



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN – LA CROSSE
STUDENT ASSOCIATION

235 CARTWRIGHT CENTER 1725 STATE STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8717

Student Senate Agenda

Date: November 5th, 2008

Time and Location: 6:00 PM; Port O'Call

- I. Call to Order.
 - a. Meeting called to order at 6:02pm
- II. Role Call
- III. Approval of Agenda
 - a. Wallace/Brooks
 - b. Need to include Chancellor Gow
 - i. Thiel
 - ii. Agenda approved
- IV. Approval of Minutes
 - a. ONeill/Turner
 - b. Minutes approved
- V. Guest Speakers
 - a. Chancellor Gow
 - i. I wanted to give you an update on my thinking on that issue. Since that time 2 things have happened that have influenced my thinking. One was yesterday's election. The other thing is the meeting I attended on Monday night. I thought the discussion was so interesting that it reminded me that this is a discussion that is worth having. If others on this campus want this, I think we can have this discussion. Just watching the value of having the conversation was very powerful. I want to let you know I do evolve in my thinking. I think it is healthy for people in positions like mine to change their way of thinking. I am very eager to hear what you think.
 - ii. Questions
 1. Do you feel like it is a requirement for you to sign this in order to have to discussion?
 - a. No, but I feel like there is a way to turn that into a positive thing. There is a reason this was started by a college president. There is a reason why I am the one that the attention is focused on. This is a conversation we ought to be having anyway. Even if nothing changes, this is something we need to talk about. I have come to the realization that this isn't just about 18 and 21 year olds
 2. What did the academic council say about this?

- a. I have received emails from faculty on both sides. There are many faculty who taught here when the drinking age was 18. Academic staff were impressed by what Matt had to say. The challenge is that the media focuses on the “they want to lower the drinking age”. I am interested in seeing the headline tomorrow; I think it is a little more complex than that. I am willing to lend my communication ability if everyone says we want to do this. I would be honored to explain why that happened.
- 3. What strategies do you see that the university could take to project a positive media spin on this?
 - a. I think we are doing that now. We can talk about the research and what it means. I think there is a way to talk about this without getting drawn into the emotion.
- 4. Do you think this would have an impact on fundraising right now?
 - a. I really don't. Why should we be afraid to have a reasonable conversation, isn't that purpose of this university? I support robust dialogue, in a civil way. I would opt for staying true to the values of the university. At the system level I don't think they are taking a stand on this, I think there is a message in that. They said you are the one we want to hear from. We are very lucky we have Matt. I probably wouldn't have the feelings I do if we didn't have Matt. Ultimately there is this question of do we want our university's name with a movement that is perceived to be about lowering the drinking age? That is an issue.
- b. Jake Cox and Bobby Erickson – Amethyst Initiative
 - i. Bobby Erickson- Joe Gow said a lot of stuff I wanted to say. I did talk to academic staff council and faculty staff. I sensed a lot of enthusiasm. They were interested in hearing both sides of the story. Like Gow said, if we don't talk about it now then when? This does put pressure on our elected officials. They are starting to talk about it. It is raising awareness and what we can do about our flawed policies. It is important that when you are voting that you have something to fall back on. I think that if you really do truly believe that our policies are working then vote against it. But if you want to find something that will keep people safer then it is your duty to vote for this. This could have some impacts down the road. This will be coming up in congress. Whether we sign this could have an impact on how seriously they take this.
 - ii. Jake Cox- I wanted to take a few minutes to talk about what I have learned about this. I have gotten to know the issue a lot better. I hope you looked at the petition. It contains 754 notarized signatures, and

