



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN – LA CROSSE
STUDENT ASSOCIATION

235 CARTWRIGHT CENTER 1725 STATE STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8717

Student Senate Agenda

Date: November 19th, 2008

Time and Location: 6:00 PM; Port O'Call

- I. Pledge of Allegiance
- II. Call to Order.
 - a. 6:02pm
- III. Role Call
- IV. Approval of Agenda
 - a. Wallace/Turner
 - b. Amendments
 - i. Add in discussion Resolution 0809-035 Adjusting Salaries of Directors
 1. Wallace/Decker
 2. Agenda approved
- V. Approval of Minutes
 - a. Klotz/Turner
 - b. Approved
- VI. Guest Speakers
 - a. Academic Initiative Assessment Task Force – Erik Kahl, Hetzel, Knutson
 - i. Does anyone have any further suggestions from last week? I want to let everyone know that one of the topics from last week was about the national student exchange. It is a one year process so they are hoping that by 09-10 school year to be offering that program. Office of International Education will be taking care of that. Several of us had a meeting on Monday and we are still waiting to hear if we are presenting in Feb or March. I am here to let you know we are unable to get our extension for March so that authorization is due in Jan and we present in Feb. we may have a meeting now on Dec 10th. They are meeting at 7am in order to have something done for the senate meeting that week.
 - ii. Discussion
 1. Did they give us reason for not postponing until March? did they not see the value in that?
 - a. We tried with UW System; they are going to be focusing on the budget in the March meeting. They cannot put this on the agenda. The other component is we are reviewing four differential tuitions in March. we did try to move that.
 2. Since there is more work that needs to be done, do you need more help on the task force?

- a. The way it is set up, there are a lot of subcommittees right now. We meet twice a week to compile everything.
 - b. I would invite everyone to listen to the presentations.
 - 3. Will the senate have two weeks to hash through the details?
 - a. That would be our plan. I was hoping we wouldn't have the December 10th meeting, but that would be the most ideal.
 - b. We want student consideration. We have to make sure we get the student voice on this. I want to make sure it has the opportunity to be vetted. I ask that you are willing to come in on December 10th to have that discussion.
 - c. We have been trying to be transparent on this whole process. We have taken it to other student groups. We return to RHAC tomorrow night. We are trying to get more ideas, input, etc.
 - d. It is always interesting to send out an email to the whole campus because the responses you get are amazing. There is not a very thorough understanding of what this is, so we are counting on senate to represent the students.
 - 4. Why the 5 year timeline?
 - a. They want you to go over it every 5 years. I suspect it has something to do with the fact that with new projects you can't really tell if they are successful until a couple of years.
 - b. We have to give it time to get launched and see how it affects the campus.
 - iii. If you have any questions email us, or if you want us to speak to a group let us know.

VII. Officer Reports

a. Derek

- i. Name tags are in.
- ii. We will be facing significant budget cuts. Tomorrow the state releases the number of the deficit. We won't know what is going to happen on campus, it is not looking good. Be aware of that stuff and the GQA dollars are what we supported a while back. Read the newspapers tomorrow and keep informed so that we can make the best decisions.
- iii. We are doing something new with director reports. Every two weeks they have been turning in reports. We will be adding them on D2L to increase director accountability. Please read those so that we can be better resources.
- iv. We are holding off on the mission committee until next semester. It is tough to start something like that at the end of the semester.

- v. I apologize for adding the resolution late. Thanks for letting that go through.
- b. Kyle
 - i. Training planning group- I will be sending out an email later this week. We will get the ball rolling.
 - ii. We are going to have a meeting on December 10th. It will be an emergency meeting. There will be no officer reports or resolutions. I appreciate you all doing this. We need everyone here to meet quorum.
 - iii. In two weeks I was hoping that we could go down to the Rotary Lights after the meeting. Bring warm clothes and we can walk down. I would love to have all of you come with me.
 - iv. I met with USA Today group. We are waiting for the results. He said this was one of the most diplomatic groups, he has never seen another group go through the amount of work that we do to get something through. He was astonished.
- c. Others
 - i. City Affairs
 - 1. We came in under budget with the bus thing.
 - 2. Alcohol in the parks got referred for 30 days.
 - 3. working on the parking tickets
 - 4. No office hours for me tomorrow from 2 to 3:30.
 - 5. MTU Board meeting yesterday- we are thinking about changing routes to make them faster and buses come every 6 minutes. We wouldn't even need a schedule.
 - 6. Public Forum for MTU December 8th at 5:15pm.
 - a. Did anyone use the taxis for soccer?
 - i. No.
 - b. Will they reduce the number of stops for MTU on campus?
 - c. No.
 - ii. Environmental
 - 1. Starting to compile a list of reps from each hall for the dorm energy challenge.
 - iii. Leg Affairs
 - 1. Regents meeting coming up.
 - iv. PR
 - 1. Continuing to refine the website. If you are interested on working on the front page let me know in the next few days. There are people in IT I can ask as well.
 - v. Shared Gov
 - 1. Faculty Senate meets tomorrow and they will be talking about economics department proposal to put the student evaluations on line. We would like to see them look into it more. I will give a report encouraging them to look into it more.

