Student Senate Agenda
Date: January 27th, 2010
Time and Location: 6:00 PM; Port O’ Call; Cartwright Center

I. Call to Order
   a. 6:00PM

II. Pledge of Allegiance

III. Roll Call

IV. Approval of Agenda
   a. Heying/Shauer
      i. Agenda approved

V. Approval of Minutes
   a. Koplin/Grabanski
      i. Minutes approved
         1. 2 abstentions

VI. Guest Speakers
   a. Brad Konkel, District 5 Update
      i. Passing around the discount card program. We will be offering this on campus. I am working with Kahl to figure out accommodations and where to hand them out. On an average this will save consumers about 22%. Pharmacies will use this or your health insurance.
      ii. I will be holding sessions every Monday from 11 to noon in room 260 if you have any concerns about the district.
   b. Bob Hetzel, Paula Knudson and Joe Gow- Academic Initiatives Differential Tuition
      i. Academic Initiatives, now we will take that request to raise the fee to the board of regents on the 4th and 5th. When we did the referendum, apparently there is an inflationary piece to the fee that wasn’t put into the referendum when it was voted on. I didn’t know about that and I should have asked more questions and made sure that was in the referendum. The spending plan was approved by the senate about a year ago. Technically this body approved the budget; it just wasn’t on the referendum. It is a twelve dollar increase the first year. The reason we have to do that is because there are personnel and salary increases. There is a resolution tonight and we would be very grateful if you would approve that to reiterate it to the board of regents. I hope you know that the last thing we would do consciously is try to put something over you. It would be very helpful to us. We will be coming to the regents at a time that there is great scrutiny over differential tuition. We got great results from the referendum and I think this is
more of a technicality and it wouldn’t have changed the referendum. You approving the resolution would be very helpful.

ii. Questions

1. What if we didn’t pass this?
   a. We would have to cut back on many of the things we wanted to do.
   b. This deals a lot with the reserve balance so we would have to go back and the overall line would have to change and we would have to use more dollars from the reserve.

2. Why under year two we go with a set dollar amount and then we go into percentages?
   a. When we passed the initial differential the dollar amount was 6 and then there was a percentage. It has to deal with the reserve.

3. What happens if people don’t get increases?
   a. The 6% is a cap.
   b. Every year the budget office asks what the increase is on fringe benefits. There is no pay plan for this current year. Every year there is a difference. This also pays for things such as increase in cost for internet access and fees. These are estimates we are not going to know until later.
   c. We are gradually buying down the reserve.
   d. We are trying to grow into the rate. We are using the reserve. If the reserve is bigger we will have more dollars to use and grow into that rate.
   e. We will not be using the accounting system that the state of Wisconsin uses.

4. What happens after when it is done in 2015? What are we going to use then?
   a. What I offer is this. In 2003 your predecessors decided to charge themselves $40 a year for this. In the second year they had a $12 increase. By the time they got into the third year they kicked in a 3%. No one asked why. My perspective is you don’t over collect for these things. We try not to grow the reserve. Let’s not grow a half a million dollar reserve. We were collecting money that we weren’t spending. That’s not good financial spending.
   b. When we don’t have a reserve that is the dollar amount we need to be at. That is ultimately the cost it will take to run the programs that you want.
   c. I don’t think that this information would have changed the student vote.
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d. Referendums don’t always have the cost of the second and third year will be. This is a very typical academic initiatives model. I don’t believe the other schools had the question with the increases. You know tuition is going to grow. That is just the way it works.

e. The materials that were on the referendum did state that there would be inflationary increases. It didn’t say these specific amounts but it did state there would be increases. We could do a 3% but the step up would have to be larger. Do you want to pay more on the front end or the back end of the model? If you want a 3% in the later years you have to start out with a $23 increase the first year. In the fifth year we start putting money back into the reserve.

f. These plans are fairly typical, what is not typical is the amount of student involvement. Over a third of the student body participated.

5. Why didn’t we spread it out evenly?
   a. There are multiple ways to do it and we took a 3% or 6% model. If we did it evenly it would have to be 8% every year. I didn’t think people would like that.

