

2244 THE U 1705 BADGER STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

Student Senate Agenda Date: March 21, 2018

Time and Location: 6:00pm Student Senate Chambers; The U

- I. Call to Order
 - a. 6:05pm
- II. Pledge of Allegiance
- III. Roll Call
- IV. Consent Agenda
 - a. Approval of agenda
 - b. Approval of minutes
 - i. Medugno- Move the ASO representative
 - 1. Tahiri do we need a resolution
 - a. Alfonso- not for a diversity org
 - 2. Passes
 - ii. Hodges- Move unfinished and new business to after general student body open forum
 - 1. Seconded by weaver
 - 2. Passes
- V. Guest Speakers
 - a. Martin Allen Faculty Senate
 - i. Allen- talk and get feedback on hiring policy. Currently UWL has a senior admin hiring policy. Under that policy we get 4 faculty 1 student and 2 appointees by the chancellor. In that policy it says for the assistant it is recommend to follow the policy or you can make one up. At the present both associate deans in the school in education and science and health and fine arts are just put in the position with no policy on how they are selected and we don't know how they are. In interim position with no guidance. Talking about putting policy for new hires. Term limited positions, fixed no longer to 3 years, want the committee to 5 people. We need to loose one more person besides faculty. Would students be interested in those positions? The students are intense in staying on the committees, it is possible 3 faculty and 2 staff would nominate, 2 would be selected and the addition nominee will be an alternative fix, is that agreeable?
 - 1. Medugno I think one should be a student
 - 2. Hodges- I would be interested in this committee and students would be interested too, some feel like we don't always have a voice in this process
 - 3. Kallis- would it be possible to have that rotation but also invite a non voting representing so they can still be heard.
 - a. Allen- not a problem in itself, voting isn't a issue. The hiring committees provide recommendations and give them consideration. One problem we will have is if we don't get it down to 7 or 6 then the administration will do what is has which is interim which we have no say
 - 4. Bhatoya-Having a student permeant and have a rotation
 - a. Allen-Some staff say sure and the ADA they feel invested and



2244 THE U 1705 BADGER STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

academic staff feel invested so I'm trying to persuade them

- i. Bhatoya-Keeping 2 staff councils and pulling back on students
 - 1. Allen-academic hitting committees have flaws but it'll be the majority which 6 will not be, if we take one off we have to loose one
 - a. Bhatoya-I'm not comfortable of not having a student

VI. General Student Body Open Forum

- a. Willis- I would like to address my support to ALANA getting a seat, but I'm here for the CAB senator, who should come in next year. there was a board out on the SU lobby and people voted, the biggest number was on alternative and I think they should be considered to come in and play. They play in lacrosse frequently and downtown. Cab got in a budget situation and would be cheaper. They do covers of modern songs. They are Thomas and the Shakes
- b. Sydney- one of the co-chairs of ALANA and I'm here to support the resolution and thank everyone who came to support and senators who worked and supported the resolution. This may be a group of people of color than most people her life have been exposed to. Gender micro expression is discrimination and is still a problem from the students and faculty and that is why we need this seat. And all of those things are getting old so thats why I think we should have a seat
- c. Libby- exec SAPA, not filing their senate seat and ALANA stepped in and their senator is doing a good job so I am showing support to make sure the voices of women of color and students with disability's are heard. Who do we hear and who deserves and voice.

VII. Unfinished Business

- a. SA1718-034: Giving Asian, Latina, African, Native American Womyn (ALANA) a Permanent Seat within Student Senate
 - i. It is important to have women of color to be heard, and there are many reasons why there is space on the table, and its important to hear what the students are asking for.
 - 1. Weaver- keep in mind that this resolution is adding a seat. Argument that students aren't heard, all students on ALANA and SAPA can come. Don't see why we have to give ever org a seat
 - 2. Giles- can we actually give every org a seat or should we give all one and what would that mean. Some might be represented over and over again. ALANA give a lot of good reasons why the deserve a seat and I thing 10 is a lot that will only have 34 seats. Amend that would remove the lst therefore. 2 are ASO and Hope and I understand this might not be the right way to look at it, those could form a collation to create a seat. Remove the last therefore be it resolved and Hope stricken and ALANA is put in that diversity org spot. As it stands I don't think that I would vote if this admen would not be passed
 - a. Tahiri- I have an issue, to suggest that you want to take away reps to give to another group because it's a tough argument. Have to say the new org is more valid and deserves it more than



