Agenda: Assurance of Learning Committee Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 Time: 2:30-3:30 p.m. Location: Microsoft Teams Present: Ken Graham, Hannah Han, Anup Menon Nandialath, Laurie Miller, Shishir Paudel Excused: Mehtap Eklund, Gwen Achenreiner

 Approval of the minutes for the 1-24-22 meeting. M-S-P (5, 0, 0)

2. AOL budgetary needs

Committee members discussed the college-level funds for AOL, which were usually used for the ETS exam. There is additional money for conferences and seminars related to assessment training. If any of the committee members are interested in attending any these conferences and seminars, committee members can use the budget. Committee members also discussed other opportunities to spend the budget with.

3. CITM reviews

Committee members discussed the reports they were assigned to review. Committee members adopted the last item of the rubric from the "Evaluation Form for Competency in the Major Assessment Plans Mid-Cycle Report".

Accounting: Ken reported he is still waiting for the CITM report from the Accounting Department. **Finance**: Ken and Mehtap were assigned to review Finance's Report. Ken will email Mehtap for her review results.

Management: Ken and Hannah were assigned to review management's report and had an agreement.

Economic: Shishir and Anup were assigned to review Eco's report and had an agreement after discussion.

Information System: Anup and Laurie were assigned to review IS's report and had an agreement after discussion.

International Business: Shishir and Hannah were assigned to review IB's report and had an agreement after discussion.

Marketing: Laurie and Mehtap were assigned to review Finance's Report. Ken will email Mehtap for her review results.

4. Update on assessment in the core courses

Laurie contacted each of the Core Course Coordinators to answer questions and clarified the new assessment process. Some of the core course coordinators had minor issues with the process but no significant issues. Laurie will keep in touch with the coordinators. Some of the departments had already submitted their assessment plans.

5. Critical thinking assessment results

Anup conducted an in-class test (40 questions covering various core classes) in his MGT449 classes. The test is worth 5% of students' total grade. Anup shared the test results and his analysis to the committee. 1. The overall average is lower than the expectation. 2. After splitting the results by majors, students had better performance to answer questions related to their own majors.

Committee members had a brainstorm on the test results. First, committee members suggested that some of questions needed to be modified to have better fit with our class content. Second, the results showed that our students could not link all the knowledge they learned from the core classes together. To solve this issue, there are potentials to change what we can teach, how we can teach, or our learning objectives.

The meeting was adjourned by Ken at 3:32 pm

Respectfully submitted Hannah (Ye) Han