6. In 2013 are the differential tuition accounts going to be merged?
   a. It will start in the fall of 2010 and it will be on your student bill under one account.
   b. The need to merge has two primary drivers. One is so that there is no confusion on how much it costs to go to UWL and the other is it makes it easier for the regents to review. For our purposes we can still work with it as separate but they want it this way as a public face.

7. I remember asking when this first came up what would stop this from having a huge increase every year, and this wasn’t brought up.
   a. Well that would make it very difficult to pay people. You have to have those increases in there. The other thing is the cost of materials. It would not be fiscally responsible to move ahead with this plan without this. But the nice thing is they are only if needed. This is a byproduct of what is happening with funding from the state. As the state says they don’t want to pay anymore, do we cut funding and services or do we pay for it. We don’t want to back pedal in terms of what we have to offer, we want to keep going strong.
   b. I wasn’t in the session, I would have shared that. If we can hold that down, I will make sure we do that. This year if you live in Coate Hall you are paying about
c. No increase in room and no increase in meal plan next year. The entire increase on your tuition will be about $380. We are working very hard to keep these things down. But we also want to keep the quality of the university strong.

c. Bob Hetzel and Paula Knudson- Non-allocable Segregated Fees

i. We have non allocable and allocable fees. The non allocable are fees that are not very fluid, like salary. The business office sends this to directors and the directors develop a budget with the budget office. Then we start talking to the SUFA Committee. System asked us to expedite the process. We had to report to System on Jan 15th. It got pushed up a little bit this year.

ii. The directors met with us the second week of January. We looked at their expenses in 2008-2009. We looked at where they are in 2010 and what they plan for 2011. We ask each director how they have been managing these things. The 0% increase is great work from the staff. Chartwells will deliver the same menu and meals at that same price. There will be no increase on room and board. We are proposing a 1.9% increase in seg fees. A total of $20 more. That is a very significant bargain for students. Athletics is going up because of two positions. The allocable seg fees are a minus 10%. University Centers has a deficit so this year the rate will increase. Health and Counseling has a 1.9% increase. We are hiring a licensed psychologist. It will allow us to have internships that will let us have up to 4 or 5 additional providers for students. Our counselors on average see 6 students a day. The demand right now, we are stretched. Getting this increase on the licensed psychologist allows us to meet students needs better. These are core services that are so essential. They did a very good job at identifying the needs on our campus. The REC is a 0%. Last year we increased the fee for the stadium and we are barely breaking even. The heaters are freezing. These are things we are learning about the complex, it is very expensive to operate. The allocable group did a very good job.

iii. Questions

1. Why is athletics going up?
   a. One is for a graduate assistantship for game day management. The other piece is a .25 FTE that we felt was very important to have.
   b. Administratively in our athletic department we are stretched very thin and I think it is important to have
someone that can do planning and answer questions over the summer months.

c. The athletic department actually wanted more for travel, supplies, meals, etc. I told them to go to the foundation and the L Club to raise the $20,000.

d. We just had a consultant come in for our athletic program. We have our female senior administrator who is on a part time basis and our consultant showed us that we need to bring the female administrator into full action.

d. Eric Schmidt, Planning and Process Committee
   i. I had this vision over J term to make this run a little smoother. This is a building block of a bigger vision. There is a deficiency in continuity. Students have an idea of what they want but we don’t know how to get it. We have a shortened time and we want to make it effective. We need a history of senate to retain all the information. We need to find out the issues of students. We need to create resolutions that solve the current problems of current students. Once we have those issues I want to prioritize those issues. If we have a 3 to 5 year plan I think it reasonable to have an idea of where they want to see us. We have a president and vice president that direct us and we want to include their goals in the short term plan. I only want this committee to meet once a month and present one resolution a month. We did change some of the wording on the resolution. I want to be really open on this. It is just something that I think we can do a little bit better.

   ii. Bylaws should be approved as a whole document and not in bits and pieces so we will take some of these recommendations from here and bring it next week.

   iii. Questions
       1. I feel that having a good idea of what students want is something we struggle with. What are new ideas on how to achieve this compared to what we have done in the past.
          a. We would have two or three students talking to students. If we would talk to students and write those ideas down we would have a lot of ideas. This is something that would bring organization for right now and in the future.