- the other org. who are we to say ASO or Hope can take the cut, it's a rough thing to say
- b. Hodges- okay so is the proposed amendment to cut ASO or Hope for ALANA
 - i. Giles- They seem to be subsets, representing the same constitutions to appoint a single senator
 - ii. Hodges- you saw what Hope went through and if you're trying to argue there is overlapping, there isn't. how unique lax is it would be a disservice to say you can come together after they have been established. If you want to get to it, who is over or under rep. you can say make a collation, and say they can talk for everyone, they cant. I don't think it opens the door for taking out a lot of seats
- c. Jurecki -Echo Tahiri doesn't solve our current issue, not or place to say who has more value. I do think there are other options, but this is not it
- d. Marinack -This particular amendment is the perfect solution, I do agree in a numbers games, this is a slippery slope. Agree the 9 diversify orgs should stay
- e. Kallis- rap the motivation behind the motivation behind the admin. The idea of 10 diversity orgs and them holding a 1/3ish of the votes on this body. When RHAC got cut those arguments can't be brought up. I don't think this is all which should happen, voices should come from women of color on this campus. Yes they are overlapping but colleges rep so you are all overlapping currently. It takes majority for an amendment to pass. Call to question on the amendment
 - i. Seconded by weaver
 - 1. Doesn't pass
- 3. Hodges- I think that as everyone has said, this is an important voice that we need to have on campus and I understand the worry if we allow Alana then that opens the door for other orgs. The process is in place to get different multicultural orgs on campus, how other groups would come is defined or outlines, if we don't have a process for other orgs we shouldn't worry about this snowballing. We have it outlined which can come in the constitution, not just anyone can say they want a seat, that is not how it works. Its about UWL says we honor diversity and inclusion but how many times are we going to say that and not take actions. We can say as much as we want but if we don't put in action what does that say about us. Not allowing ALANA a seat because of overrepresentation is almost wrong. We need to show students what this campus is about.
- 4. Medguno- to an extent I understand adding a set changes things and proportions but adding a single seat isn't going to change peoples power and effect how I help the college of education. Even if they all voted as a body, it wouldn't passed 2/3



- 5. Ethan- I have nothing against ALANA I'm concerned more and more seats to senate which aren't college. I know colleges have a lot of votes, if you want to add more orgs you should all more college seats
- 6. Abby- why there isn't going to be the ability of org to not have a seat I don't think 10 seats is too much, not everyone has a seat on the table you have to have more voices you should have the seats filled to have different opinions which will make the discussions stronger.
- 7. Kallis- Ethan- there is a student org committee who oversees the orgs and they have a say in that committee. The human diversity orgs they all have a different place that they go because they are different. This is people who have identity of themselves and I don't think there is a down size of having another voice. I don't see a negative in this.
 - a. Weaver- its not proportionate to the student body- 29%. Diversity don't have 1/3 of the student body that they represent. Not about if we like the org, I like ALANA, for proportionally it is not there.
 - b. Kallis- in my eyes the reason why we have the diversity orgs is because there isn't proportionally they aren't the majority or largest amount of people on campus and as a minority they would like to be heard. If it was about proportionally. In the way I see adding another org is at the center of a lot of minority status giving them a seat at the table and a protected voice is of value.
 - i. Weaver-dangerous slope because you're saying diversity orgs aren't being heard, but who decides who gets a seat? If this does keep happening the diversity orgs some people might be as far as the seanate goes I don't see it as healthy. 300 some people and that isn't a 1/3 of the student body, its one thing to have your voice heard but another to have an overpowering voice, adding this would make it extremely loud, which isn't necessary. I'd be happy for them to come and talk but not have a seat.
- 8. Xiong- thought that campus is not equally proportional. When we discount of voices of those who don't get heard, I think this is a debate on equality over equity there is a difference equality as in everyone gets peace depending on who is represented, equity is who should be repressed and who should be heard. Even to the whole of the campus, women of color are not heard and it should be imperative that they are on this senate along with other human diversify orgs
- 9. Vu- we are the only UW school with diversity orgs on senate. equity fairness upon this and I deem it fair to see other people of color, but it also reflects where SAPA was not able to fill so ALANA stepped in. I don't represent myself, I rep CBA and I'm torn between that to the point where it doesn't reflect me as I am. The decision is a conflict of interest to yourself and life but that alternative I would think of creating hours of rep where diver orgs can come and speak their minds.



