Student Senate Agenda
Date: April 21st, 2010
Time and Location: 6:00 PM; Port O’ Call; Cartwright Center

I. Call to Order
   a. 6:00pm

II. Pledge of Allegiance

III. Roll Call

IV. Approval of Agenda
   a. Zweig/Lauderdale
      i. Agenda approved

V. Approval of Minutes
   a. Bennett/Kedrowski
      i. Minutes approved, one abstention.

VI. Guest Speakers
   a. Nick Herro, One-Time Funding Policy
      i. It was requested that I present some info about it because last time some thought it was confusing. We don’t have set guidelines for one shots. No one likes the process, it doesn’t make sense and there is a lot of confusion. The one time funding policy would create a capital fund and a contingency fund. Capital items are more expensive and we would have a capital reserve. The contingency fund is more lenient. This is for unexpected things. Groups will have more opportunities to request funds and there will be less confusion.
      ii. Questions
          1. Do you foresee orgs taking advantage of the contingency fund?
             a. It would be up to SUFAC and senate. Right now one shots could be like that. The way it is defined it would have to be unforeseen.
          2. When it comes to senate would it come like the one shots?
             a. The contingency one would come one at a time.
          3. Would leadership changes be under contingency?
             a. All student orgs have faculty advisors. They will know every fall that there are one shots. I think the advisors will take care of that.
          4. What if the advisor isn’t taking that role?
             a. Then the students need to go after their advisors. And there will be trainings every year.
          5. I feel that one of the biggest issues is that orgs hear last minute when one shots and org grants are due.
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a. Now you would have about a month and a half to submit the requests. So I think that is enough time.

6. I think a lot of the changes and guidelines are really excellent. I feel the big difference is that when orgs had two opportunities to request one shots they now have one. Is the real reason for that just for efficiency and improving the process?
   a. It was to clarify things. In the fall we set a goal for how much we want to spend on one shots and we don’t see the requests until spring. One the main people that requests one shots is Kim Blum in Athletics and she said she loved the idea of doing it once a year. The capital reserve is aimed at getting groups who know their capital needs. It does cut the period down to one and reduce opportunities to request. But they know their needs.

7. If a contingency comes through we have to sit on them for two weeks until we vote on it, which means it wouldn’t be contingency anymore because it took so long.
   a. Best case it would be ten days.

8. Would you see problems with the organization spending their own money and then trying to get their money from the contingency fund?
   a. That is going to be a problem either way, and since Jeff Allen is gone, we have no way of knowing. If they are spending it out of their accounts, we can see that.

b. Erica Black and Tim Kabat, Jeremy Gragert- Bus Route
   i. Erica had to leave but she left a letter of support for the circulator route. She is with Downtown Main Street INC. The bus route would go into the heart of downtown. There are a lot of opportunities for people to visit downtown during the day. If you have any questions for them they have their information on the letter. I have been working on this too and if you have any questions I can help answer them.

VII. Officer Reports
a. President
b. Vice-President
   i. I am noticing that people aren’t telling me if they are going to be missing meetings or if they are going to be late so please let me and Jacque know.
c. Chief Financial Officer
d. Legislative Issues Director
   i. Veggies with the Leggies was canceled but I am working on rescheduling something next Wednesday at noon. Kapanke will for sure be around.
e. Others
   i. PR
1. We did break 1,000 fans on the fan page but I checked it before the meeting but it was 999. So work on that.

ii. Social Justice
   1. Next week will be the last week to put your name in to go to the Pearl with me.

iii. Gender Issues
   1. Drag show this Friday.
   2. Talked to VPO and throughout this school year there have been 27 stalking reports.

iv. City Affairs
   1. Thank you to the senators who came to the open forum.
   2. We have changed to volleyball. Please sign up.
   3. I met with one of the fraternities, Sigma Alpha Epsilon, who will be taking over SOS and I think that will be a nice transition.

v. Environmental Sustainability
   1. It’s Earth Week. It was started 40 years ago by a WI native.

VIII. RHAC Report
   a. Groundbreaking ceremony this Friday at 3pm.

IX. Advisor Reports
   a. Word Articulation workshop a week from today at 10am
   b. Pepsi looking for students to be on the committee to review grant requests.