       2. Are you planning to change the bylaws right away or would you consider a two year sunset clause?
          a. I don’t think looking at this for one year is going to be effective. I would be open to a sunset clause.

e. Bryan Kleinschmidt, on Academic Initiative Differential Tuition
   i. This money and what is going on is definitely going to allow students to continue with these opportunities. These trips are very beneficial and it helps them to learn about values and beliefs of their own selves. We would have like to have this information in November when this
was going through, but I think it wouldn’t have changed the vote. As a student, I would have voted the same.

VII. Officer Reports
   a. President
      i. My goal is to be a little bit more available at the office.
      ii. Next week is the Board of Regents meeting. If you are interested in going let me know.
   b. Vice-President
      i. Welcome back. I hope you all had a relaxing break. I would like to welcome Andy Cloud; she is our new NASA senator.
      ii. Please sign up for two office hours.
      iii. I have heard from a few people that they can’t get into the office, let me know if that is a problem.
      iv. The senate retreat will be in room 259 from 10 to 2 on the 30th. Bring a notebook and a pen.
   c. Chief Financial Officer
      i. Had to step down because of class conflict.
   d. Shared Governance Director
   e. Legislative Issues Director
      i. Thank you to everyone who participated in the New Horizons Christmas gifts.
      ii. Keeping a good report with our legislators is what this job means to me. It is really important that we have a relationship with people so that we are not just going to them when we need something from them.
      iii. Please apply to Leg Con. We all pay a quarter a semester to USSA, the organization that lobbies for us. We can attend this conference and learn to lobby.
   f. Others
      i. PR
         1. Had some success with the TVs. Finally figured out how to work the programs. You will get an email soon.
         2. Don’t be afraid to ask me for help with publicity.
         3. We only need 8 more people to get to 1,000.
      ii. Social Justice
         1. Diversity Dialogues. I am passing around a sign up list along with the flyer.
         2. United Against Hate Campaign the last week of March and we are asking everyone to wear black. Keep an eye out for that.
      iii. Gender Issues.
         1. I am still working on the Healthy Relationships Campaign. I am working on getting it as personal as possible.
      iv. City Affairs
         1. Feb 10th Bob Warren is coming as a guest speaker, please read the report that is in the office before then.
         2. I am serving on the Harm Reduction Coalition and Feb 9th we will have a booth at Involvement Fest. This includes the
youtube contest. You can submit a video on healthy drinking habits.

3. SOS. Senator Schauer had worked extensively with myself and we are working out the final details. I will present on it next week.

4. The return of the Burk Challenge! We will do the drawing earlier this semester. The next meetings are Feb 9th and 11th at 7:30 and City Hall.

v. Environmental Sustainability
   1. Recyclemania has been occurring on campus. I will keep you posted on this.
   2. The committee is meeting this Friday morning in 126 Wing at 9:30.

VIII. RHAC Report
   a. Game Show Network Night, we will have a bunch of prizes on Feb 26th.
   b. Treasurer position will be open as of tomorrow. Applications due on the 10th. Info meeting on Feb 2nd and elections are Feb 11th.

IX. Advisor Reports
   a. Larry
      i. Welcome back. This will be an interesting semester. Looking forward to it. We have had events this last semester in which we didn’t have enough chairs, so the new chairs allow us to have enough chairs of our own.
   b. Bri
      i. If you are not graduating in May and interested in the student orientation positions let me know. it is a $1,500 stipend.

