

2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

Student Senate Agenda Date: March 1, 2017 Time and Location: 6:00pm Student Senate Chamber; The U

- I. Call to Order
 - i. 6:03 pm
- II. Pledge of Allegiance
- III. Roll Call
- IV. Consent Agenda
 - a. Approval of agenda
 - b. Bancker: Move unfinished and new business before officer reports
 - i. Objection: Arney

ii. Bancker: This would be our third week doing this and I thought it would be better to discuss legislation while were freshiii. Roberts: There's specific unfinished business that I would like to see executives speak on behalf of first.

a. Vote: FAILED

iv. Garcia: It says that we are supposed to start at 6:00 and we aren't doing that but if we did we could get out of here sooner, so let's try to get here sooner and we wouldn't have to reorder everything.

a. Rosa: Motion to approve consent agenda, Second: Kallis

V. Guest Speakers

a. GENA

i. GENA rep: Thank you I appreciate the opportunity to talk. I've been here to talk about the Grandview Emerson Neighborhood Association before but for those of you who don't know what it is, it is a neighborhood that is just east of here up around the marsh. It overlaps with campus as well. When this area became less active we took on this area. Today I'm here to talk about the eye sore you see on campus- the memorial pool. The neighborhood wants to do something better with that pool. The pool went through some phases and repairs until it was decided to close it permanently. It is a historical location though and served a great community role. We knew built in 1938 and it went well at first but the last 10 years hasn't been so good. What is the reason people don't use it?

a. Lenz: You'd cut your feet in the pool.

ii. It's actually different than that, it is a cold pool and a lot of people don't like that and by counties standards you have to have the pool at a certain temperature. That was the feedback we got from people. People see this as a deteriorating feature. It's changed over the years but awhile ago the pool



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–LA CROSSE STUDENT ASSOCIATION 2244 THE U 1725 STATE STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

was the only thing there. In 1938 we had a very small neighborhood and it was built for everyone to go to. We are serving really tiny kids, and the outdoor recreation is also popular at pools today. We've got different ways of doing it. It's difficult to accommodate this in these areas- especially seniors and they've asked for a space, this is one of many things to do. We need opportunities for families to get outside, it provides connectivity with one another. It became the veteran's memorial in the 40's, what we did was employed a consultant because the city's timeline was different than what we wanted. We also saw that the city has a lot of things it's trying to manage. As a part of that report we generated some concepts, it came from the university, neighborhoods committees and those have expanded, really all the neighborhoods. It's at the very center of our community so it is positioned to serve everyone in the community and here we have the opportunity for a pool that doesn't require driving. It's clear people want to keep the pool in the same place. That does give us some flexibility but we think that the sidewalks and accessibility is important and that's why we want your opinion of this. The first design tries to keep the historic significance to most- most swimmers use this dimension- 25 yards, this would reduce it and would be more efficient but would maintain the original spaces. These are called WPA pools. We would line the pool with stainless steel. The second concept is to replace the pool completely which means to delist the pool as a historic place. The pool itself doesn't have architectural history. It would be appropriate for competition. These would offer spaces in those lanes, then what we've done here back which connects people like so, the third option is the compromise. People feel strongly with keeping the cross shape and not because it is a crucifix. A new concept would be more like a first aid cross with the same functional areas around the pool. We have technology so that we don't have to do too much demolition. A lot of the kids love water volleyball and basketball.

a. Rosa: You mentioned how not a lot of people are going do you think it's because Erickson is built more for kids, so what would we do to this pool that would make it better than Erickson?

1. And that's why we are going out and trying to get opinions. This would be a programming pool. Early morning hours and late night hours, this pool would have a longer time to be open. That's why this is different, middle schoolers don't like going to those pools but they need stuff to do and we want them to be attracted to this. We want to accommodate a lot of people but this should be a true swimming pool. That's really all I want to offer and



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

questions or feedback would be great, I see a lot of opportunities for people.

a. Garcia: What's the cost breakdown?

v. A neighborhood pool is about 2.5 million and that's why these designs need people to contribute to this, there are groups that will contribute. The new pool design is about 3-3.5 million. It depends on how you want to go about this and we really should capitalize on this. The nice thing about a pool like this is that we would save a ton of money because we wouldn't have to do constant repairs.

a. Gunaratnam: I heard there were things being dumped in the marsh?

