming speaker is coming to talk about going towards a route like this.
    - ii. Sentiments of liking the local and organic food portion of the resolution.
    - iii. Cost savings in food is \$30,000 dollars and reflect a \$5 year change on their bill. By having it for local and organic food would be a good idea to keep in the resolution.
    - iv. How well would Chartwells react to a proposal requesting going to organic and local foods?
      1. Chartwells will be open for discussions and these sentiments were reiterated.

- v. Move to amend to say in consolation with UWL students via the dining services committee.
        - 1. Urbas/LeFevre
        - 2. Ultimately it is mandating us to do what we already do.
        - 3. I don't think there is just going to be money left over; I think they are going to find a constructive way to use it.
        - 4. It's cool to see where the money is going to. If we decided we wanted to see something else manifested by those savings that would be cool too.
        - 5. Call to question
          - a. Passes
      - c. Call to question (original amendment)
        - i. Passes
    - 5. Call to question (document as a whole)
      - a. Passes
  - iii. Move to reinstate article 3 of the CCEF by-laws with the knowledge that we are not in line with our bylaws at the moment but will be by July 1<sup>st</sup>.
    - 1. Klotz/Ruplinger
    - 2. Discussion
      - a. Could I rescind my motion to and make a motion to reinstate it once we are inline with it? The reason being that the \$70,000 is such a minimal part of article 3 and we wanted to go into the semester with everything back where it is supposed to be.
      - b. We won't have the \$70,000 for a while. To leave it out and have some restructuring of the bylaws and bring something forth.
      - c. I think what we are worried about is that we don't know for sure if we will have the \$70,000.
      - d. Rescind the motion
  - iv. Move to reinstate Article 3 Section 1 except for 1A
    - 1. Klotz/Taylor
    - 2. Discussion
      - a. Move to question
        - i. Herro/Kahl
          - 1. Passes 26:0:1
        - ii. Passes
- b. Approval of 2009 Student Association Election Process and Results
  - i. Thiel/Brown
    - 1. It's the process of voting and the results that happen after you vote.
  - ii. Discussion

1. Call to question
  - a. Passes
- c. SA 0809-065 Resolution on the USA Today Collegiate Readership Program
  - i. Taylor/Brown
    1. We met last Thursday and discussed this document. Academic Affairs committee has been reviewing this over the year and felt we should move forward on it. They felt there was a strong student interest and we want to fund it. Based on the estimates the fee is the best guess. It is a fairly fluid contract. We can disengage the contract after the first year. There are a few issues that need to be worked out. Dr. Nik is pulling the program from the halls and if there is an issue with the amount of papers then he would consider putting in some money. We wanted to make sure we were up front so I sent out an all campus email. I received 3 comments in response in the last two days.
  - ii. Discussion
    1. We did a trial. We used the State Journal, Tribune and USA Today. The student value was perceived at \$6 per semester. We haven't determined with absolute authority where exactly these are going to be. Anytime after the first year we can opt out with 30 days notice. With the agreement we would be locked into spending at least what we spent the first year. The amount that the exec board decided to apportion to it was roughly \$6 per student per year.
    2. Who pays for the papers we have on campus?
      - a. the Res Halls
    3. I talked to people and some like this and some don't because tuition is already going up and we have to pay more. We have the internet here; we could just get the current events from there instead of spending more money. The intentions were good but some people feel if we have these other sources then why not use that?
    4. I agree with this in theory, I am worried that it is not going to be utilized as much as we think it is. We looked into this my freshman year but not many students were taken.
    5. In the report that was sent out there was a statistical difference between people who prefer the TV and internet. Students prefer those two over the newspapers. We are looking at a \$280 increase in tuition this year. Seg fees are going up total of \$79. We have been talking about the pay for print program which would be an average cost of \$16 a year per student. I see the value in this, but do we need to charge students \$6 a year just to get the same information for free on the internet? We are talking about tray less in Whitney, the solar hot water heater, etc. all of these things were in the spirit of eco friendliness, and

this would go completely against what we just voted for. just because holding the paper would be fun doesn't mean we have to implement the program. In addition to paying higher fees on campus and the fact that you can get all of this free, I will not be voting in favor of this.