X. Committee Reports
   a. Joint Planning and Budget
      i. Reviewed a planning document. Administration will be sending this to System in about a month. It plans our capital expenditures. We talked about the parking structure earlier, that has been in the works since 2005. The first priority is Cowley Hall. They want to know it down and build a new one. That would not be paid for by students. We were initially told it would be a 9 million dollar expense but now it is a 12 million dollar expenditure because they want to have a police station in it. It would have to be paid for through raising parking fees. If you go to the website and look up Master Plan, they have a map of where they are planning to do these things.
      ii. Bob will come in to talk about the new parking ramp. Ask about the student union. There is a lot of money being spent on the planning of that. When no allocable budgets were presented to SUFAC last year we were excited that the fees for our student union were so low compared to others. When I asked why that was it is because they all have new unions. When Bob comes maybe we can nip this in the butt.
         1. We aren’t going to build a student center if students don’t want a student center. Plain and simple. If students don’t want it I am not going to let it happen, that is my commitment to you.
   b. Environmental Sustainability
      i. Meeting this Friday at 9:30 in Port O’Call because we have a speaker on the coal plant.
ii. Thursday at noon there is an open forum for the parking ramp.

c. SAH

  i. We feel there are enough students here who have lived in Cowley and its flaws so we want to get feedback from students regarding the plans. We want to send out a survey to students and have a feedback board by the Clocktower.

XI. Consent Agenda

  a. SA0910-074 Resolution Endorsing AIOC Budget & Annual Report Policy

     i. Heying/Kedrowski

        1. Approved.

XII. Unfinished Business

XIII. New Business

  a. SA0910-049: Resolution Approving SUFAC One-Time Funding Policy

     i. Cloud/Bennett

     ii. Discussion

        1. There has been talk about how this would affect different types of orgs like diversity orgs.

        2. To compare the diversity orgs to Athletics or band is not fair. These orgs operate under different formats. We don’t operate the same way band operates. We don’t have our budgets planned out 3 years in advance. It is not fair to group all of these orgs together.

        3. I am not personally involved in smaller orgs, anyone that is please think about how it would affect your org. this document has some really good organizational changes, but the big change is in capital requests. Think how it will affect all the student orgs at this university.

        4. As the cultural affair chair, I don’t feel the contingency fund will make things better necessarily. I do like the structure, this just needs more work and time put into it.

        5. I talked to the Racquet leader to get his opinion on this and he even saw problems for orgs. The contingency wouldn’t apply for all cases. But I think it is important to have the two one shots.

        6. I realize there is a problem with one shots that isn’t being addressed which is how the money is divided among the smaller and bigger orgs. But with this we would be able to see them all at one time and we would be able to divvy it up more equally. If this policy does fail we don’t have to keep it.

        7. One of my concerns was that certain orgs that may start before the school year actually starts, they would have to plan almost a year ahead because the six weeks that far into the semester, their season would be over. I would like a spring request so that they could be ready to go when their season starts. Did you ever discuss a way where someone knew they needed an item...
at the beginning of the semester that they could bring it to
SUFAC right away instead of at the end of 6 weeks?
   a. We [SUFAC] originally wanted 3 weeks but senate said they wanted 6 weeks.
8. Historically diversity orgs haven’t had much need for capital items. The contingency fund was created to address these smaller groups with smaller budgets. The capital fund is for big groups that know what they need every year. The contingency fund creates more of an opportunity for them, not less.
9. To keep saying that diversity orgs are smaller, I feel that there is a lack of respect. A lot of the diversity orgs have incoming freshmen that come into the exec team so they don’t know about the one shots. We have not been notified about one shots until the very last week. How would you address the contingency to the whole campus? What is the likelihood of a diversity orgs getting a contingency request approved? What are the requirements?
   a. There are no requirements; it is taken on a case by case basis.
10. Why can’t you have two one shots and a contingency request as well?
   a. The point of having it once is so that we can take a look at everything at once. One shots have been capital items for the last four semesters. We want to divvy it up in one big picture rather than playing a lot of guess work and catch up. Then we can prioritize if we can look at it all at once.
11. I personally like it better with having two one shots from a diversity org standpoint because if they don’t know about the first one then they can request the next one.
12. If they had something last minute then isn’t what the contingency fund is for?
   a. Yes.
13. I finally realize and understand when you compare marching band and diversity org requests at once you can see if the diversity org is being short changed. To me it allows much more checks and balances. If this doesn’t work, we can change it. I support this.
14. How can we know that there will still be money left in the contingency plan?
   a. The contingency reserve will be filled with money every year. We want to budget for the un-budgetable.
15. Do we have a policy for what one shots can go for?
   a. I don’t know if I would call it a policy
16. What can the contingency go for?
   a. It’s not set.
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17. We have a wide open policy based on a whole bunch of traditions that we are trying to put down in writing. We should be discussing less about how we want money spent and more about what policy we would like to have in the future. With once a year SUFAC could divvy it up equally every year.