1. That was sanitizing chemicals.

b. Roberts: Is there a plaque there that highlights the veteran's historical aspect?

1. No there isn't, and since we haven't decided on what to do with the pool yet we haven't had to make the decision on that quite yet.

c. Floerke: What's the current timeline?

1. We want the city to finish their request. \$250,000 is what it takes just to design it and there are a lot of people involved, if that's done in the next month or two then it would move on from there. We are just trying to get feedback to make the right decision later on. I think it would be great to open it in 2018.

d. Roberts: Is there anything to do to help?

1. Feedback is really the best thing for the concepts. We would like to make department and student contacts and we truly value your opinions.

e. Arney: Would there be snacks you could buy there?

1. Yes, in the development design it is there right now but we are trying to shy away from what other people are doing, in small businesses the difference between open or close could be between 5-6 people. We really just don't want to duplicate but encourage the small businesses around it to be able make money off of it.

f. Given: Is the committee in charge of getting lifeguards? 1. Yes, that would be a standard and we would have to meet those. There are programs for safety instructors and they've expressed that it's hard to keep them around in the summer. We don't want to build more parking but it would

Student Senate 2016-2017



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

be great if the university was interested and helpful in this area. The neighborhood really has a lot of parking and we have more parking here than other locations.

g. Kallis: Is this being paid for by the city, neighborhood council or are you just looking for feedback?

1. Right now were just thinking and coming up with designs, there are larger needs. We know it will be reasonable in costs but we will be approaching a lot of people to get feedback. The city would pay a large part of this and there are different strategies for doing this. The neighborhood association is interested in helping. Thank you again for allowing me to come and speak.

b. Dr. Ryan Mckelley

i. I'm going to pull up some things. You may see a PowerPoint I had a chance to read your minutes from last week. Never when I was in college could I do what you are all trying to do. It looks like you're dealing with some heavy stuff and I'm not here to change anyone's mind but I am grateful for being here tonight. When Karlie came to talk to me we started talking about this idea of white privilege. I am a licensed psychologist and this is the first year I've been at UWL not doing a men's group. I've published multiple books. We went to universities and asked white males about their role at their college campus. We then went to marginalized communities and asked what they expect from white males. I'm weary about using the term expert but this is an area that I spend a lot of time on. I identify as a cisgender straight white male. In college, the cheapest way to go back and forth was on the greyhound bus. I met a lot of interesting people and there was one ride and all of a sudden an African American started running through the aisles saying "Kill Whitey" and he was trying to get everyone riled up. Someone, who was also African American stood up and defended me and told him to sit down. Why is this relevant? This could just be simple kindness, but if the roles were switched would I have had the guts to stand up and do something? Years later, the first company I worked for was a startup. To save money I went out with friends in Chicago and I would walk home through the green project and that was the failed housing project, when I was living there they were working on redeveloping it. A stranger came up to me and asked me what I was doing on the street late at night and he told me that it wasn't a safe place to be and he walked me home so that no one would hurt me. Would this have happened if I had a different social identity. What was it about me that was privileged? I think about these things but I share these because some



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

of you have done a lot of work on this and some of you have done little. I just want people to start talking about things that they haven't before. A couple caveats, this isn't about male bashing or white male bashing, it isn't. It's really hard for us to take these at truths but we need to hold these in context. Now we'll do an activity.

ii. Brever: We'll pick up with the agenda, the divide that we've seen in student senate is the same divide that senate has had since the beginning of time. And set up next year's senate with good ground to work on, that was the first of many inclusivity trainings that are going on in the next couple of months.

iii. Antelo: Hello everyone I am here on behalf, we are being sued right now, Christopher Rudolph is suing. He claims that this was in SUFACs recommendation and that it violated the green fund's bylaws. The trial is March 7 at 7:00 pm in 3135, you can be active participants, all you have to do is talk to the chief justice. The last thing I want to say is that there are constitutional changes, the court did make some suggestions if you have any questions.