over 200 not notarized. One of the biggest obstacles I came across was that no one wanted to talk. I devoted my entire life to working on this over the past few months. Something I found interesting is that no one has asked me why I am doing this. The thing that motivates me is because for my first three years here I was the drinking culture. That is where I am coming from. I was completely engulfed in that community. I really think that that issue is more applicable than some of the things we deal with in this room. Other stuff matters to some point, but not like this. This effects everyone on this campus. I got drunk 22 days in a row my sophomore year. My junior year my roommates and I operated an underage bar. Some of the really nasty and dangerous things- we had a Halloween party. A group of guys decided to show up and rob us because of all of the money we had accumulated. I witnessed one of the bouncers stab a guy, my roommate had his skull cracked open. Guns were fired. This kind of stuff is happening all over this town. It is directly related to the underage drinking culture that has evolved. We need to figure out how to fix it. Policy always affects people's behaviors because it is always related to morality in our minds. I think this is an enormous opportunity to change the way people use alcohol and the way they associate with this substance. Right now people depend on it, it is completely engrained in every aspect of our society. We don't recognize this. I think this is a tremendous opportunity for us to tackle this issue. We can blow this up into a positive thing for this community. We are the first student body in this country to do it this way. Everywhere else it has been a top down procedure. Here, we are the ones doing it. Our PR staff is really willing to work on this. I am devoted to this. I don't view the plan of action as a problem, this is something I am devoted to and will see all of the way until the end.

iii. Questions

1. I understand why you told that story, but why do you think that wouldn't have happened if the drinking age was lower?
 - a. It inhibits people from respecting alcohol for what it is. up until the age of 21 we aren't taught anything about it, we have been taught to abstain and we grow up with a complete lack of understanding of alcohol. Then we misuse it. People need to know how to use the substance and right now there is no education about it. They need to have a hands on experience and formulate safe habits. There is also money involved. It is extremely lucrative.
2. What were you telling people that were signing this?
 - a. How informed they became was up to them. a lot of people would ask me questions. There were a lot of different levels of understanding. I told them what it was about and how it encouraged discussion about

- encouraging young people to make better choices. Any understanding beyond that was up to them. The percentage of people who signed it and talked to me was very high. Once you understand what the issue is about you can't really be against it.
3. Do you believe the house parties would decrease with the discussion of this issue?
 - a. I think so. It makes you think about it. If we don't make you think about it then it doesn't affect you. Any type of discussion about alcohol and the way people are using it is going to be beneficial.
 4. You talked a lot about education, when we were younger we learned about it. How will this help it?
 - a. Things like what kind of message are we receiving from the media. All of these things need to be included.
 5. To what extent are the house parties due to a lack of education?
 - a. The money was a big motivating factor; however the lack of education was a big factor. I didn't know what I was doing to the campus community, I didn't know how it was effecting students and I didn't care. I think that has a lot to do with people's understanding of alcohol. A lot of people don't understand that alcohol is a drug. That understanding is clearly lacking when you look at our society. It is something we need to tackle. We will continue to have problems with crime, alcoholism, health, as long as we continue to structure our society around alcohol.
 6. I don't see how educating people is going to change their decision making process if people are willing to break the law anyway. How would educating you change your decision making process?
 - a. I think that once a true understanding is reached they will change the way they think about it. It's a cultural thing; it is really hard to change. A real understanding of alcohol would affect the way they act.
 7. Do you think that initiating this at a national level is really the best way to make a change?
 - a. Any change will have to come after change at a national level. You can talk about anything you want a local or state level but it won't change anything. After that change, then it would start taking place at a state level. Dan Kapanke is actually all about the Amethyst initiative. If we passed this he would all about supporting it at a political level.
 8. What would you be your next step?

- a. There is an event on the 12th, a teleconference with the guy who started the initiative. Also, I would like to work on making a national event and host it on campus. Planning that event on campus would do its own work.
- 9. When does this conversation come up on a national level?
 - a. Earliest is September 2009.
- 10. The American culture is too constantly over do things. If the talk is opened up, I think we should educate before contemplating doing this.
- 11. What would you do if the resolution wouldn't pass?
 - a. I would still be working on this. If it didn't pass it would affect me, I think it is a crucial thing to do because the most important thing is nationalizing the movement. If we didn't sign it there wouldn't be a national path for people to show their support for congress having this discussion next year.
- 12. We are talking about working our way from the bottom up. If there was action taken to change the drinking age, would you want to see that as the state's right or a federal thing?
 - a. I would like to see it as the state's right, just to follow the Constitution. We are the worst state in the nation; different states might want to formulate their own policies.
- 13. Does the initiative take a stance on if hypothetically the drinking age to 18, would that help or hurt people who are already under age drinker? I think that represents a serious population at UWL.
 - a. They would probably find themselves less compelled to go out and drink. That is a phenomenon you see with people when they turn 21.
- 14. What other ideas might help better prepare young adults?
 - a. Reagan came up with a list of 39 things they wanted to implement to help. They implemented half of those things, the other half got forgotten. One of those was to have alcohol portrayed in a more neutral light, and working with Hollywood. I don't know how willing they are to change, but they are some of the huge forces that need to be addressed.