18. Point of info: the question you asked about criteria for one shots, there is a document on SUFAC’s website that outlines it.
   a. That isn’t a formalized
      i. But it’s there
         1. those are just our thoughts on paper
            a. but it exists

19. I think there is some good concern here. Would you be willing to look at something earlier?
   a. If you want to submit it on the first day you can, but we will approve them in the sixth week.
      i. I do see a potential problem with that. Maybe that is something we can fix. I think that this can help with the budgeting process.

20. At first I wasn’t in line with this but now I think this actually does make a lot more sense. It does help other student orgs with the smaller requests because it is more accessible and less outlined and it can come up at any time.

21. If your advisor about it that isn’t contingency, that’s just SOL isn’t it?
   a. It would be up to the SUFAC but I think yes, SOL.

22. Would it be possible to use the contingency reserve with time sensitivity issues?
   a. You can do contingency any time of the year so long as it’s not something you would budget for earlier in the year.

23. When an org wants a speaker to come and that wasn’t planned, is that really an emergency, will that qualify? A lot of this will be up to SUFAC’s discretion, that is unclear and I don’t feel comfortable with that. When I think about the overall effects and consequences for orgs I think it makes things more difficult, especially for less institutionalized orgs. There has been a lot of talk about guidelines, that is not what this is. This is a major change. I don’t support this document.

24. We are trying to compare apples to oranges. Can we split this up and make two policies that address oranges and the other to apples. This applies to the larger orgs but not to the smaller ones.
   a. That’s the point of the contingency reserve. So all this discussion about how this limits smaller orgs, I just don’t get it.
25. I see this being better for smaller orgs because they can request throughout the year. The criteria is super broad.
26. Are there more requests in spring from smaller orgs?
   a. Since I have been on SUFAC we have not seen one request from a small org.
27. Senate can pass allocations at any time. The orgs always have the right to repeal SUFAC’s decision and bring it to senate. We can do it any time of the year. Anytime the requests don’t fit inside the box, they can always bring it here.
28. I think there is a lot of good in this. Right now under the current policy, are all requests treated the same way? Do they all get the money from the same pot?
   a. Yes.
   b. Safety is the highest criteria.
      i. Then right now they are all going through the same process. Are those other groups still going to be looking at them in advance?
         1. Yes it will go to the subcommittees.
            Contingency will go straight through SUFAC and then to senate.
         ii. We are basically just altering the process a little bit here. We should eat this.
29. This is a really great discussion. To me the big advantage is going for that equality. It would make the process more equal if we could see everything at once. I am in support of this document.
30. Call to question
   a. Approved.
   b. SA0910-066: Resolution Recommending the City of La Crosse Initiate the Creation of a Campus Transit Circulator
      i. Radke/Cruz
      ii. Discussion
         1. I really like the idea of a bus circulator. I feel endorsing is a good step. But I also feel it is an issue that needs student feedback.
         2. I feel this is something we can actually bring to the students.
         3. We had a great discussion at the forum. I think we should approve this document and then immediately think about how to get the students to know about this.
         4. I would like to see this go through referendum.
         5. How did you feel about WTC’s response?
            a. Most of the administrators are on board with the idea, so we are going to be able to receive some funding. I don’t know if they would want to go with the equal shares plan. I think there is a good chance they would support the enrollment.
6. Do you see any problems with them funding what they say they will match?
   a. They are looked until March, but I think they were under the impression this would start in fall 2010. But I let them know it won’t be until 2011. After talking to them after the meeting, he said they do have those constraints but they should be able to work out something.

7. When AI came through we didn’t want to take a stance on it as senate because we didn’t want to influence the students. I don’t see a difference with this.