6. Is the ID swiper thing still a possibility?
  - a. It is, but they didn't say it was going to happen. He seemed to allude that this would be very expensive.
  - b. They would provide the kiosk for free, as long as our cards work with it. If that hurdle can be jumped then we would have that.
7. I would hate for us to be paying a seg fee for staff to be taking the newspapers.
8. First of all cable and internet aren't free. It is way more than a \$6 seg fee. Also internet is not free, and you paid \$200 for you Ipod. I like this. If I saw a newspaper I would rather read that, and they don't run out of batteries.
9. I personally like radio because that is free. This isn't an implementation that we would all be using. I think it would make sense to have the kiosks like in the city. I don't know why that would be that hard. I think that is a great way to do it so that the people who want it can get it and the ones who don't don't have to pay for it.
10. I was contacted by people asking me to oppose this because of the tuition increases and environmental damage.
11. What I meant by the internet and TV is these are things you use because you already have them anyway.
12. I would like to see the option of paying for them individually.
  - a. They get this at the educational rate.
13. When we are talking about the individual user fee vs the entire body paying for something, it is important keep in mind there are many things we all pay and not all use. All the things we pay through seg fees are considered for the general good.
14. How do you justify me paying for the child care center? I don't have a child. I like this because it is a one year contract and it is only \$6. I think it is for the greater good.
15. I am still a little bit on the fence on this, but the people who would pay individually for a paper are completely different from the people who would pick up a paper for free. If you get a paper for free you might just set the paper down on a table and people read it more often. This is just another avenue to expand people's views.
16. I think it's a good opportunity.
17. We should keep in mind that it is actually \$51,000. We can do this later, we should think about the times we are in.

18. I think we take for granted how much we know because we are involved. This could benefit all students. You can't put a price tag on education.
  19. The survey had a 21.4% response rate.
  20. We are going to split our vote tonight because we have had res hall students come up to us and oppose this. that is where we stand in RHAC
  21. I am in support of this too now. We are here for higher education. Will we get the La Crosse Tribune?
    - a. Res Halls really want the state journal, so we can do four total papers but we can only have 3 in one spot. So we can do that. We still have to make a decision about what exact papers by Sep 1<sup>st</sup>.
  22. I don't ever read the newspaper. There are probably 2 people in my hall that actually do.
  23. Now we have to pay for printing so it will be useful.
  24. Call to question
    - a. Fails 13:13:1
- d. SA 0809-063 Resolution Opposing Current WIAC Cost Reduction Program
    - i. Lauderdale/Brown
      1. It talks about what issues this would cause and it says we do support cost reduction in general but it should be up to the individual campuses where to cut.
    - ii. Discussion
      1. we had our student athletic advisory committee meeting and every athlete in the room was against the WIAC plan. we don't want them to take away our competition and opportunity to travel. There is an uproar on the track team about conference being cut to one day because it would really hurt us and we are second in the nation right now.
      2. athletics understand that there is going to be budget cuts but it should be up to them
      3. Call to question
        - a. Passes
  - e. Approval of CCEF Recommendations on Solar Hot Water Heater
    - i. Ruplinger/Klotz
      1. Committee recommended that we focus on diversity and accessibility projects.
    - ii. Discussion
      1. I think we sent this back to committee so that they could split it, I am a little disappointed. I will be voting against it because I don't want to pay for the LEED Certification.
      2. We asked them if we could split it and they said that when they brought the budget to the board of regents they were brought together so they couldn't split it. I will be voting against it because I would like to see more accessibility issues.

3. I agree with Gow on this one. This is a really great project.
4. If we voted this down could we draft something to say we want it split?
  - a. You can do whatever you want. You can send it back to committee; the chancellor makes the ultimate decision.
5. I think it is a great idea and we should really pursue it.
6. I don't know how many more times we can say it, the LEED Certification is going to happen. There were 2 sources it could have come from; the chancellor does have the final say. It was budget. I understand the concern, but it is coming.
7. If I was voting I would vote yes. We have discussed this plenty of times.
8. I don't want us to vote on this just because we think there is no other option. If we vote against the chancellor that's ok, that's how democracy works. I would rather see it go back to committee.
9. The LEED Certification is a brand name. It is a positive label. It is a good thing to have to attract more students.
10. I hope that we look at this in a different way.
11. You would have to strike a portion of that as an amendment. You aren't voting on two separate things, they are in the same package. The number comes from the combined package. We would need more information.
12. When the building people make their bids for this project, it is a combined thing.
13. It is tricky because the companies all bid for that block, so if we were to just say that here is the money for just the hot water heater, they will say they bid for it all together. It might not be possible to make that happen.
14. They don't have to itemize it and disclose how much each thing is going to cost.
15. We had the engineer in talking about the certification and he said we couldn't say our building is up to LEED standards because it is copyright infringement. The LEED Certification is the reason we are putting all the other things into this building. I don't get the disconnect. It seems so completely irrational and illogical. It had been in the plan since the beginning. It's like taking a class the whole semester and then not showing up for the exam. Who does that? I did, I failed the class.
16. Call to question
  - a. Passes 19:7:1

## XII. Discussion

- a. SA 0809-064 Resolution Amending Senate By Laws
  - i. Turtnewald/Ruplinger
  - ii. Discussion
    1. Does the senator/parliamentarian loose their voting rights?