VI. Officer Reports

- a. Derek
 - i. Any last minute people interested in going to the Board of Regents meeting in Madison. It is on teleconference on line.
 - ii. Name tags have been ordered. If you still want one let me know.
 - iii. Questions to answer- posted on D2L.
- b. Kyle
 - i. All the name plates are ordered; you will have them next week.

- ii. Tomorrow for those of you going with me, it will be a long night. We will also be checking out their Student Association.
- c. Others
 - i. City Affairs
 - 1. MTU board coming up on Monday.
 - 2. Drinking in public parks- it will be referred to a smaller subcommittee. It's been deferred for 60 days.
 - 3. Parking tickets- recommendation to defer for 30 days.
 - a. What was the parking ticket?
 - i. The standard is \$5, want to raise it to \$15.
 - ii. Environmental
 - 1. There is an application document for the green fund. It will be posted on a link off the homepage.
 - 2. We are hoping to do a dorm challenge as far electricity use. I will be working with RHAC for getting it set and metering each dorm.
 - iii. GOTV
 - 1. Will be joining us next week to report numbers. She wanted to say thank you to everyone who helped.
 - iv. Leg Issues
 - 1. For the people coming with me to Madison we have to be back by 3:30pm.
 - 2. Leg Issues goal meeting tomorrow at 8 in the senate office.
 - v. PR
 - 1. no report
 - vi. Shared Gov
 - 1. Faculty senate will be talking about Amethyst Initiative.
 - 2. Student evaluations- we are working on it and the economics department requested that they go online. Faculty senate will be talking about it tomorrow.
 - vii. Social Justice
 - 1. Affirmative Action Office search and screen committee- we have questions ready for the interviews. We have around 11 applicants. We will be doing that this Friday.
 - 2. Campus Climate Office will be moving to the first floor of Graff.
 - 3. ATP is coming up this Monday. We are looking for participants. There is an application, you learn a lot. Awareness Through Performance started around 2 years ago; it is a performance in which the performers are trained to educate the audience. It is uncomfortable, but the messages pertain to our experiences that we face every day.

VII. RHAC Report

VIII. Advisor Reports

- a. Jeremy- it was great seeing so many of you helping GOTV. I am pretty confident that there were students who would not have voted with out us

IX. Committee Reports

- a. Name the Eagle
 - i. Extended the deadline until Nov 30th. Submit a name.
- b. Academic Initiatives Assessment Task Force
 - i. Next Wednesday academic initiatives will be having a presentation.
- c. Parking Appeals
 - i. Parking appeals went through about a dozen appeals.
- d. Gen Ed
 - i. New theatre course.
- e. Student Services and Buildings
 - i. Racquet Editor Applications due. Interviews a week from this Friday.
- f. Academic Affairs
 - i. Biggest thing is if you want to speak or nominate someone to speak at commencement we need that by tomorrow. You can email that to me if you want. The application and draft speech is due Wednesday. We need to make a decision by Friday
 - ii. Waiting on BUS 230 newspaper survey.
- g. Apportionment
 - i. We got about \$37,000 worth of requests. Will be deciding on things on Monday so we hope to have one shots on the agenda.
- h. Joint Planning and Budget
 - i. We need to approve 9 positions out of the 30 requests. Of you are interested in what we will do with the GQA money and positions talk to me.