VIII. RHAC Report

- a. Our PR coordinator resigned.

- b. We won awards at GLACURH.
- IX. Advisor Reports
- a. Meeting times for the week after Thanksgiving.
 - b. I have moved into Kyle and Derek's office.
- X. Committee Reports
- a. Education
 - i. We went through an entire program re haul for the education department. It would affect all of the grads. I will be reporting back to you on that.
 - ii. The PEET program proposed some changes.
 - iii. We had a lot of strengths that were noticed by the department of public instruction. One place that we fell short in our community involvement and engagement. They would like to see us engaging more of our community in our program reviews. We were put on probation in that sense so we will be creating subcommittees pertaining to engagement that we might be asking senators to serve on.
 - b. Library
 - i. We went over the survey given in 04 and 08. We went over the results from 08 and the lowest scores dealt with access to info for journals and other info. We talked about having better signs to make it easier to find areas for group meetings and other areas that are supposed to be silent. Also talked about celebration of the book, were faculty can submit any books they have written in the last five years and then we will pick a winner.
 - ii. Funding- the library's funding hasn't increased since 2001, but journals and periodicals prices have been increasing. It is really complicated to understand, so they can't buy anything because they don't have the money.
 - c. Leg Affairs
 - i. Discussed the constitution. We plan on meeting on the 25th at 3pm in CC 342. If you want to see the version that I wrote I can email it to you. We will bring it to senate next semester.
 - d. Academic Affairs
 - i. Chose a few graduation speakers, but we are still one short. We had a number of applications, they were all very good. College of Science and Allied Health did not submit one, we will reopen applications. We have gotten one application. Applications are due by noon on December 1st. we will select a speaker that night.
 - ii. Apportionment will be reviewing the non-allocable budget over the next two weeks. We can't control that but we can make suggestions to the chancellor.
- XI. New Business
- a. SA 0809-024: Resolution Regarding Vending Machine in Library
 - i. Wallace/Urban
 - 1. I have heard a lot more feedback about it. I spoke to Tom Dokham and he doesn't see any issues with it.

- ii. Discussion
 - 1. People would like healthy food.
 - 2. at the dining services committee they were concerned how it would affect Murphy's Mug. They said they never heard about it.
 - 3. I talked to several people and they were all against having vending machines. I don't see the need for them, I will be voting against it.
 - 4. if a vending machine puts Murphy's Mug out of business, that is sad. It is just a vending machine.
 - 5. I agree, I think it is funny that people are afraid Murphy's Mug will go out of business. It is not our job to decide what is better for Murphy's Mug; it is our job to decide what is best for the students. Anita, the director from the library doesn't see a problem with it.
 - 6. I was thinking about the difference between the types of food between the two, so I don't see that conflicting a lot. There are a decent amount of students who enjoy their junk food, so I will be voting for this.
 - 7. What is the deal with eating in the library?
 - a. Right now they don't enforce the policy in the library because it hasn't been an issue. Anita has no problem with it. We did talk about not having messy snacks in there.
 - 8. Call to question
 - a. Passes, one abstention.
- b. SA 0809-025: Resolution on Campus Activities Board and Student Association Shared Office Space
 - i. Thiel/Brooks
 - 1. I cam in on Monday, and there is people in the office. I actually really like Graphics in there, so I am actually going to move to postpone it.
 - ii. Discussion
 - 1. Move to postpone it to the first meeting of next semester
 - a. Thiel/Allen
 - i. Discussion
 - ii. What happened was that I received a phone call looking for a place to put Graphics because the floor is being redone right now. It is only for a month.
 - iii. Call to question
 - 1. Passed.
- c. SA 0809-026: Resolution on Approving the Academic Calendar
 - i. Klotz/Turner
 - ii. Discussion
 - 1. Call to question