ii. I'm speaking on behalf of another student, she brought up another issue, there was an international student in the policsi department and she asked if she could translate it into mandarian and the professor thought that was fine but they couldn't translate it. She was saying that international students pay a lot of money but she was interested in exploring this with senators, so if you are interested at all in working on that, please let me know.

iii. Brever: I know there are some students who are meeting with CLS

VI. Officer Reports

a. President: Jacob Schimmel

i. Schimmel: Originally I was going to have nothing, but one thing came up and I was hoping not to have to use my veto powers during my time in office but that's not what I'm doing at this point I think it should be forwarded to a committee. Some senators have talked to me and asked me to mention this, it concerns a resolution on the agenda today and concerns in relation to that. I can't in good conscious sign the legislation, there are portions of it that eliminate certain powers, there is no ill intent but I understand the connotations behind it to move it from the constitution into the bylaws, that is something that I want to get away from because it puts forth the mission in the constitution. Some of the language has been in there forever so that there could be no possible tampering. It would be best if that resolution would be referred to a committee tonight so that whatever committee that is they can work on it. That's really all I want to



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

say there.

b. Vice President: Patrick Brever

i. Brever: I've been talking about the election commission a lot if you don't have interest in serving on student association or are graduating you can be involved in this, if anyone is interested you can raise your hands now. Last thing we have one guest speaker next week and then we will not be doing any business we will be having a pizza party. Don't bring business of any kind.

a. Kallis: For the lactose intolerant will there be other food?

1. Brever: There are pretzels.

b. Given: Will there be oreos?

1. Brever: No there will be not be but if you want to bring them you can.

c. Chief of Staff: Lauren Mason

i. Mason: I know I've been yammering on about syllabi and it was a unanimous decision for having faculty put what is required and calculating grades, we think it's important that through the semester students should know where they are at. We are also working on how much work students are allowed to be given before school starts.

a. Kallis: Is there the same kind of open body forum in faculty senate?

1. Mason: There probably is some sort of open body forum, they are not as strict about who can talk at certain times.

d. State Affairs Director: Tyler Halloran

i. Currently working with the offices of Rep. Billings and Schillings. I've been reaching out to organizations throughout La Crosse and talking to local bars, I didn't get a lot of response at first but they think I'm trying to provide funding for the safe ride.

- e. Local Affairs Director: Madison Wescott
- f. Inclusivity Director: Karlie Stefan

i. If any of you have questions or want to work with me about the diversity trainings feel free to do that.

- VII. RHAC Reports
- VIII. Advisor Reports

i. Dr. Ringgenberg: I just want to echo what Jacob had to say and that sounds like a good solution. We made some progress, now they are looking at changing the buttons on the doors over spring break instead of summer. Verizon is coming in for a walk through so were still trying to figure that out. I was just talking about Ryan Mckelley about a student having difficulties in this building.



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

a. Brever: When is the roundtable?

1. Dr. Ringgenberg: The week after spring break.

IX. Committee Reports

i. Kallis: DOC met, we did a lot of discussion about the building and the fact that the all gender bathrooms keep locking.

a. Dr. Ringgenberg: I talked to the locksmith today and he said that he would check that out, but please keep me informed.

ii. Kallis: We also had a good discussion about teachers having students come to org meetings where they sit and take notes about us, so we had a discussion of what to do and how we can communicate that with the professors. We were discussing writing a letter, we are not something to be studied, do any of you have ideas?

a. Spielman: If they are doing that for research they are violating the IRB, but I feel like they would be violating privacy rights anyways.