X. New Business

- a. SA 0809-011: Resolution Requesting that Chancellor Joe Gow Become a Signatory of the Amethyst Initiative
 - i. Wolf/Cerwin
 - ii. I appreciate that you all asked me a lot of questions. I those questions put me at ease and showed me that you do understand more than I thought you did.
 - iii. Discussion
 - 1. We did have some members of the community contact us. I had 2 calls against this, and 1 individual on the city council that is in favor of this.
 - 2. I also had a phone call that was from an individual who has held a government position who was in favor of this.
 - 3. The people who were against it didn't think it would help the problem.
 - 4. Let's call the initiative what it is. The people who have been bringing this forward say this isn't a move to lower the drinking age. But it is. The whole point of this is it's supposed to be an unbiased drinking age, but it is biased. I appreciate the heartfelt story, but it shows that it is believed

to be a cultural problem. If the drinking age were lowered then it would pass the problem on to high schools. We are all legally able to drink and we act like idiots. I don't see how this would change anything. House parties take advantage of other people. I think this a cultural problem and changing the age wont change anything.

5. I want to say that it takes a lot of guts to get up here and say what you think. I asked if the national level would be the best way to do this. I don't think that is the best way to do it. If we want to start a change we should start with us first. We need to make a policy on this campus. Passing it on wont change anything. Maybe we should make people go to a class. The officer last weekend said that that is what changes things. We need to start where we are and work our way out.
6. I admire and am impressed with how much work has been doing, put I have to vote no because it is a cultural problem and this doesn't address this.
7. What I have heard people say is that they would like to have the chancellor sign this initiative. They want to focus on the education piece. It is about responsible use, that is not what our society does now. I will vote yes for this. I think we are fortunate to have Vogel on campus. He has the resources and he will work hard to get this done.
8. "Alcohol is a very dangerous drug that has no positive benefits..." with that logic it doesn't make sense to lower the drinking age. Gow would be signing the initiative, not the resolution. I take issue with that because it isn't unbiased. I don't agree with signing this. I do think there is a better approach to this. Get some publicity and make it our thing. That is not the way to solve a problem. I will be voting no.
9. of course there is a bias to this. The Talloire Declaration was biased. We signed it, knowing it was biased. That is a completely irrelevant issue. We are acknowledging our bias up front. Several people have said this is a cultural issue. If it's a cultural issue and age has nothing to do with it then why are we focusing on the age? It is going to happen. In 2009 this bill must be renewed or it will expire. By voicing our opinion now, we as students can say what we want. It is a national issue because of the drinking age act. It comes up once every 25 years, this is the only chance we will have in shaping this debate. There is a great opportunity to bring national debate and national speakers to this campus. We don't have to sign this to have that, but it would draw attention here. We would be the first university to have

students take the lead on this. We have 750 plus signatures. I think we should bring this to our campus and we should take a stand one way or the other.

10. This is a 3 part vote. We need faculty and senate to vote yes as well. I like the fact that this would be discussed at the national level and that we could bring it to the states.
11. We have heard a lot about how we are the first student senate bring this up; actions do speak louder than words. I think that we could do more through an example. We are passionate about this issue but we shouldn't just put that into words, but take steps and create our own educational programs. If we start here and try and shift our culture through example that would speak wonders instead of just signing a piece of paper.
12. This is something we need to talk about. The effects that this would have on campus and the other positive effects it would have.
13. The whole point of this is that the discussion would be that the highway dollars would no longer be tied to the discussion. I think this initiative sounds great. But when you actually read the document it doesn't do that in my opinion. We have a lot of power and we could formulate a policy and we could use the media attention we have already to create our own programs to help curb our drinking problems. I think that method would be better than this because I don't like that we can't amend this. We can make our own to stand behind 100%
14. Why can't we have this at the national level and at the local level? Right now the federal government is abusing its power by having this restriction. That alone should be enough to sway you in a yes vote.
15. The initiative is not to change the drinking age. The discussion would allow us to make changes to the drinking age. This isn't necessarily saying that anything is going to change, it allows us to make proposals. I don't think there should be laws to encourage common sense. I would think there would be a lot more students on the petition if we had more time.
16. I would encourage any senators who want to make any amendments to do that.
17. Quorum
18. I do agree with Senator Cox and I think you have been doing a lot of great work. What bothers me is that it doesn't address the other problems. It makes it look like we don't care about all of the other horrible things that happen, like drunk driving and domestic violence. It is so much more