- a. Passed.
- d. SA 0809-027: Resolution Approving Apportionment One-Shots
 - i. Allen/Brooks
 - 1. We have been trying to clarify a few things. One thing is that for the Racquet, Apportionment wanted them to find a better bid for a better computer. Someone can move to change the amount. I went through and explained this last week, I welcome any questions.
 - ii. Discussion
 - 1. We will assume that if we pass this document right now, we are taking whatever apportionment decided, if you would like to make changes we can do that now.
 - 2. I make an amendment to change the Racquet amount to \$1530.
 - a. Thiel/Turner
 - b. I went on dell.com and put together a really good computer. It has 320 gigabyte hard drive. I also put in a 4 year limited warranty. I showed to the Racquet and they thought it looked good.
 - c. Is this something that the Racquet wants or is it something that you put together for them to use?
 - i. I set a budget and tried to make the best computer I could make with that price
 - ii. What he brought to us was a better version of what we requested originally. This would last us much longer and serve us better.
 - iii. It is up to 88% energy efficient.
 - d. This is a cost that we could get additional discounts through the school. If we approve this it is likely that you could get a much better price.
 - e. I am all for the Racquet having better equipment, but why do they need so much Ram?
 - i. These are recommendations, it is not necessarily saying that we will use it all, am I correct?
 - 1. It wouldn't be a huge price difference, and we thought it would be wise to make an investment that would last longer.
 - 2. 3 gigs of ram is going to be the future operating amount. It is recommended by Microsoft. It will be the new recommended amount.
 - f. It is almost a \$600 increase, how does that affect us?
 - i. We have \$190,000 in the reserve.
 - g. Call to question
 - i. Passed, one abstention
 - 3. move to amend the men's lacrosse line to \$2,000
 - a. Herro/Decker

- b. We feel that \$4,000 is a little much to ask. We realize it is the best helmet on the market, but \$2,000 is a fair amount to give them. It will be enough to get them a good helmet. I also want to add a condition that Apportionment must approve the type and quantity.
 - i. I do favor this because there is 33 players maximum, they are asking for 25 helmets. I don't see the reason for giving them the best helmet on the market.
 - ii. How many of the best helmets can they buy with this?
 - 1. They said that at 25 helmets they get a big price break. It looks like there are other price breaks. Let's assume there is no price break, you could get 8 or 9.
 - iii. How rough can lacrosse games be?
 - 1. It is a big contact sport. A couple of kids got concussions. But I don't think you need the best helmets. My senior year we got a bunch of used helmets and they did just fine.
 - iv. When did they ask for helmets before?
 - 1. They asked for them for 2 years before this. We have funded them in the past.
 - v. Last year they were given \$1,000, I think this a fair amendment.
 - vi. Call to question, including that the intent of the motion was that this is pending final approval from apportionment.
 - 1. Passed, one abstention.
4. Women's Volleyball
- a. I applaud Apportionment for denying the women's volleyball team request. It shows disrespect on their part to go over the committee's head.
 - b. I don't think they were going over Apportionment's head, I think they just wanted them that bad. I don't think it is fair for the future players to hold this against them.
 - c. I think that they clearly did go over Apportionment's head because they didn't even care what our opinion was as to what we thought they should order. You can't really screw with Apportionment, we do our homework.
 - d. I don't know if it is okay to assume that they had mal intent. The case is we have to educate groups better. We

have to make sure that they know how this process works.

5. Call to question on the entire document.
 - a. Passed 32:0:0
- e. SA 0809-028: Resolution on Appointing Megan R. Knutson to Science and Health Senator Seat
 - i. Cerwin/Turner
 - ii. Discussion
 1. she is here, she has been waiting to sit down and work
 2. Call to question
 - a. Passed