8. I do still have those concerns whether we are endorsing something and telling students how to vote. Move to amend the second therefore be it resolved to “senate supports exploring…”
   a. Schauer/Bradley
   b. Objection, we already explored the creation. Then they came to us. So I don’t like that idea.
   c. Even though we did have some questions answered, we don’t know what we are going to go with. We don’t know if we have a partner. I would really like to see some sort of a city commitment to this. I wouldn’t support this if we didn’t have city funds to support this. There are a lot of costs coming on students for this.
      i. We did talk to WTC and they have not brought this to their assembly.
      ii. The MTU representative from TC came to ask us for information. He had none of the numbers or information.
   d. I don’t know if we could change it from exploring to “student support” or “support student opinion on it”.
   e. I think this is something we do need to explore. I don’t think we should bind our students to something. We need to explore city funding. We have over a year still before this would go through so we have time to look at this.
   f. I am not sure where we are trying to go with this. We can endorse it, throw it into a referendum, or we could deal with it next year.
   g. This would make the resolution so that it shows we have the intent, but we don’t do it tonight.
   h. I suggest we don’t deal with little word changes. We can postpone it indefinitely.
   i. I change my motion to say “…through a student referendum in fall 2010; and”
      i. Schauer/Radke
ii. It would be really good if there could be a question attached to this. I support this that we take a stance and not just push it off to the next senate because that is what happened last year. It would be good to commit to action on it.

iii. Can we put a referendum question on a session that we are not in control of?
   1. Yes.

iv. I do support this. I feel you would have to change the next therefore be it resolved also.

v. I would suggest that you refer this to committee if you want a referendum question.

vi. We should take a stance on this. Would you want this amendment voted through before referring it to committee?
   1. It can be referred at any time.

vii. Call to question
   1. Approved

9. If we want to do a referendum we need to have a dollar figure.
10. I ran into one constituent that didn’t support it.
11. before we do the referendum we should move it send it to committee. Motion to send it to Leg Affairs Committee
   a. Popp/Bennett
   i. Call to question
   1. Referred

c. SA0910-067: Campus Community Enrichment Fund Bylaws
   i. Schauer/Brown
   ii. Discussion
      1. When I was on the Pepsi Fund committee we did the solar hot water heater because that’s what Bob and Gow wanted. Since this is a student based committee, I think we should use big things, I think this is taking it away from ideas that other people might have.
         a. It all comes down to Chancellor Gow. We are just referring ideas to Gow. He only wants large items. That is the only things he wants. That’s what this reflects.
      2. Call to question
         a. Approved

d. SA0910-069: Board of Directors Bylaws
   i. Kedrowski/Schauer
      1. President Kahl thought we should add a clause clarifying that pay has to be approved through senate. I recommend adding to the end of section A “to be approved by senate”
   ii. Discussion
      1. Move to amend to make the end of section A “as approved by senate. Total compensation…”. 
a. Verhoeven/Callaway
b. Call to question
   i. Approved.
2. I want you to discuss who should be setting the salary for the president and vice president.
3. Move to change board of directors setting the pay to “senate”
   a. Schmidt/Dill
   b. The BOD are chosen by president and vp, so that is a huge conflict of interest.
   c. Call to question
      i. Approved.
4. Why closed meeting?
   a. That is open meeting law when you are discussing salary
5. Call to question
   a. Passed unanimously.

6. SA0910-070: Academic Initiative Oversight Committee Bylaws
   i. Schauer/Cruz
   ii. Discussion
      1. Call to question
         a. Objection
   2. I still am having an issue with the at large thing. Move to add one at large student at the end of Section 1:a)
      a. Brown/Urbas
      b. One of the pillars of AIOC is diversity, it is important to have that representation there.
      c. The committee thought there could be a conflict of interest. If you are going to add that seat then you will have to add more.
      d. There would be a conflict of interest, we would have to look at international students and others. The vice president could choose an international student if they so choose. This way it is not binding.
   e. Call to question
      i. Division
         1. Passes 19:13:1
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#### vote on motion in 070