- a. It doesn't say that.
  - b. It could be interpreted by the parliamentarian.
2. Is this going to be a paid position?
  - a. It is budgeted but it is up to this if it is paid.
3. Would a senator be appointed by the senate or do they apply?
  - a. It says they are appointed by the president and vp. Any appointment by them is subject to approval by senate.
4. How does the current parliamentarian feel about this?
  - a. I think there needs to be clarification in here, whether they would be paid or not paid, and voting rights.
5. I would like the parliamentarian to be picked from the BOD, not a senator. They are paid anyway.
6. I like that idea; I encourage you all to think of something to do about whatever you don't like.
7. I agree with the director position, but I think the president should be the parliamentarian. You don't have to do any extra work. Since they get paid anyway and don't have voting rights I think it makes perfect sense.
8. I don't think that there is any reason why a senator or director couldn't just apply anyway because it just says a member of UWL SA
9. Is this opening the door for a senator to become a parliamentarian?
  - a. Yes.
10. I am not opposed to having an interim parliamentarian from the BOD.
11. It would be ideal to have a student in this position. We need to have some sort of a back up plan. As far as the president holding, that would be the last option that I would recommend because that would blend the legislative and executive branch, and there are times when the president can't be here.
12. Motion to close discussion
  - a. Thiel/Wolf
    - i. Passes

### XIII. Announcements

- a. Friday night is another Earth Day Event, movie called Black Gold.
- b. Augustana on Saturday.
- c. Next week Greek Life hosting tables in CC and Whitney. Selling buttons promoting sexual assault awareness. Proceeds are donated.
- d. Water Ski team got fourth this last weekend.
- e. Let people know about WIAC plan.
- f. Geography club this Friday doing a walk on the Bluff.
- g. Please look over the election by-laws.
- h. Friday from 8 to 11 is the testicle festival.
- i. If you want to serve on a committee next year let me know.

### XIV. Adjournment



- a. Wolf/Herro
  - i. Meeting adjourned at 10:20.

SENATORS		College/Org.	ROLE CALL	ROLE CALL 2
Barquero	Ulisses	CBA		
Blazek	Kelliann	CLS	x	x
Brown	Micha	BSU	x	x
Decker	Rourke	RASO	x	
DeVries	Jon	RHAC	x	x
Gietman	Jake	SAH	x	x
Haase	Chelsea	CBA	x	x
Hall	Palmer	NASA	x	x
Hastings	JennieLynn	Rainbow Unity	x	x
Hayes	Laura	Off - Campus	x	x
Her	Dorothy	ASO	x	x
Herro	Nick	CBA	x	x
Hougen	Carly	SAH		x
Jimenez	Sergio	LASO	excused	
Kahl	Erik	Greek Senator	x	x
Klotz	Melissa	Athletics Council	x	x
Knutson	Megan	SAH		x
Lauderdale	Laura	SOE	x	x
LeFevre	Kevin	At-Large	x	x
Nguyen	Anh	ISO		
Palmer	Andrea	SAH	x	x
Ruplinger	Missy	At-Large	x	x
Schmidt	Eric	Freshman	x	x
Schoonover	Sara	SAPA	x	x
Shervey	Ryan	CLS	excused	
Sweeny	Bonnie	GSO		
Syafitri	Sonia	CBA	excused	
Taylor	DeAndre	Arts & Comm		x
Thiel	Chuck	Off - Campus	x	x
Turner	Sarah	SOE	x	
Turtenwald	Andrea	Arts & Comm	x	x
Urbas	Cate	RHAC	x	x
Vang	Baobai	HOPE		
VanWyk	Katie	Freshman	x	x
Wallace	Karly	SAH	x	x
Wolf	Britten	At-Large	x	x

<b>Present</b>		26	27
<b>Total</b>		37	37
<b>Percent Present</b>		70%	73%