- that just the drinking age. One in 3 binge drinkers from college become alcoholics. We should care about that too.
19. At first I was completely against it. Most of the students completely agree with, that is who we represent. I hope you remember that.
 20. Our conversation is getting pretty broad. The only thing this is about is getting rid of that law. That is the only thing. All of this side talk are details. We really need to focus on that. Motion to amend the resolution to include the yellow sections.
 - a. Cox/Decker
 - i. Discussion
 - ii. Motion to recess for 10 minutes
 1. Wallace/Herro
 2. Passes.
 - iii. motion to the consideration of the amendment
 1. Allen/Herro
 2. I don't feel this is an amendment, this is a rewrite. I don't think it would be fair, we should have the time to process it.
 3. Everything in yellow is new; everything in red wouldn't be there. This is not an amendment, this is a new resolution.
 4. What is the difference between this document and the previous document?
 - a. I am not going to acknowledge that because that is not discussion the consideration of the document.
 5. hand vote
 6. passes 25:6:0
 21. Discussion on original document
 22. I think we need to take into consideration what your constituents think. I would have to say yes because of that. i will have to vote yes.
 23. The university stands for education and initiating conversation. I will vote yes.
 24. On the original document it says in big bold letters: 21 is not working. Do you agree with that statement? I agree that this should be looked at a higher level. But I can't say that I agree with that. We are saying 21 is not working. This eliminates discussion on 21.
 25. Well 21 is not working as a sole solution to the drinking problem. The drinking problem is a cultural problem. The initiative is for discussing this. Therefore I am for signing this initiative. I think it would take some years for some

situations to improve. I think that students would realize that it wasn't so special after all. I agree as far as pursuing some grass roots work on this issue. It would be very effective if we focused our efforts on this. These two things could be very effective. Why not? I think we need to address this and encourage discussion on this issue in any way possible. We need a solution, very badly. What that means, whether it is lowering the age, eliminating the age, raising the age, I don't know. I personally do support this; my constituents were distraught at the idea so I will be voting no on this. I do encourage you to support this.

26. I am voting yes because I think it is important to discuss this and represent the student body.
27. This is awesome, that we can have this disagreement and conversation. I still haven't heard anyone tell me that the way we are doing this is working. If we are going to have this conversation it should be here, at the institution of higher learning. I understand that not everyone agrees with the precise wording. But the point is let's talk about it. The more power we can have behind one single idea, the more we can have done. There is nothing wrong with encouraging a debate on this. If we want to have any say at all it needs to be now. All this is saying is we need to talk about it. If we sign this we are committing ourselves to work on this until it is all done, and there are people committed to it. I don't see why we can't do it here.
28. As the document stands right now I can't vote on it. However, there were significant changes brought up in the amendment. If that came then that would be a different story. There is more strength in the second document.
29. I am really glad that people are talking about talking their constituency. It is commendable that there were 700 students who signed it, but we have over 4000 students on this campus. And I have still haven't heard why 21 isn't working. That is the main thing that this initiative isn't working.
30. How many people voted yesterday? Now how many people voted 100% with that person? So let's not get carried away with a single word. That is a technicality. Don't trip on that. Take 2 steps back, do you support the general philosophy or not?
31. You can vote against your constituency. They can impeach you.
32. With the signatures that have been shown, and with the people I have talked to, they feel Gow should sign this. If