XII. Discussion

- a. SA 0809-029: Requesting Faculty Senate Support of D2L
 - i. Wallace/Jimenez
 1. It was one of the first emails I received after being sworn into office. I investigated a little further, and it turns out a lot of people use D2L. what we are intending is not that they use it all the time, all we are saying is that a site is automatically generated with each class. It is actually less work for the faculty because the faculty wouldn't have to independently submit a request for each class. John Tillman from IT said it is doable and possible.
 - ii. Discussion
 1. I like this proposal. It is important to note it is not saying that they have to use it, but it give all of us access to the class list which is very helpful.
 2. The faculty I talked to were supportive of this idea, but they hope it ends here. They are hesitant of where this could go, like demanding that our grades be posted.
 3. Motion to close discussion
 - a. Klotz/Jimenez
- b. SA 0809-030: Resolution on The Racquet Editor-in-Chief Appointment
 - i. O'Neill/Schoonover
 1. My name is Nik Nelson; I have been working with Andrea to see where we are at and what I can do.
 2. How do you propose fixing the grammatical errors on every page pf the paper?
 - a. We are doing things; maybe another copy editor would be good.
 3. How do you plan on keeping the paper unbiased?
 - a. I think we are doing a good job now. I still run things that I disagree with; we can't have a conversation unless we have all the view points out there.
 - ii. Discussion
 1. he has been at every senate meeting. This is a great job for him and he will do a great job. Motion to close discussion.

- a. Wallace/Turner
- c. SA 0809-031: Resolution on using the REC for Women's Basketball Program
 - i. Cerwin/LeFevre
 - 1. There is no one in the REC over the summer. They would still have access to the track and the multipurpose rooms. It is good exposure for the university. It is a good opportunity for local officials.
 - ii. Discussion
 - 1. How much would it bring to the university?
 - a. I don't know how much revenue they make.
 - 2. A benefit is the REC would be open for longer.
 - 3. Motion to close discussion
 - a. Decker/Brooks
- d. SA 0809-032: Resolution Approving Organizational Status for Students For A Free Tibet
- e. SA 0809-033: Resolution Approving Organizational Status for Saudi Club
 - i. Klotz/Jimenez
 - ii. Discussion on BOTH d and e
 - 1. motion to close discussion
 - a. Cerwin/Brooks
- f. SA 0809-034: Resolution on Approving the Board of Director By-Laws
 - i. Taylor/Turner
 - 1. We appreciated the suggestions made by the previous senate, but it isn't really working. We decided on a salary bonus system. It would be at the discretion of the president to give the bonuses. The 15% would be the bonuses. There is a way to appeal the decisions. It does state the reasons in which a bonus would be withheld. Also the president would write monthly reports to the directors to let them know how they are doing; it wouldn't be a surprise to anyone.
 - 2. Amendments
 - a. We are striking the clause in Article III because the directors don't have a committee that directly relates to their issues. The case here is the directors positions are more theme based. The CFO sits on Apportionment, whereas others don't have direct committee they sit on.
 - b. We will be posting the reports on D2L for greater transparency.
 - c. It was asked that the shared gov be the chair of Academic affairs.
 - d. The pay will not be hourly anymore, it will be entirely salary. We are asking that they log hours so that we know how to base the salaries. It also includes the responsibilities that go along with the positions.
 - e. One thing that was interesting was F, uncompensated work. It seems silly to hire someone and ask them to do

things but not pay them for that. It will just be expected from them.

f. Directors will be dismissed so that you can feel free to have any discussion you want to have.

3. Questions

a. How do you feel about the changes?

i. We have met weekly and proposed changes. I don't like the hourly system. If you have any concerns or questions come to us in our office hours. If you don't think I am doing my job come and tell me.

ii. The rationale behind the hours was to have accountability. But I think we are maintaining that accountability by posting our reports.

iii. This is important for our accountability. I like that Derek will be watching over it.

4. 2 minute recess.

a. Wallace/Cerwin

i. Passed.

ii. Discussion

1. Procedurally, it doesn't make sense that we are looking at these right now, we should be looking at the constitution. We should just do all of this at once when we look at the constitution. All of the directors that took these positions knew what they were getting into when they applied for the position. My other problem with this is that this body goes through a very extensive process when we allocate money. It doesn't make sense to me that we are allowing one person to make the decisions on the salaries. If we continue to look at this I will propose amendments that take power away from the president and gives it back to the senate. Do you feel that this is an appropriate time to be looking at this?

a. I would say right now I think it is something that needs to happen because of the salary changes.

2. My concern is the accountability. I wouldn't want to see it abused by friends. In the future administrations, we can't be sure that is not going to happen. Maybe we can have it come through senate with a list of reasons.

a. Technically we aren't giving them a Christmas bonus, it is giving them what was already allotted to them in their previous salary.

3. I don't have a direct correlation with the library committee than any director would, so I don't know how much I agree with the committee rationale. I do like the idea of posting the reports because I sometimes wonder what some directors are doing.

Will the directors be reaching their caps in the salary right now?