X. Committee Reports
   a. Student Services and Bldgs
      i. We are starting the application process for Racquet this Monday in 212.
   b. Search and Screen
      i. John Palmer got picked for the dean of students. I think he will be great.
   c. AIOC
      i. Open forum in 339 CC Friday at 2PM.
   d. Cultural Affairs
      i. Look at your schedules ahead of time if you are on the committee.
   e. JPB
      i. Talked about the educational attainment requirements. We have a plan. Our endowment is back up so scholarships are good again.
      ii. We were given info about the emails and it sounds like we are going to use Google apps.
   f. Faculty Senate
      i. Meeting this Thursday 3:30 in 325 Graff.
   g. SUFAC
      i. Have a resolution. Will not be meeting this Monday.
h. Academic Affairs
   i. We have to start our commencement speaker process. Applications will be available soon.

i. Commencement Committee
   i. The hoods were covered in the general graduate expenses. They haven’t been adjusted in two years. Now they the grad students will see an increase for that.
   
   ii. Working on the commencement location.

j. Library
   i. Looking at policies. We might see an increase or decrease.

k. Student Tech Fee
   i. The first thing we discussed were the stats from pay for print. These are the final numbers from last semester. Number of print jobs are down pretty tremendously across campus. Pay for print has done what it set out to do.
   
   ii. The money that is not being used for the printing is what we are trying to do with that money. We came up with several different possibilities.
       1. The first one is the wireless access points. This has to do with strengthening the wireless signal around campus. that is $1,200 per point. There might be a different source of funding for that.
       2. Virtual Lab Pilot. We could have a pilot system for accessing the GCA lab computers from home. The pilot would cost $46,000 and it would allow about 40 students on at a time. It sounds interesting however it is rather expensive.
       3. GCA Staffing. They are at minimal staffing right now so we have the option of staffing someone at $7.60 and hour.
       4. Printing from your lap top costs $575 per printer.
          a. Where would the print jobs be?
             i. It would get cued and you would have to log in.
       5. RAM upgrades. Some faculty have complained that the computers in the classrooms can’t run multiple programs at the same time. The cost is $9,724
       6. Password reset so that you can change your UWL password at anytime. $18,000.
       7. UWL My Orgs. Able to log into it with the same password as your UWL password. $1,000-$2,000.

iii. Questions
   1. When is the timeline for this?
      a. There is not too strict of a timeline. We do have to plan a budget soon but we are here tonight to see what you think.
         i. I think the internet is the best one.
   2. Who makes the decisions for these selections and when would it be made?
We are meeting again next Tuesday, but we might decide the Tuesday after that and the committee makes the decision.

3. What is your budget?
   a. About $48,000.

4. Are all the ideas just a one time price or are there fees?
   a. The virtual labs would be the biggest one. If the pilot program went well, in order to complete the program we would have to put more money into it.

XI. Unfinished Business

XII. New Business
   a. SA0910-037: Resolution Clarifying the Cost Increases of the Academic Initiatives Program
      i. Heying/Schauer
         1. Coming from our perspective, we were here over J term and it happened by chance that we were able to work on this. We feel it is very important that we pass this tonight to send a message to the regents that we want this to continue. And I think students sent the same message. I think we can act based on that fact. One side note is that there are two percentages based on the number of student votes that we got from the Racquet, which was incorrect. So we need to change those. (Changed during meeting).
         
         2. We have done all this work on this and this is just the last piece of the puzzle. Think about how much we support this; are we going to let this stand in the way?
      