1. Kallis: We've had grad students want to research us, but no one warns us before they are going to come study us. I know research goes through a process.

iii. Director of OMSS: It is not in my job description but I do understand people, but I think Will should be the person that would have to vet that. Here are two potential decisions 1) Give students the choice to opt in when you do research you feel that you should be paid, this will deter people from research but then it gives faculty, we don't have enough students on our campus for every project for race or sexual orientation. There is privilege with sexual orientation, I know some multicultural orgs have discussed that. I always ask for an IRB and if it is not approved I do not forward it on. There are protected things within the IRB process. iv. Dr. Ringgenberg: If they show up unannounced I would ask them what class it is for and I would gladly give them a call.

v. Director of OMSS: Some faculty think that they are exempt from the rules because I believe they should have had an IRB, as a parent I want to know the research you are doing on my kid. I want to know as a parent. I think this is a bigger issue but I think you should all talk about it. vi. Thomas Harris: The thing you need to realize is there is very little research on white people and all of this is going on and we have professors that are mostly white, either never talk about this or very little, I can't remember someone ever asking to do research on white people. vii. Rosa: What I used to do was that I would allow them to announce their project and if someone wants to speak to them after that is their choice, but I would also try to get them to participate.



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

viii. Quaschnick: It is very surprising that people don't have IRBs, I know that a grad student is supposed to sit on an IRB because that should never happen. That's basically what gives graduate research it's meaning. That should never happen so let someone know.

xi. Bhatoya: Would it be better to create a document to outline everything we are saying like: some think they are above the policy, idk maybe something that could be viewed?

a. Mason: We should talk to Provost Morgan, Faculty senate likes to make fun of our whereas clauses, they poke fun at how thorough we are so I don't know how well putting another document would do. Granted when you go just to an individual meeting it has more of an effect than a resolution. This could just be a conversation, I just looked at the last faculty senate meeting there is no open body forum but there is not representation from every department.

x. Quaschnick: These grad students doing research illegally could go back to threaten the accreditation of the university. This is why IRBs exist so that people are not being tested on illegally.

xi. Kallis: Anyway, so I said it before and I'll say it again our meetings are not pea tree dishes, thank you for everyone's input.

xii. Hodges: I think there is a little misclarification, it's mainly the undergrad students that we are having problems with.

xiii. Rosa: It is a lot of undergrads and it's given to them like go to a diversity org and reflect. I have received a lot of emails like that as well. xiv. Reinke: SSB met today and we are thinking about putting up a stand to advertise scholarships and then we talked about clocks. People liked the third one in from the left on the bottom. If anyone has any opinions, keep in mind the size of the clocks. I'm open to anyone's ideas on that. xv. Given: I was just going to echo, since I lost my glasses I like bigger numbers.

xvi. Kallis: Because people were sitting around me we started talking about the clocks, they all really liked the third one from the left. I also like the fact that it's almost 2 feet in diameter.

xvii. Floerke: The bigger the numbers the better.

a. Arney: move to end discussion, Second: Roberts

X. Organizational Reports

i. Olp: FSL has a lot of events coming up and we would also like some support. March 7 is Mr. Heartthrob put on by Alpha Phi, also Chi phi is having a soft ball event in April, if you want more info just let me know.

ii. Rosa: Fiesta is this weekend on Saturday at 6:00 pm, it is \$7 if you want Student Senate 2016-2017



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

to eat, Alfonso will be there dancing. It's a good opportunity to go to a diversity event.

iv. Giles: SUFAC met on Monday and took the feedback from last week. This body did an informal vote and they decided that would be best to take from the reserves and in SUFAC we decided to do a cut of about 1% across all orgs except green fund and UPASS. This was done not in the way to scare orgs it was decided because this structural deficit isn't going away and we need to take into consideration. I would be happy to talk to people more.

a. Bhatoya: So we already met with people about this -Kim Blum specifically, but they want to emphasize that they are 43% fundraising and they pay dues. That's something that would have to be accounted for. Safety and health is a concern. If we decrease the funding further then we look at programs not being able to provide food if the budget allows for lunch, things like this. We have the money from the reserves and it's not a good thing to always go back to but I think it's a good idea. Most people don't know what SUFAC is and they have negative attitudes with what we do.

v. Giles: One of the options was exempting athletics, we thought it would be more ethical to cut from all. So the other thing is that CAB is broke by about \$28,000. When T-pain cancelled the stage costs added up because everything had to be done twice so CAB wasn't able to come close to breaking even.

vi. Dr. Ringgenberg: They are asking for \$28,000 for the rest of the events but as of now they don't have the money. This is an issue because we want to continue to have events and programming for the new building.

