

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REVIEW MEETING / APRIL 30, 2015**

---

MEETING START TIME: 9:30 a.m.  
 MEETING END TIME: 11:30 a.m.

**PRESENT:**

Beth Alderman	Division of Facilities Development	Val Schute	River Architects
Maura Donnelly	UW-System Administration	Mike Adler	River Architects
Bob Hetzel	UW-La Crosse	Tony LoBello	SmithGroupJJR
Heidi Macpherson	UW-La Crosse	Bill Patek	SmithGroupJJR
Doug Pearson	UW-La Crosse		
Bruce Riley	UW-La Crosse		

**NOTES:**

1. Program Schedule Review:
  - a. Upcoming meetings tentatively scheduled are as follows:
    - Design Development Review Meeting No. 2 to be held May 7, 2015.
    - Executive Committee Review Meeting to be held May 21, 2015.
    - Design Development Review Meeting No. 3 to be held May 28, 2015.
  - b. Design Team currently working towards a document submittal scheduled for May 6<sup>th</sup> for cost estimating in preparation of the draft submittal of the Design Report.
  
2. Project Cost Review:
  - a. Design Team using cost reduction strategies previously discussed with the Executive Committee.
  
3. Bill Patek reviewed the proposed site design. The following items were reviewed and discussed:
  - a. Badger Street design options were discussed. Pedestrian analysis was illustrated. Two options were presented. The committee prefers the linear design over the curved.
  - b. Bob Hetzel noted that Badger Street is currently closed to buses and vehicular traffic.
  - c. Doug Pearson commented that the curved design could be difficult from a maintenance perspective.
  - d. Site limits for Phase 1 were reviewed.
  - e. Courtyard area between Phases 1 and 2 will have limited landscape work due to Phase 2 removing most of that area.
  - f. The well head protection area will limit the amount of stormwater retention on site. Final stormwater calculations are in progress. The area west of Phase 1 will likely be a shallow depression.
  
4. Executive Committee commented that the researchers located in the Health Science Building will not impact this project in any way.
  
5. The current floor plans were reviewed and discussed. The following items were noted:
  - a. Design Team to distribute plans prior to the meeting on May 7<sup>th</sup> with the Design Committee.
  - b. DI water distribution was reviewed. A distributed approach is being proposed where a room will be located on each floor to service the labs rather than from one centralized system in the basement. This approach saves on the costs to run expensive piping.

- c. The emergency generator has been located within the basement in lieu of the exterior service yard as the site can't absorb something of this size.
6. Tony LoBello presented the current interior design strategies being proposed for the project. The following items were reviewed and discussed:
  - a. Color strategies were conducted to develop a palette that represents the local environment.
  - b. Strategic accents and highlights are proposed at various areas.
  - c. Wood doors with aluminum frames are proposed.
  - d. Back wall of door niche proposed to be the same color as the sidewalls.
  - e. Bob Hetzel noted that wayfinding will be an important element in the building.
  - f. Heidi Macpherson commented that movable furniture within the collaborative areas would be an issue.
  - g. Round concrete columns being proposed at those that are exposed along the north and south corridors.
  - h. Doug Pearson commented that the proposed colors aren't his preference.
  - i. Heidi Macpherson noted that the green tone reminds her of designs from the UK in the early 2,000's. Bob Hetzel added that the lime green color is a bit "loud".
  - j. Maura Donnelly noted that color selections are the campus's choice and can be changed.
  - k. The committee agreed with the approach of using accent colors at specific areas in the building. Committee agreed to keep the colors as depicted for the Design Committee meeting.
  - l. Bob Hetzel noted that the fixed furniture and fixed benches are a good idea.
  - m. Bob Hetzel commented that carpet should not be used at the west lobby area seating area, but rather use a different color flooring at that area and not necessarily a different material.
7. The presentation to the Design Committee should be edited as follows:
  - a. Omit slides showing the Health Science researchers.
  - b. Add a bullet point regarding equipment list and fume hood emergency power requirements.
  - c. Omit slides pertaining to the site and Badger Street.
8. Maura Donnelly commented that she hasn't heard a drastic reduction in fume hoods by the user groups and asked if green chemistry strategies have been discussed.
9. After the meeting, the following items were discussed:
  - a. Vending areas to be shown in the plans.
  - b. Preference for group type arrangements at the collaborative areas rather than individual types of spaces.

---

Meeting Notes by: River Architects and SmithGroupJJR

This constitutes our understanding of the issues presented. Contact River Architects, Inc. via phone at (608) 785-2217, or e-mail [m.adler@river-architects.com](mailto:m.adler@river-architects.com) if there are any discrepancies.