- 10. Kahn- Weaver said ALANA doesn't need a seat because they can come talk and hear their voice and it isn't necessary to have a sear. Its different having a seat and saying your peace and there is a power difference
 - a. Weaver- How we get onto the senate, if people don't like what we do they blow us off
- 11. Tahiri- to gather Alana- women of color aren't heard on campus and everywhere. I can understand that, most of my sibblings are women of color. Can I get examples?
 - a. Sydney-I have 180 examples on gender micro expressions. Using gender pronouns, saying you can talk to us youre saying that as a male.
 - i. Tahiri- I can see how it is offensive, but coming to a body of men I have to refute, 27 senators 14 are female. Thank you. How I see it as we have over half of senate female, half on county board. Not only is senate but political orgs I hear every week female voices so I think that we shouldn't make it seem as if women aren't being heard, because its not all true, not saying its false. In every org nearly half are women. There isn't necessary seats on the table, it means. Overlapping- do we see that as an issue as a body. If there is an issue on campus women aren't being heard, senate cant fix that, its not as if senate can really do anything directly to solve this problem, I would go to admiration. If you feel like you aren't heard and need to be heard, you can run. The seat is open or anyone. If you want to have a voice on senate issues I think you should run.
- 12. Weber- its not equal its not the same. Women of color have it worst than white women which is a problem because we aren't together, we aren't supporting each other. Must have an equitable voice here.
- 13. Quinones -Point out for most of you it's lucky you don't that to talk about being a women of color and that is called privilege. There are groups that are being held by women of color but there might not be next year which is why we need a women of color. I'm disappoint on how the conversation is because it reflects privilege, this is not going to take away the ability to vote, you're not looking any power in the room. I have a hard time, its important to listen to everyone, I find in comical that you don't see that you're silencing us in the process, I want everyone to think how this conversation is going.
- 14. Mullet- I'd like to be added as a sponsor. I agree about what Quianos said. I am the ISO rep and I am from Wisconsin and I thought about this and I don't know if I understand the difficulty of being an international student, I have been abroad but that doesn't mean I completely understand them, but I talk to them so I know what they are thinking
- 15. Giles- how may diversify orgs on campus (14) do they all deserve a seat? (yes). My argument is if all diversity orgs had a seat it would bring



- it up to 38. As Lang pointed out we are the only UW school with diversity orgs seats. Its my opinion that we are the only one w diversity org sears. It's a privilege to take. What makes 10 more equitable than 9? Why is 9 not good enough but 10 is?
- 16. Medugno to Tahiri's point that women of color should go to admin. I think that this is a good step because it would be a good counter balance to society and it's the right place. ALANA is saying that the college senators aren't' doing their job. Women of color are not being heard, so we aren't doing our job...
- 17. Hodges- give my time to Amber- would like to say as a women of color for 2 orgs representing 9 different orgs have a unique voice and I wouldn't be as eager to run for a position because men dominated and that is why we need ALANA because we don't get our voices heard.
 - a. Hodges- its not about numbers or snowballing its about understanding ALANAs voices are critical and valuable to this body and society and they see that they don't hear one color out. The reason we have our seats is because we couldn't get college seats, you cant say we have equality. MSO have had discussion if this a good idea, this isn't the first time we have had to fight for a seat. We know how hard it is to get on this body, we understand how important this body is and we shouldn't be over represented. It would only better our organization, its not about hypothetical it would be about the impacts of not passing this. We still talk about how you tried to take away seats 5 years ago. Our relationship on senate because we don't rep diversity and inclusion we deny women of color and what does that say about us. We need women of color because we are making it an high impact campus. You would be feeling different identities of cognitive dissonance, you're not going to want to experience change. If you have privilege, if you don't do anything with that you are just adding to the problem. When you give that privilege away It feels like your being attacked and threated but its not
- 18. Hahn- In response to Hodges that's according to Dorty Smithe, one person, my question is even if it is a group of people who agree with that, they are not authoritative that it impacts everyone, it is not absolute, I want someone to defend this theory for a standpoint that isn't from a absolutes perspective, why is this is absolute, cut and dry
 - a. Hodges- Its not just Dorty, this is research, she has based it on others and it is grounded on literature. Not just a random perspective that has a lot of history. This is a whole field of study, there are studies and disciplines about the people who have power is society. Not just an argument
 - b. Hahn- Its standpoint theory but what about standpoints that disagree, doesn't say one is more valuable than another
 - i. Hodges-Within standpoint theory, they don't have as whole of picture of society because they benefit from