> a. Spielman: T-pain twice was very expensive and to continue events we have to come up with this money. We sold out the concert so if he would've showed up the first time I don't think we would be in this.

vii. Kallis: This is not a good feeling that orgs are being cut but I know that all budgets are very tight, there is no increase. We are getting speakers to come in with the budget we do. Mumbletech everyone wasn't able to go because of the budget and now you're proposing another cut, that is not okay when there is \$100,000 that isn't being used. This is terrifying to me and the fact that your goal is to get your reserves down to \$72,000. Instead of making it more difficult for the pride center to have events. I don't understand where SUFAC is coming from and they should be and I will come to SUFAC if this is the way that things are being talked about.



2244 THE U 1725 STATE STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

viii. Given: First I would like to ask what the meeting room is? Secondly, exactly what Senator Kallis said, thirdly that it's not being brought to senate is not okay so if you could change that and bring it, then whatever. Lastly, I don't know where you're trying to get funding from but if it's from diversity orgs first off you shouldn't. Our voices need to be heard, so don't touch NASA, LASO, or anyone that is over here and reconsider the 1%.

xi. Brever: This will be coming to senate so we will have a discussion then.

x. Gunaratnam: What are your options right now?

a. Ames: Likely the money for cab will come out of the reserves so that is what will spend that down, the other option to cut was to take it from one shots. As it relates to funding, I really believe that the people in SUFAC sees the value in all of these orgs and no one on that committee wishes to see any orgs cut but we all understand what the economic climate is like as well. CAB we are trying to explore some options. If it is a contingency request, but the potential that might not come to senate is possible.

xi. Floerke: I guess I just don't understand SUFAC's thought process on that. At the end of the day it's not your budget and it's the student's money and when we came here and did a poll you should have listened to that. I don't know why you didn't listen to that. Does anyone want to address that?

a. Brever: We will see this at one point, we will have the opportunity to discuss it further.

xii. Tahiri: The thought process behind this was the issue with CAB and where that money would be coming from, if we did that on top of the \$6000 covered then we are getting upwards of about \$30000. Today there is a possibility of the seg. fee opt out and for that fear we want the reserve to be as large as we can have it, so keeping a healthy size in reserve fund. I hope groups don't see this as a punishment but rather a product of circumstance that comes from an enrollment decline. If we don't take it out now we'll just keep having the same issue. That was the thought process there. Not so much of being punished or that we don't xiii. Bancker: We have committees for a reason and if they were easy decisions to make then we would talk about it all together. I just want to say that I trust the SUFAC committee.

Bancker: move to close discussion,

a. Hodges, Bhatoya: objection

xiv. Bhatoya: I think it's misguided to say that CAB's \$28000 deficit then Student Senate 2016-2017



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

you are giving that money to CAB and not other orgs.

a. Tahiri: If cab doesn't get this money then they don't operate and they wouldn't be able to do programming. This is a rare thing that happens.

xv. Kallis: Yes CAB might not exist for the rest of the semester but that doesn't mean we should cut from all orgs. We need to know who does cab really gear to and cab is going for the public and diversity orgs and the argument of having less students. We are always the less of the numbers.

 Tahiri: It's a matter of UWL students enrolled and it's not specific to group population and we are not line item looking at it and saying we'll cut from some and not all.
 i. Motion to end discussion, Second: Gunaratnam

XI. Unfinished Business

a. SA1617-035: Resolution Requesting a Raise in Prices for REC non-UW-L Student J-Term and Summer Session Memberships

i. Reinke: Again, this resolution is increasing the price that non students would pay. We are also using the revenue to fix the budget deficit.

a. Garcia: call to question, Second: Giles

1. Roberts: objection, you said that the cut would be made up and is this a current projection and was the lack of people coming in accounted for as well?