- a. I think we have one or two that are past the 50%. There are some that are close, and then there are others that are way low.
4. my concern is that if some of them are way low now being paid hourly, then I am concerned with how much they should be paid. I feel that even if we could appeal it and take it away, I feel it would be difficult to do that since we are all students here and in the same circle of friends. There are a lot of positions on campus who aren't getting paid and have a huge amount of responsibilities.
5. I don't think they are complaining about the meetings they have to go to, just logging the hours. How in depth do these reports have to be?
 - a. We have been doing the reports since September. It allows me to be more on page with what each director is doing. It enabled me to be more on track. One director explained that she is a liaison between committees and groups on campus. Almost every single director is on 1, 2, or 3 different committees.
6. I want to fill everyone in on the Leg Affairs side of this. As the chair I am ok with the minor changes. But I don't want this to be a big change because the constitution is coming up. I don't want us to see this as an entire revision.
7. We don't want to use "bonus", we want to use "performance appraisal". They knew what their positions entailed but they didn't know they would have to jump through hoops in order to log their hours. This is something that is used in most businesses. This makes more sense to me and I like how it is. Are we really going to discipline them for trying to make changes? The one thing I would like to see is where it says that the president is in charge of making these decisions, I think it should be voted on by senate. Do they have to be in good standing with the university?
 - a. Yes.
8. I do think this is an issue that is appropriate to be looked at now. It is a good idea; it is more them being paid on substance. Could we put the budget on D2L?
 - a. Yes.
9. The proposed budget is to make the salary smaller and then giving them the bonus?
 - a. Not necessarily, that is yet to be determined. Next year, it depends on this body whether they want to go less or more.

10. There are loopholes in here that I am questioning. I don't think it should just be the president that approves the bonuses. How do you determine a good job, who determines it? I don't question the intention; I can just see it being messy. I am for the discussion, but I think we should dig more into this. I do like the fact that this was brought up early.
11. The biggest issue is that the president is given a lot of power. A month ago we were talking about Allen's position, and now we are talking about the same thing. I think it should be brought to senate in a resolution and be voted on it. The president can speak to it. I do think it is tedious to log 15 minutes here or there. My question is about how the GOTV coordinator would work?
 - a. It would only be every 2 years in the fall. The salary wouldn't be annually.
 - i. Can we set that as an exception?
 1. Yes.
12. What are the minimum or max hours per week?
 - a. They serve 2 office per week, aside from that they don't have a set number of hours. The reason for the different salaries is based off the one year that they logged hours.
13. I think having a salary is a good idea. On average you would be paid the same. When you think about it, administrators are paid salaries and we have to trust them to do their jobs. The president and VP appoint them, so it is easier to confront them or approach them that way.
14. I am not opposed to changing the way they are paid, but I am not sure this is the best way to do that. I think they should be rewarded for the amount of work they put in, I think with the salary it would be easy to abuse that.
15. I think it lacks transparency. As far as I know there are no other student positions that are salary are there?
 - a. Yes there is.
16. Why aren't they managing their time and tasks in a set period? As a student worker, I don't log in 30 minutes here and there, I manage my time. About the separating friendship from professional feelings, if you can't do this on the body you need to resign. Yes, we need to make safeguards to protect the president from abusing their power, but we are professionals. I think this should go back to leg affairs and go into affect next year.
17. Was this forwarded to leg affairs to approve before it was put here?
 - a. No, not to the committee. Yes, the chair was approached about this.

18. This is completely out of order. The changes have to be approved by leg affairs for every other committee. I encourage the chair to remove this from the agenda next week. I will call it out of order. Leg affairs could hash out a lot of these issues. I am baffled by the fact that this swindled through the ranks.
 19. It's not that directors are frustrated about being on call; it is just about managing the time. If it is a quick email or phone call, or bumping into someone who is tied to your position. It is those moments that are hard to log. This year has interesting circumstances. It is just frankly not working that well. I apologize that it hasn't gone through leg affairs. What do we do with directors who have worked up to 75% of their allotted salary this year? On the topic of being accountable to senate, I agree we need to make policy that stands the test of time. I would like to point out that as someone elected by the student body, the new president is handed a budget and with that the president is the sole person who is in charge of the SA budget. It is at the discretion of the president. I encourage some sort of accountability.
 20. I hope this isn't on the agenda next week; this is completely out of order.
 21. I will agree with that, I don't think that this is something that we should vote on yet. This is something that Leg Affairs needs to look into. I think that right now this isn't a good time to do it.
 - a. When could the committee look at it?
 - i. Not until next semester.
 22. Based on the work that the directors have done, would you agree that all of them have earned 35% of their salary?
 - a. No. there was one in particular that was a dramatically higher number.
 - i. So that one is more of an issue that the salary is not adequate.
 - b. I feel very comfortable with the rest of them.
 23. I was surprised that there was the addition of the environmental position.
 - a. It was in the original, but the one that was posted was missing it. It has been passed.
 24. The pay for that position is a separate discussion.
 25. Do you foresee this going forth next week?
 - a. You will know by Friday.
 26. There is no point in doing this twice. Move to close discussion
 - a. Herro/Cerwin
- g. Resolution 0809:
- i. Thiel/Hayes
 1. Some salaries are in line, some need to be adjusted.