- we had this as a referendum I still feel that we would get the majority as yes. I am going to vote yes.
33. We have heard that this is about exploring possible solutions. But I feel that this is taking the easy way out.
Move to question
- a. Herro/O'Neill
 - b. (Voting on whether to vote or not to vote on the document or go back into discussion.)
 - c. Fails 13:18:0
34. Call to question
- a. Objection
35. I think that right now drinking is considered a joke. We see it as something that's funny. Something like this would make it more of a serious matter and make people rethink how they see drinking. And as far 700 people signing the petition, that is a lot. We don't get that many votes for our campus elections. The highest amount of votes for that was just over 400. To say that that isn't representing our students is a lie. They have a done a great job and that can be something that you can vote on. I would advise the authors to rescind the motion on the floor and bring it up in discussion.
36. I don't know if I can get behind someone when I don't agree with some of the smaller things. I am not opposed to this I just don't know if we are ready yet. I would like to see us try something else, and then we can look at this. I don't want this to die tonight; I just want to start it here.
37. We should do things locally, but the effectiveness we can have locally will be hamstrung with the federal policy. I had a personal discussion with Dan Kapanke. He told me he would sign that petition right there if only it wasn't just for students. 21 isn't working. A study shows that WI is #1 for adult binge drinking. Almost 67% of WI students admit to binge drinking. It is not working. There are other options we could bring to the table. People have been cherry picking the initiative. It says abstinence only education doesn't work, that is a fact. For people to say that this initiative is not saying factual things, it absolutely is. I know for a fact that some of the school of education people are behind this.
38. I will be voting yes because my constituents agree that 21 is not working. That support is really necessary. I think if we learned about alcohol when we were younger it would be different.
39. Having particular reasons why 21 is not working is not the point. The point is we all agree there is a problem here. We

need solutions to it. This is for discussing the problems and solutions to it.

40. To address the ethical compromises: there have been studies that say binge drinking peaked when the law was 18.
41. I have heard everyone say how they are going to vote. Call to question
 - a. Objection
42. A lot of the comments that have been made tonight are about age. Keep in mind that this isn't necessarily about age; it is about finding a solution. The solution won't be age related. Age is the worse policy ever. What does turning 21 do? It is a stupid policy to begin with. We need a policy that encourages different behavior. The point is to find a better solution. I feel like the amendment I brought forward earlier does a much better job of explaining where we as a student body want to go with this. I rescind this document from the floor.
 - a. Cox/Wallace
 - i. If we were to rescind this document, it doesn't mean the issue would die. We would have the new document next week. It does a good job of explaining that we come from a proactive standpoint.
 - ii. If it was submitted right away the earliest we would see it would be Nov 19th.
 - b. Discussion
 - i. I disagree because this is so ongoing and I think that everyone knows how they will vote.
 - ii. Call to question
 1. objection
 - iii. We are here to discuss this stuff until we get it right. There was an official that could not make it tonight, but he does want to address this body. Postponing this one more week is frustrating but we would be able to hear him speak on this.
 - iv. I didn't agree with the original document, I am 100% for the new document. I am on the fence still. It is rash to say that most of us know how we will vote.
 - v. I am in favor of us rescinding this. But how this is going is ridiculous. It is a waste of time. We have other things to talk about.
 - vi. I think we are all frustrated with how this has gone, but it shows how important we think it is. I think the process is actually working.

1. Call to question- voting on rescinding the motion.
 - a. Fails 20:11:0
- vii. Call to question on the document as a whole.
 1. If you vote no, you can't bring another resolution that asks him to sign it. A motion to reconsider would have to be brought up in the same meeting.
 2. There are a lot of people who don't want to sign this now, but it would be right eventually.
 - a. Are people not comfortable with this vote right now? Because I have so many people who are confused right now, we are going to move back into discussion right now.
 3. What are our options now?
 - a. You can do whatever you want. You can table it... We can offer amendments to the resolution and put them in the agenda next week.
 4. Motion to table it until the meeting.
 - a. Brooks/Wallace
 - b. I think it is important that we look at the amendments
 - c. Discussion
 - d. If we are going to vote on a document, we better vote on the best one. We have a better one out there; I don't see why we can't do this next week.
 - e. No matter what we do to the document, it doesn't change the outcome. Just the fluff in-between is going to change. We all have our minds made up. This is completely unnecessary.
 - f. I disagree, I am pretty sure that some of us don't have our minds made up.
 - g. If you read the second document it is more proactive.