> i. Reinke: I don't have numbers on if we would lose non students I just have this \$9000 number but that also has a trickledown effect to do things. I don't have exact numbers.

a. Call to question, Second: Schultz

1. Y- 85%- N- 15%- PASSED

b. SA1617-036: Resolution in Solidarity with the City of La Crosse

i. Bhatoya: Like talked about last week, the purpose was to show solidarity especially to students who feel threatened by the political circumstances.ii. Giles: I talked to the College Democrats and we are in favor and would like to be added as an sponsor.

iii. Arney- Call to question, second: Rosa

a. PASSED

c. SA1617-037: Resolution Approving Changes to the University of Wisconsin-La Crosse Student Association Constitution

i. Bancker: I found out this afternoon that there has been a lot of discussion about this, I want to say that student court had things they wanted to amend but we did reach out to you all. If you are unhappy about

it, you can come talk to us about it.



2244 THE U 1725 STATE STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

ii. Kallis: I'm sorry for not coming to you about this but I move to table this to committee– Move to table to legislative affairs committee. – second- burgess

a. Objection: Gunaratnam- you took out a lot of stuff and I guess I want to know why. I want more input as we go into that legislative affairs committee.

b. Arney: I didn't contact you but you told us you were fixing minor issues, but the format to president responsibilities are no longer in there.

1. Bancker: Our rationale was that there shouldn't be things in there that could change. We wanted to make it a document that the average student could understand and make it consistent but not redundant.

iii. Gunaratnam: I know there has been a lot of controversy over this, there have been attempts to remove diversity org seats in the past, they've seen this happen the reason that they are in the constitution you took that out under membership, the 9 diversity org seats aren't there. This is how we keep our seats and this is where I'm coming from.

a. Bancker: We moved that to the bylaws because if the student population changes then we would be able to have more flexibility. That is the rationale there. I don't know if you think Jenna and I are trying to ruin senate but we stepped up to the plate to try to do the best we could do. No one else tried to step up, I'd love to talk to you more about this is committee.

1. Gunaratnam: I know you have good intentions but it can still have adverse effects.

vi. Bhatoya: I understand your intentions but the constitution is there for backing but it is just as easy to change things in a different way.

v. Antelo: There are people who are generally concerned about this because they love to hear you speak, they are genuinely concerned with you trying to take away the seats. I would address the director and senator to meet with those people.

vii. Given: I want to say one thing, with some of the language used and the reasoning for cutting some of this, are you saying that with my constituents there are not a lot of indigenous people if this diminishes are you saying that would go away?

a. Bancker: It wouldn't make sense to have it both in the bylaws and the constitution and we are in this place right now that we would have to do a referendum because it had to be done so in the name of trying to have a legitimate governing document, that is the



 $2244 \ \text{The} \ U \quad 1725 \ \text{State Street} \quad La \ \text{Crosse}, \ WI \ 54601 \quad (608) \ 785\text{-}8775$

context.

viii. Kallis: I'm getting mad and trying not to be but good intentions can turn badly, continuity is for the point of legitimacy but that is how we form legitimacy and there is a lot of redundancy everywhere. If we break continuity between the two, it lessens the legitimacy. On top of that, there is a direct lack of definition of terms that are used that make it more flexible. This should not be a flexible point.

1. Given: move to table to committee, Second: hodges a. Tabled and moved to committee

XII. New Business

a. SA1617-038: Resolution Appointing New Student Senator

i. Brever: David Mueller is representing the school of Education.

ii. Mueller: My name is David Mueller and I am in the school of education, my favorite ice cream flavor is ice cream Sunday.

a. Garcia: Motion to suspend two week rule, Second: Ames

1. 2 week suspend PASSED

b. Kallis: motion to vote, Second: Tashner

1. PASSED- unanimous

b. SA1617-039: Resolution to Increase Constituency Representation

i. Arney: Friendly amendment

ii. Roberts: This was from in light of our conversation last week, we feel that inclusivity and diversity is a necessity. We are not asking a whole lot from everyone we are asking for 3 events a semester and we are assigning a duty to the Vice President to monitor that. If you oppose this, think of your reasons why.

iii. Gunaratnam: Three events is not a lot of time, I'm sure you could all find some time to do this.

iv. Bancker: I just want to ask the question, which bylaws did you use because the copy in our binders is outdated and the most recent says one hour.

v. Giles: I would like to be added as a sponsor.

vi. Hodges: Events include anything from speakers, teach- ins, it doesn't have to just be meetings.

vii. Burgess: With the fourth whereas, we can say that but it means more to act upon this.

a. Bhatoya: Anything under that constituency is fine but we want to be safe with the wording. A senator is trying to diversify themselves and I wish senators did that on their own.

xi. Leek: So we would report office hours, the org meetings? Would the diversity senators have to go to different events?