2. Leg Issues- it is about 19 hours a week. That is an unbelievable time commitment.
 3. CFO- this year this director has taken on really big projects. If you go by hours, she will far surpass the allotted salary.
 4. City Affairs- almost had half of his hours in at the beginning of the semester. The bus contract took a lot of hours. In order to fairly compensate them, these adjustments are fair.
 5. We are actually adding \$900 back into SA budget.
- ii. Discussion
1. I agree with Leg Issues and CFO, could you provide rationale for raising City Affairs?
 - a. This is actually just this year; eventually we will be submitting a budget to Apportionment that will come back to senate.
 2. This resolution is not related to the bylaws we talked about earlier?
 - a. It is not, it is just a base budget.
 3. Will these be permanent?
 - a. Nothing carries over, but a lot of times Apportionment goes off the previous year's salary.
 4. I have a problem with the City Affairs issue. We didn't give the city affairs director the sole responsibility of the bus contract. He was at meetings he wasn't required to be at. I understand he did a lot of work, but if any of the other positions did work over the summer I wonder if they would have been paid. I question the hours he logged over the summer.
 5. Motion to close discussion
 - a. Decker/Barquero

XIII. Announcements

- a. Turkey Trot this Saturday. We changed the route
- b. Tomorrow is Transgender Day of Remembrance
- c. In case anyone was wondering about the next step with the Amethyst Initiative- Students for a Sensible Drug Policy offered to fly me to a conference in D.C. Hopefully I will return with a new step I can take.
- d. This Saturday it is the 10th annual telethon for the human society. If you would like to give money to them it would be great. It starts at 7pm on channel 96 and campus channel 6.
- e. Applaud Kate Urbas and Jon DeVries for the nomination.
- f. Happy B-Day Karly.
- g. Glad to have Natalie Holzem back.

XIV. Adjournment

- a. Wallace/Herro



UW-La Crosse Student Association 2008-2009

SENATORS	College/Org.	ROLE CALL	ROLE CALL 2	ROLE CALL 3	ROLE CALL 4
Allen	SOE	x	x	x	
Barquero	CBA	x	x	x	
Blazek					
Brooks	BSU		x	x	
Cerwin	Arts & Comm	x	x	x	
Cox	SAH	x	x	x	
Decker	RASO	x	x	x	
DeShong	SAH	x	x	x	
DeVries	RHAC	x	x	x	
Haase	CBA	x	x	x	
Hastings	Rainbow Unity	x	x	x	
Hayes	Off - Campus	x	x	x	
Her	ASO	x	x	x	
Herro	CBA	x	x	x	
Hougen	SAH	x	x	x	
Jimenez	LASO	x	x	x	
Kahl	Greek Senator	x	x	x	
Klotz	Athletics Council	x	x	x	
Knudson	SAH		x	x	
LeFevre	At-Large	x	x	x	
Nguyen	ISO				
O'Neill	CLS	x	x	x	
Palmer		x	x	x	
Schmidt	Freshman	x	x	x	
Schoonover	SAPA	x	x	x	
Sweeny	GSO				
Syafitri	CBA	x	x	x	
Taylor	Arts & Comm	x	x	x	
Thiel	Off - Campus	x	x	x	
Turner	SOE	x	x	x	
Urbas	RHAC	x	x	x	
Vang	HOPE		x	x	
VanWinkle	At-Large		x	x	
VanWyk	Freshman	x	x	x	
Wallace	SAH	x	x	x	
Wolf	At-Large				
Present		28	32	32	0
Total		33	34	34	34
Percent Present		85%	94%	94%	0%