- privileges in society. People of color see more of society because they are not benefitting from society. Black lives matter and police brutality and while people don't see that because they are not affected. We need to see the stand points of others. Call to question
- ii. Sabah- reject Because other people have things to say and I want to hear as many people as possible
- c. Hahn- you haven't answered are there more view points that are more valid
 - i. Hodges- Yes, its not about view point it is about perspective. Its not about being valid, hearing the identities that don't have power and we need to hear points to make better informed decisions. More valid because over rep of dominate groups
- d. Hahn- taken back by the senators would not believe that all view points are valid of representation
- e. Sabah- are you going to keep taking or can others speak
- f. Medugno I want to discussion the potential of voting
 - i. Larry call to question- you're ready to vote, move to question- 2/3 votes to move to vote without discussion
- 19. Marinack- what Vu said about voting as a CLS and Lucas and I was on the fence. Going to hurt colleges and I crunched the numbers and I would loose .09% of my vote and I don't think I denied a seat for women for a .09%. with that I would like to say I'm in full support of this resolution and added as a sponsor
- 20. Ethan- move to call to question
 - a. Seconded by Quinones
 - b. Objected by Kahn
 - c. Vu- move to call to question the discussion not debatable 2/3 and someone can move to call to question
 - i. Passes
 - ii. Tahiri- These sound cool but we don't follow them
- 21. Lemery -Call to question
 - a. Seconded by Hodges
 - b. Quorum
 - c. 16 in favor
 - d. 10 against
 - e. Doesn't pass
- b. SA1718-035: Resolution to Remove the Pledge of Allegiance from the Agenda
 - i. Medugno For this resolution we think is it something worth considering because it is not necessary and other governing groups don't have it on campus
 - 1. Tahiri- we had a good question last week. It isn't necessary and a lot of govt use and I think it should stay as is
 - 2. Dickler- we don't think it matters one way or another and we are on America soil so it's a respect thing
 - 3. Xiong- we are in native soil first of all. Yes we are on America soil but



2244 THE U 1705 BADGER STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

the concept the pledge of alleging we are forced to say it in k-12 and yet who are we to bring it here. We are the only one who do it as a body on this campus and that is saying something. If the pledge of allegiance is the only thing bringing us together that is sad. We should have other methods. The pledge could be so much more, but it is not. We didn't pass the indigenous land statement in this body for our campus and therefore this pledge does not

- 4. Tahiri- what Dickler is getting at, its not that the pledge that unites us its it's the stand point, it is something we have in common. Yeah I know some schools punish students who didn't and we aren't dong that here. I'm not seeing the correlation between the land recognition and pledge.
 - a. Xiong- the patriotic act is the act of discounting millions years of history and has colonized the US and yes we are on American soil and we are connected by the concept that we are here, but we aren't just Americans we are individuals and we have feelings and are human. We are able to emphasize and see past what we are blinded to and get down to the nitty gritty of how we sold treated people and individuals
- 5. Tahiri- Thank you for that, I see what you are saying. I don't think that saying the pledge says that we don't recognize other people. When other people say it, I don't have another country to pledge to, I am devoting my time to here. you don't have to believe in the whole pledge but there are core things to protect those liberties for all. We can talk about history over the world where people have been. Saying a pledge to the country you are in now and should discredit anything. Having it here isn't doing any harm
- 6. Marinack I'm in favor of keeping, give it a space. We've done things that we aren't and are proud of and it is a flag for a majority of the people here. there isn't a punishment for not acknowledging it as your flag. I don't think it is doing harm and isn't dividing us
- 7. Giles- why I co wrote this I understand the pledge but I don't see this, its an allegiance to a flag I like this country, there is a lot wrong but people are trying to make it better. I don't pledge to the flag, I pledge to what this country stands for. "one thing that binds us is the rule book" I don't want to pledge to a flag, it represents the good things now but I don't want to make anyone to do that.
- 8. Hodges- I think we should take it out because it's pointless and the blind patriotism. It leads to us not acknowledging our history with slavery and genocide. Its not about our country, pledging to a flag blindly. We are told this country is grate but it is not.
- 9. Quinones Call to question
 - a. Seconded by Kallis
 - b. Does not pass 14-12