      ii. Discussion
          1. Things are always going up and it is just something we need to accept. Inflation is a part of life.
          2. I know that I was aware of the inflation. Right now AI functions at a 1.5 to 2% inflation, so the 6% is just a cap on it. We will do everything to keep that low. It looks scary, but they are all caps.
          3. I agree with this resolution. But I really think that we need to think about this. As students we are constantly being asked to pick up the slack of these financial decisions. We can’t be so passive about this.
          4. I know that a lot of people here voted on the GQA and aren’t actually paying for it. I lean towards paying a higher amount for us to pay and smaller increases in the future.
          5. I agree that these fees do add up but they add up to things that are worth it. It is the last piece of the puzzle lets get it through.
          6. Call to question
             a. Passes 30:0:1
             b.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENATORS</th>
<th>College/Org.</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTENTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>Brock</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Adam</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Micah</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callaway</td>
<td>Chris</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud</td>
<td>Andi</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruz</td>
<td>Sonia</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dill</td>
<td>Kyle</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grabanski</td>
<td>Kaitie</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll</td>
<td>Bri</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmer</td>
<td>Mike</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her</td>
<td>Dorothy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heying</td>
<td>Drew</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedrowski</td>
<td>Erin</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knutson</td>
<td>Ben</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koplin</td>
<td>Tim</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale</td>
<td>Laura</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieder</td>
<td>Josh</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodahl</td>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattson</td>
<td>Sarah</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Riley</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>Samantha</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nell</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popp</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radke</td>
<td>Olivia</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schauer</td>
<td>Andy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td>Eric</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talhouarne</td>
<td>Gaelle</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbas</td>
<td>Cate</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verhoeven</td>
<td>Andy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wermedal</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwieg</td>
<td>Kara</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Members Present</strong></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent YES</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XIII. Discussion

a. SA 0910-038: Resolution Enumerating a Standing Planning and Process Committee
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i. Radke/Bradley

ii. Discussion

1. Do directors think this is something people in your positions will embrace?
   a. For City Affairs it can be done. But it might limit extra things the director might want to do, like how I do the harm reduction committee.
   b. I don’t see Shared Gov having a problem fitting it into the schedule.
   c. Environmental, don’t see it as a huge issue. Meeting once a month won’t break the camels back. The benefits outweigh the costs.

2. I would like a two year sunset clause. We should really really work on this hard because this is something that could be vital to this body.

3. Why did you choose those three director positions?
   a. I felt that there were a lot of green initiatives on this campus, and there are a number of community issues, and the shared gov director is what makes it all possible.

4. I think it is a great idea. There are a lot of things that students talk about that we don’t act on. I think this would help boost up the transparency of senate.

5. I really like this idea. As a freshman senator it is hard to learn and jump in right away. I would like to see something to help freshmen get up to speed.

6. I like the idea of a more organized effort to listen to students. But each year the whole student body elects the president and vice president. Students vote for them because they agree with their direction. And to have this committee take away some of that power…

7. I feel that this is going to take away the senator position, the president position, and the secretary position. If we represent a group of students, we should already be doing this.

8. Has there been any thought to having the secretary on the committee?
   a. I did think about that, I guess I was just looking for who had time. In no way do I want to take away power or senators. If it takes away power I wouldn’t want this. I never saw that as a problem. I don’t want to take away from what the executives do for us. But there are things that they just don’t have enough time to get to. It is just a little way of organizing things. But the last thing I want to do is take away power, and if there is a way to limit that we can. This is a different way to gather information. The way things work in your head don’t
always come out fluidly on paper so there are things we need to discuss.

9. I want this resolution to pass, but it is two sided with the historical side and the gathering of information side. NRHH is not really suited for this committee. Same with at large members. They would be great with gathering info but I don’t know if it is fair to ask them to be at every meeting. I would like a sunset clause because I would like to see if it would work because I do for see some problems.

10. Remember that if it is going to be a lengthy amendment please send it to the Secretary and Vice President.

11. Does a 2 year sunset clause seem reasonable? (Straw poll, many in favor).

12. motion to close discussion
   a. Schauer/Kedrowski
      i. Discussion closed.

b. SA 0910-039: Resolution Directing the Student Court to Review the Student Senate Bylaws
   i. Heying/Cruz
      1. Taking into consideration the Racquet made last semester about our bylaws, I thought the Student Court is supposed to interpret our constitution and I thought it would be best for them to look at them.

   ii. Discussion
      1. So ultimately we as a senate body would make the decision?
         a. yes
      2. Motion to close discussion
         a. Schauer/Popp
            i. Discussion closed.