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

a. Kallis: So what you're saying is that if I go to the pride center event would this count, we would have to go to other ones on top of this.

x. Given: The thing with events is that it's not talking to constituency so it's just events.

xi. Kallis: I polled my people to bring some numbers, of the group I pulled for CLS- 11, CSAH- 11, so what I'm trying to say is that your constituency is also my constituency. I understand certain colleges have a lot more people but there is a gigantic overlap.

xii. Ames: I'm confused based on the wording, on the resolution it says communicate student issues and what I'm confused about this, is this supposed to be reaching out or just events? I don't know if that is a valuable experience.

> a. Roberts: Why we have constituency represented in this is because we want you to be more connected, as far as educational value, our focus was to "actively seek out students" and once more our resolution is in respect to our mission statement.

1. Kallis: The fun that comes with these events is learning a subsection of our culture. Drag shows are a big thing in our community and it is semi-educational.

2. Ames: I wasn't trying to diminish the drag show I was just trying to point that out.

xiii. Hodges: You have 3 events to pick and choose from, it's okay to go to different events. Also going along with the respect for diversity orgs and their actions by going it shows that. Outside of our constituency we are trying to engage.

a. Rosa: Motion to end discussion, Second: Arney

XIII. Discussion

i. Burgess: For those of you who don't know I'm working on a resolution to potentially have a fall break. It is still in the works so I'm still trying to figure out some of the logistics. If someone wants to help me with this that would be great.

ii. Roberts: I just wanted to bring up a resolution that Judy and I are talking about, once a resolution is passed the authors should have to report back to us about the progress of the resolution. What we are talking about was the closed captioning, we just want to know what's going on with the resolutions after they are passed.

a. Gunaratnam: Last year we had that resolution with the grade scale change?

1. Mason: When we have something academic like that is

Student Senate 2016-2017



2244 The U 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

that is usually goes through faculty senate. Academic affairs wrote a resolution about the withdrawal date from a class, that passed and it will be changed for the fall. Some things need more research than others. Friday in CAPS some of the members have written a survey that will be sent out to faculty and students. We are currently at a 7point grading scale and we would like 11. I'm not sure when that will be sent out but hopefully this semester.

ii. Floerke: I think this is a cool idea but I would like to see it in the bylaws.

iii. Quaschnick: We pass bills here we don't enforce them; the executive cabinet are the people who are supposed to be the ones who enforce that. I don't know if it needs to be a resolution.

iv. Kallis: On this, working out the wording, I think this is a good resolution to consider but I feel like we helped ourselves to this level of accountability it seems like it would be a good idea and way to hold ourselves accountable. I talk to a lot of people about the minutes and a lot of people read them, but I think this would be better for us overall. v. Rosa: Just some things that I think we should consider, is it possible for

us to record our meetings, is it possible to reconsider the technology because it's a huge waste of paper. I think it would be nice to have our laptops.

a. Brever: The way it is enforced now is because of a resolution passed a couple of years ago, so if you want that to change you can write a resolution.

vi. Kallis: Is it possible to know who is voting for what?

vii. Tashner: Why does it matter what other people vote?

a. Kallis: It doesn't matter to me; my constituents were just wondering.

XIV. Announcements

i. Garcia: Tomorrow at 1400 Centennial at 4:00, a professor from UW Milwaukee will be speaking about biking and walking.

ii. Roberts: We're going to Howie's tonight.

iii. Bhatoya: Some of these meetings are hard and angry, but I feel like we were so productive today.

iv. Cara: I would just ask that if you represent a diversity org if you could come talk to me after the meeting.

XV. Adjournment

i. Floerke: Motion, Second: Ames

a. 9:37 pm