VIII. New Business

- a. SA1718-036: Resolution for Endorsement of FY19 AIDAC Budget
 - i. Glaus- Came in about the changes and there were some things we tried to make



2244 THE U 1705 BADGER STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

more equally split. We had to make cuts. An hour after we made this Tuesday, we had to make more changes. Violence prevention, if you looked at what the proposed they are getting what they asked. Did a method of getting savings and gave it to anyone that got cuts, 2.4% increase. Other document shows what percentage that these entities are being cut, last times was a lot different and we were able to get these down. the percentages of cuts were more equal. This year we were a little behind and entity's have to have this by April first, so the two week rule would put us a little behind. We were hoping to suspend the two week rule because technically senates have to do this anything with this and ADAIC wanted to bring

- 1. Giles- suspend the two week rule
 - a. Passes
- 2. Kallis- I'm wondering why the library only got cut .3% compared to campus climate got 2.3%.
 - a. Ethan- the library has never asked for a raise in the amount they ask in their history, but cost go up every year. so basically the library is funded less every year. Initially when we brought this campus climate was going to be cut by a lot more. With every dollar we take from the library the less the students get.
 - b. Glaus- when we brought it last time it was around 17% and we had a lot of help and we got our committee with these numbers and it is a compromise, it could be better but to get the committee to agree on this was a struggle for reasons he said.
- 3. Medugno every step of getting campus climate was a fight and I agree it should be like this but it is the best we will get. Each piece was a fight because some people have ideas on what is valuable that I don't agree with
- 4. Weber- advocate for campus climate they are still short that would bring in a speaker or training. They do a lot for this campus and I feel like they should be fully funded but I understand its a tough fight. Maybe the learning center should see the what the students are coming from.
- 5. Tahiri who were the people who allowed for the 23%
 - a. Glaus-There are pillars and what says who. Meetings Thursday at 5:30
- 6. Kallis- Call to question
 - a. Ouinones seconded
 - i. Passes

IX. Officer Reports

- a. President: Jacob Schimmel
 - i. Schimmel- trying to figure when Bob can come in, I'm meeting w him Friday. Bob said we will get gender neutral restrooms in Wimberly soon. Going to be a temporary sign before we can get the proper stuff. Scholarships the ones I've been developing in the foundation office I'm going to sign then Thursday with Larry. Once that comes in place you'll hear more. The scholarship committee if you're on it I need you to fill out the poll is filled out the awarding of these scholarships are timely if these students can go to UWL or not so they can come



2244 THE U 1705 BADGER STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

to the campus. Talking about late registration fee on campus. Looking and talking to Betsy is to not go through Dr. Bob. There is no minimums fee