- iii. Discussion
 - 1. She has done an amazing job. She is exactly what we look for in a student regent.
 - 2. motion to close discussion
 - a. Herro/Schmidt
 - b. Discussion closed.
 - b. Approval of Legislative Affairs By-Laws
 - i. Brooks/Vang
 - ii. These are really important. I don't want to do these again. We change the name to Legislative Affairs. We did a lot of reformatting. We discussed taking away one of the faculty members. It was voted down. We redrafted a statement to be more specific.
 - iii. Discussion
 - 1. I appreciate leg affairs getting these to us but I don't think they should be presented in this form. I think it should be the old ones with the changes in them. that is a document we should be using. We should deal with that document next week. I almost hesitate to approve individual by laws. They need to be made in tandem. I don't think we should go ahead the way we are.
 - 2. It would be impossible to do comments on each document because some were completely changed.
 - 3. motion to close discussion
 - a. Herro/Devries
 - i. Discussion closed.
 - c. Approval of Athletics By-Laws
 - i. Klotz/Turner
 - ii. In the bylaws that were submitted to us they had included affiliation as its own article, we thought it would be best to include it in the main article. We reworded how quorum was stated.
 - iii. Discussion
 - 1. I was the original person who revised the bylaws. With athletics committee it is mandated that they have to be chaired by a faculty person, so that is why we have the faculty co chair. We have to combine NCAA rules and UW System rules. It would be really difficult to do the strike through on these; I would rather have a copy of the new ones and the old ones.
 - 2. What is the difference between "will" and "shall"? in law there is a difference.
 - a. We can talk about it outside of senate.
 - 3. Motion to close discussion
 - a. Deshong/Herro
 - i. Discussion closed.

XII. Announcements

- a. Senate Goal Committee Presentations Next Meeting

- b. Meet after the meeting for driving to the regents meeting
 - c. Food drive at Cameron Park from 9-5pm. In coordination with the Hunger Task Force. If anyone wants to help you can come to the park. There is a sign up sheet in the senate office.
 - d. Thank everyone for sticking around tonight and being good sports
 - e. Students for Sensible Drug Policy program, Just Say Know. This will be the second one in the series. It is a drug found in mushrooms. It is very interesting because it is being studied for treatment in depression and psychotherapy. Wed. Nov 12th at noon in Port O Call.
 - f. There is a card in the office for the shooting in Arkansas. There were two students who were shot, a freshman and a sophomore. I'll send it out tomorrow.
 - g. Please keep asking people for improvement in CC.
 - h. 9 days until 9 Days. We have buttons, it would be helpful. Tell your friends, it is only \$5.
 - i. Funny in Farcy author here in Valhalla.
 - j. Nov 22nd is the Turkey Trot. \$20. We are looking for 1800 people. You can win a turkey. The biggest team will win a party.
 - k. Grad applications.
 - l. Planning on making a cookbook. If you have any recipes you would want to submit, they cannot be from cookbooks. I need them by Friday. We don't know how much they are right now; we think it will be \$8.
 - m. We went through the bylaws pretty quick so please look them over.
 - n. Don't think you will always be out here by 8pm. Don't expect to get other stuff done on Wednesday night
 - o. Submit names for the name the eagle contest.
 - p. Leave the sheet of paper that you filled out.
 - q. BSU bash in ward room on Friday at 7pm.
 - r. OMSS clothing drive, drop off donations in the office in Graff.
 - s. If you feel stressed for the next 20 minutes they are doing massages in Coate Hall and Hutch. They are doing a competition.
 - t. A student from UWL passed away on Monday. We will get a card in the office to send out to the family.
 - u. Upward Bound needs volunteers, we tutor high school students. if you are education major it counts for your 30 hours. It is a lot of fun and a challenge. It is a paid position.
 - v. SAH senators remember there is an application open for that.
- XIII. Adjournment
- a. Turner/Herro
 - i. Meeting adjourned.