c. SA0910-040: Resolution Approving the 2010-2011 Allocable Segregated Fee Budgets
   i. Schauer/Brown
      1. We used a new budget form this year. It asked more details for what they wanted money for. There were mixed feelings on the new process. We held a help session and gained a lot of valuable feedback. From there it went to the sub committees. From there the 4 committee chairs presented to us. We took all of those recommendations into consideration and then applied our guidelines and System’s guidelines. The General Accounts don’t fall under a committee. The Apportionment (SUFAC) account dropped. The UPASS came in 4% under budget. Under the Cultural Affairs Committee, not many changes here. in choir they don’t anticipate any program revenue this year. Theatre has A and B years. “A” years they bring in large shows that brings in lots of revenue and “B” years do not do large shows. This is an A year that is why their budget is so large.
The Racquet has a $34,000 revenue expectation. Intramurals’ budget fell but the student fee support is the same. Grad Student Org had a decrease and I think that is because of travel. The total is $896,920 in student support. From there we subtract our reserve funding. Net student fee support needed would be $726,920. Divided up by students would be $84.61. We will be talking about a reserve buy down plan so that the impact won’t be so great. We will be doing it in 3 to 5 years.

ii. Discussion

1. How sustainable is the reserve?
   a. We need to bring it to $240,000. It seems to grow every year, not including what goes unspent every year. Last year we had about $35,000 unspent.

2. We always hit the threshold rate. To level that out we had a decrease in allocable fees which increased non allocable. To me it doesn’t make a lot of sense. We are increasing the amount of seg fees we are paying. We are really just throwing twice as much money to the problem. There are a lot of politics involved in this. I would suggest that we never decrease our fees unless we see how much the allocable went up or down. I agree with cutting it down this year because it makes our program managers accountable. Yes we have a high reserve but we can’t spend that responsibly with making students pay twice.

3. If we could have done this in reserve order it would have been ideal. We are saving three bucks that they didn’t know about… boom.

4. motion to close discussion
   a. Schmidt/Kedrowski
   i. Discussion closed.

XIV. Announcements

a. Comedian in Valhalla 7pm on Thursday.

b. Applications available for Vangaurds in Cleary.

c. ASO is hosting our third dance bash in Valhalla at 6:30 you can buy tickets.

d. Feb 8th we have a spoken word artist dealing with social justice activist.

e. Blood Drive in CC next week Tue through Thur. it is really important to donate right now.

f. You will find the budget published in the Racquet as an effort to increase transparency.

g. If you want more info on the youtube contest go to www.thebuzzstopshere.com

h. There are two discussion threads on D2L. We can also post documents on there. Feel free to use that resource.

i. Remember to apply for Leg Con.

ej. The retreat is on Saturday.

k. Senate applications are out
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XV. Adjournment  
   a. Lauderdale/Schmidt  
      i. Meeting adjourned at 9:00 PM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENATORS</th>
<th>College/Org.</th>
<th>ROLE CALL</th>
<th>ROLE CALL 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>Brock</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Adam</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Micah</td>
<td>BSU</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callaway</td>
<td>Chris</td>
<td>Off-Campus</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud</td>
<td>Andi</td>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruz</td>
<td>Sonia</td>
<td>LASO</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dill</td>
<td>Kyle</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grabanski</td>
<td>Kaitie</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll</td>
<td>Bri</td>
<td>RU</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmer</td>
<td>Mike</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her</td>
<td>Dorothy</td>
<td>ASO</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heying</td>
<td>Drew</td>
<td>RHAC</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedrowski</td>
<td>Erin</td>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knutson</td>
<td>Ben</td>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koplin</td>
<td>Tim</td>
<td>SOE</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale</td>
<td>Laura</td>
<td>SOE</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieder</td>
<td>Josh</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodahl</td>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattson</td>
<td>Sarah</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Riley</td>
<td>RHAC</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>Samantha</td>
<td>Off-Campus</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nell</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>SAPA</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popp</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>RASO</td>
<td>excused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radke</td>
<td>Olivia</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schauer</td>
<td>Andy</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td>Eric</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talhouarne</td>
<td>Gaelle</td>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbas</td>
<td>Cate</td>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verhoeven</td>
<td>Andy</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wermedal</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwiegal</td>
<td>Kara</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Present  
Total  
Percent Present  

Senate 2009-2010