- 1. Kallis- Did you talk to Anne about the steering committee
- ii. Schimmel- Want to alter the policy because of the issue of retention and we have to ask ourselves of value of the fee. It is a valuable discussion that we don't want money so we should get rid of it. I've talked to James the vice chair of reps.
 - 1. Aaron- as far as I know, Betsy said Bob was working on reducing it already
- iii. Schimmel- Want to have a discussion on what that reduction would be. The sexual violence that we have been pushing have been in limbo they got back to us and we do have the draft about what we lobbied for initially. It'll have to wait until next year so id encourage you to keep this issue on mind. If it's not pushed by students on this campus it is not going to go anywhere. I can't remember the last time students got a bill drafted so its a big fruit and next year I want folks to push and work on it. Jen is going to be come in next week and I'm hoping you'll have questions for her. Its hard to get a legislator in here so please have questions and be knowledgeable on what is going on in the state.
- b. Vice President: Alfonso Gunaratnam
 - i. Gunaratnam- Met with the Chief of Police, met and discussed he new emerging notification service. He wants to update to Rave, with code red it email text takes 15 minutes, Rave makes it so you can click one button and send it to everything in 10-15 minutes. With rave all students are signed up for it, there will be a check box in WINGS unless you unclick it. Wants it from fall 2018. He wants your support and lastly he wants your input on Rave eye witness, two way communication between students and police, the students can text the police where the students are to get them to safety and funding isn't an issue.
- c. Chief of Staff: Weston Floerke
 - i. No report
- d. State Affairs Director: Ben O'Connell
 - i. No report
- e. Local Affairs Director: Steph Boebel
 - i. Boebel- Alternate side parting ended
- f. Inclusivity Director: Aaron Bhatoya
 - i. Bhatoya- Schimmel mentioned the scholarship. One for women for CBA and one from students of color. We will be asking for donations from people in the community and I will outline women business and business of color. We have the paper work and we want to get a group together and do it within the senate. The greater lax diversity council and Jodi said she would advertise our scholarship. Since they are connected in the community they are willing to send us over 400. Taste of diversity hit a road block because of spring break. We want to have a solid plan and will have more on that next week
 - 1. Schimmel- have sessions with Miranda about how we want to talk to businesses, we want to create relationships and make a difference in students lives
 - ii. Bhatoya- regarding the resolution, if your bummed on helping students of color, this is a concrete way to do it



2244 THE U 1705 BADGER STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

- 1. Quinones I interned with Jodi for a year so if you want help I can do that.
- g. Public Relations Director: Brittany Tashner
 - i. Tashner- Using SA budget for t-shirts so you only have to pay \$10, but I need the money before you order them. I'm trying to order them by next week so we can have them before summer. Softball tournament on April 18-19 and in memory of Sara Hougan who was shot and killed. We only need 10 people and it is \$20 person and it all goes for her cause. Next week Jen is coming so we can take a photo so we will be dressed up. Instead of slices with the senators, we''ll do Sundays with the senators (the FOOD, not date).
 - 1. Medugno do a doodle pole to figure out the times that would work best for everyone

X. Advisor Reports

a. Larry- all of you say the firetrucks outside and I was giving tours to the La Crosse Fire departments. Trying to figure out that the comment on the cell phone booster maybe has been cancelled, we'll keep you informed if this is true. Furniture for the patio is coming out next week.

XI. Committee Reports

- a. Lucas- Caps is meeting stat undergrad stats agree so feel free to give me some impute. The stats program you can get dual credits so we are looking a that to see if it is viable and effective
- b. Haley- JCES committee met and we passed mini grants. The compost passed and the student is going to be funded. The diva cup was passed. If there is anyone interested we are talking about funding a student to help update the sustainability website so if anyone is interested in web design.
- c. Quinones JMAC it was mentioned that the van guard aren't saying the thing then they pass campus climate but they have one liners for to her offices. Talking about putting in a line when they pass those places. Talked about get money for speakers to talk about diversity on campus and then also informed on a person who sits on equity liaisons I informed them on diversity training an if they can get involved.
- d. Tahiri-SUFAC- met and got updates on members who formed a sub committee. Told us a general over they feel they had a positive impact and we are smoothing that our even though the state is taking away funding

XII. Organizational Reports

a. Kallis- the drag shoe is set, April 28 at 7pm in the Bluffs. I would live to see you there the theme is Sailors, sirens and sea Folk. Make sure you have single dollar bills.

XIII. Discussion

- a. Kallis-This spring break I wrote Dani rules of order a defined rules of Roberts rules. We think that we use Roberts Rules, we don't and it is a myth to think we do. I have compiled a 5 page doc detailed what we do in this body so I want to talk to you about what that looks like. When it comes to speaking, you are given 7 minutes and can only talk twice. You're suppose to address the chair and I don't think we are using point of order and point of information. Infor when someone said something that is information. Order is when procedural things are going wrong. We do things that are good but there are things that are bad. We should talk about what we want to use and what we don't.
 - i. Tahiri- I don't want to read the whole resolution. You read their first where as



2244 THE U 1705 BADGER STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

and the last therefore let it be resolved. Addressing the chair needs to happen. The county board you need to raise your placer you would say "thank you chair" and I think it should happen as a respect thing.

- ii. Kallis- The doc I created are the current rules that we are using
- b. Xiong- talk about the Alana resolution and is there something I cant say? So I cant explain how disappointed I am and I am calling us out to ask each one how often do we think where and why to voice ourselves and our opinions. How often do we have to think about our safety. I'm calling us out because of the shier arrogance. Our intent was to make this senates proportional. and I hope your happy, you have shut down the voices of women of color and the oppressed. We may be the only students body who have diversity seats and I hope the choice you made has impacted the future generation of senators. What we did was insulted voices, 100s of voices and women of color. Any who identifies women. And you right we are pissed off, you're right that all 14 orgs should have a seat on this senate your right we are angry.
 - i. Gunaratnam Please use proper langue and be respectful and I want to sway away from profanity
 - ii. Kahn- I thank you for having the conversation and listening to your stuends even though it didn't touch you. I encourage you to look up race and gender so in the future you can learn and grow and stand up for the people you represent. Maybe this can be a learning experience
 - iii. Vu- I motion to reconsider the resolution #34 of the Student Association of the 2017-2018 year
 - iv. Alfonso- Take a five minute recess
 - v. Vu- I motion to reconsider the resolution #34 of the Student Association of the 2017-2018 year
 - 1. Seconded by Marinack
 - 2. Isaac- object- We don't have rules outlining. People came, voted and left with no rules, no guidelines. It is foolish to act on things that aren't in our governing document. It is asking for a lawsuit, we are making decisions based on a huge change and now sure we can do legally. We are suppose to be a respectful governing bodies. It may happen in others, but its not within ours. This would be foolish to do and you will get sued.
 - 3. Marinack- is there anything preventing next week this resolution be
 - a. Larry- You cant, deemed as a new motion
 - 4. Hodges- I know there is stuff not in the resolution. We have out P and VP and I think we can make decision on the fly. We have Quorum because this is a time commitment and it was their decision to leave and take away their voices. We can decision how we move about process that aren't in the constitution. I don't think we should keep letting things that aren't defined slow this body down
 - 5. Giles- if we again move to reconsider and go through how may people would be in favor of adding a amendment to adding Roberts rules into our bylaws under rules of procedures
 - a. Kallis- we follow the Wisconsin of open meeting laws which says we follow Roberts rules. We have to follow the rules and procedures we are familiar with, which is Roberts rules.



- 6. Giles- I know it messes with the resolution, if people are hung up on rules and procedures we can add that
- 7. Haley- We aren't violating anything, just because its not specificitied it is left up to the discretion of the chair, sorry Alfonso.
- 8. Medugno I think we should vote on it and if a law suit comes, it comes
- 9. Kallis- according to the constitution it is the VP to set agent.... So yes we can do this by our messed up constitution. I motion to reconsider resolution 34 Student Association 2017-2017.
- 10. Tahiri- the last few meetings we have had to stop our meeting because we weren't following Roberts rules.
 - a. Kallis- technically Alfonso can make a rule on parliamentary procedure
- 11. Hanh- Yielding my time to David
 - a. Alfonso- Not allowing because of legality of this going to court and him being a part would be a conflict of interest
- 12. Lasse- they thought they had their answer and now we are brining it back up and so I'm confused. I think its unfair that they don't get a say in this
- 13. Hahn- the vote that was had is not done. We can resind the vote next week, there are other options.
- 14. Dickler- I initially voted No and I motion to reconsider the ALANA vote
 - a. Alfonso- we still have other speakers and I wane to discuss this more
- 15. Hodges- we are suppose to be here the entire meeting and we don't have control on how this setting goes. People know that they left a meeting that was still going on. Shouldn't penalize the people that chose to say
- 16. Schimmel- is it right to go forth because people left, its valid, but there is a decision that needs to be made. If something is not defined based upon Roberts rule the chair can make that decision itself. All branches have ac heck and balance on each other, so you can challenge and overrule them. Its not giving them all the power. I don't thing debating on the process will help. We need to go forth on the decision of yes or no.
- 17. Haley- the problem I have with reconsidering, the only thing that is new is who is missing, there isn't new information and I think that is unfair.
- 18. Tahiri- given that you have the power I request that you strongly consider the decision that was made. I want you to consider what you heard when those senators said. We all saw what happened and what the rules were and the ideas shared. People came, voted and I hope you consider the result that was made. To the fairness of the people that were here, I don't think familiar with Roberts rules. How are senators suppose to know that this is a possibility is it isn't written anywhere. If it was written I would agree to go about this, but its not written so how could they know before they left.
- 19. Quinones move to close discussion on closing
 - a. Sabah- Pont of information- what happens when you resign a vote
 - i. If you resign it, you cant bring the same resolution



- b. Object to close discussion- now two people have stated that changed the vote which world change the outcome. I think that they should have still been here and the vote is on them. You have to decide on what happens if you vote or not.
- c. Weaver- you have to think of the other side they may have left for an important reason or not. That the decision you made was reversed, image what it looks like to other people.
- d. Marinack- the idea of being knowledgeable with the rules. Familiarity is an idea of how things work. The alternative is every senate has to be an expert of Roberts rule. Its an unrealistic expectation and to an extent we have to acknowledge that we should be flexible
- e. Dickler- I feel like things have changed. At the beginning of the meeting we moved unfinished business up and I feel like that made a difference, I feel like it changed things.
- f. Giles- two people have left, it seems two people have changed their mind. I think it is reasonable to reconsider because then it would be stayed of the meeting that they should be here for. The people who want to change their vote they are here thinking and trying to enlighten themselves and they want to change their vote. Call to question on the reconsideration.
- g. Alfonso- why do you want to change your vote
 - i. Ethan- I didn't do my research and I had opinions coming in and I changed by vote during the discussion and I voted against that and I regret that decision. I made a mistake and I want to correct it.
 - ii. Vu- based on the fact that I promised myself a year ago that it couldn't hurt to have another person. Doing the math doesn't matter it's the person stilling on the place is looking out for people in their position
 - iii. Alfonso- allow the reconsideration
- h. Motion to reconsider Passes
- vi. Gunaratnam Discussion on the
 - 1. Giles- call to question
 - a. Seconded by Quinones
 - b. Tahiri- object-we already voted on it
 - 2. Ethan-I move to call to question
 - a. Quinones seconded
 - i. Weaver- we already voted on this
 - 3. Moving to end discussion
 - a. Passes
 - 4. Alfonso- Motion to call to question
 - a. Seconded by Dickler
 - i. Resolution passes 17-6
- vii. Marinack- in this discussion we used language that this is the right thing to do or right thing to do. I don't think there was s right or wrong thing to do, there is



2244 THE U 1705 BADGER STREET LA CROSSE, WI 54601 (608) 785-8775

something we had to make a decision

- viii. Hahn- loosly boulevard is posted at 25 but because it is a federal highway La Crosse cant inforce that
 - 1. Weaver- don't have jurisdiction
 - a. Hahn- there has been close door meetings on this so the issue is that the city is trying to inforce this but they cant because the federal govt cant say this.
 - i. Steph- It was lowered last summer and they have been giving tickets, but t hey cant because that is a federal thing.
 - ii. Hahn- I'm just trying to help people.
 - 1. Weaver-I would recommend state representatives
- ix. Schimmel- Hahn are you sure that loosly is a federal highway?
 - 1. Hahn- Yes Federal or Wisconsin DOT.
 - a. If it is federal you're right, but if tis Wisconsin its not. I would look into that.
- x. Hodges move to lose discussion
 - 1. Seconded by Quinones

XIV. Announcements

- a. Weaver- I'm your new city council for the 5th district. My email is on the city site if you have any questions
- b. Tahiri- country board has a meeting last week and there was good and troubling news. Gave us a report on the status of youth in La Crosse. States, our juvenile detention system showed a graph nearly 8/10 blacks are being detained in comparison to Asian, white. There is a disconnect in the community and the blacks don't feel comfortable or accepted in the community. There is a high report of mistrust in our community. We need to talk about it people feel like they aren't.
- c. Sabah- DOC is having 12-2 HOA we are having information on what ballets and why it is important to vote. Going to meet tomorrow at 7 if you want to help with planning of that
- d. Weaver- neighborhood association- boost town is moving districts.
- e. Medugno expulsions talk to Greg and talk to him. At Northside this is a huge issue but the teachers are trying to protect the kids so they wont tell issues. Will try to help get kids get special education support so they don't get expelled.

XV. Adjournment

- a. Xiong
- b. Hahn
- c. 9:50PM