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENATORS</th>
<th>College/Org.</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTENTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>Cody</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>Brock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boll</td>
<td>Jake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Adam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Micah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callaway</td>
<td>Chris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud</td>
<td>Andi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruz</td>
<td>Sonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dill</td>
<td>Kyle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grabanski</td>
<td>Kaitie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haase</td>
<td>Chelsea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmer</td>
<td>Mike</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her</td>
<td>Dorothy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heying</td>
<td>Drew</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedrowski</td>
<td>Erin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knutson</td>
<td>Ben</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koplin</td>
<td>Tim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale</td>
<td>Laura</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieder</td>
<td>Josh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodahl</td>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattson</td>
<td>Sarah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Riley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>Samantha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nell</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td>Derek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popp</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radke</td>
<td>Olivia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schauer</td>
<td>Andy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td>Eric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talhouarne</td>
<td>Gaelle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbas</td>
<td>Cate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verhoeven</td>
<td>Andy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wermedal</td>
<td>David</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang</td>
<td>Bee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zahn</td>
<td>Matt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwieg</td>
<td>Kara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ROLL CALL VOTE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>College/Org.</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTENTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>RU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boll</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>BSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callaway</td>
<td>Off-Campus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud</td>
<td>NASA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruz</td>
<td>LASO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dill</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grabanski</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haase</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmer</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her</td>
<td>ASO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heying</td>
<td>RHAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedrowski</td>
<td>Greek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knutson</td>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koplin</td>
<td>SOE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale</td>
<td>SOE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieder</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodahl</td>
<td>CLS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattson</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>RHAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>Off-Campus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nell</td>
<td>SAPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popp</td>
<td>RASO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radke</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schauer</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talhouarne</td>
<td>ISO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbas</td>
<td>CLS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verhoeven</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wermedal</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang</td>
<td>HOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zahn</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwieg</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### totals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>19</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>present</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percent yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.57576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Senate 2009-2010
3. Call to question
   a. Passed unanimously.

f. SA0910-071: Legislative Affairs Committee Bylaws
   i. Schauer/Kedrowski
   ii. Discussion
      1. Move to make the parliamentarian a non voting member
         a. Verhoeven/Schauer
         b. Call to question
            i. Approved.
      2. Move that Section II of article 4.
         a. Schmidt/Bennett
         b. This isn’t saying that leg affairs can’t do that at all.
            i. I feel that you have to do your job but the
               interpretation of the constitution goes to the
               judicial branch.
         c. This is providing leg affairs the power to interpret the
            constitution when that is the job of the judicial branch.
         d. An interpretation can not be provided by a committee.
            The interpretation is solely from judicial. Acting on the
            constitution is different.
         e. I am curious to see what Kahl thinks about this.
            i. The judicial branch is the one in charge of the
               interpretation.
         f. Call to question
            i. Approved
      3. Move to add under Article X Section 1 b) a. “the investigation
         will be conducted in closed session”
         a. Popp/Verhoeven
         b. Call to question
            i. Approved.

4. Call to question
   a. Passed unanimously

  g. SA0910-072: 2010-2011 Student Association Election Results
   i. Schauer/Heying
   ii. Discussion
      1. As there is a pending investigation I move to divide the CLS
         section.
         a. Schmidt/Lauderdale
         b. Can you let us know what is going on?
            i. There has been an appeal to the justices. There
               is an investigation on this portion. I want to
               table it until we hear that and we can approve
               this at a later point.
         c. How is this going to affect swearing in?
i. Ideally it would be taken care of before the next session.
ii. Seats would remain unfilled.
d. Approved, one abstention

2. Move to table the second portion until the results of the student court appeal are communicated
   a. Schmidt/Bradley
   b. Approved, one abstention

3. Motion to divide the question by category
   a. I will not hear that motion

4. Call to question
   a. Acclimation

XIV. Discussion
   a. SA0910-068: UW-L Student Senate Bylaws
      i. Schauer/Her
         1. Vacant seats section is in article II.
      ii. Discussion
         1. How would we go about constituents to appoint someone to a freshman or a graduate seat?
            a. It says “be filled by the appropriate constituency” so the VP would appoint someone from that constituency.
         2. I would change that section to reflect that GSO is different.
            a. That is in the constitution so that wouldn’t be changed unless we did a referendum.
         3. The hope is that the option that the chair has is to appoint someone to freshman or grad student position if they can’t fill it. Do we want to define that as something the chair does?
         4. I don’t really like that idea at all. If there is not a grad student or freshman to take that seat then they shouldn’t have representation. I don’t think that someone else outside those groups could represent them. Instead of representing a freshman or graduate it would be at large.
         5. Grad students are very busy and can’t find the time to represent themselves in SA. That doesn’t mean they don’t want to be represented. I feel they would be happy having someone represent them rather than no one.
         6. That’s fine, but if we are going to do that then we need to make sure that representative goes to their constituent’s meetings.
         7. This is a new system and we should let it ride for a year for the grad students and see how it goes.
         8. There would need to be some structure in place to make sure that representative is going to the meetings.
         9. Motion to close discussion
            a. Schmidt/Brown
               i. Closed
b. SA0910-073: Resolution Changing the Bylaws of the UW-L Student Association Elections to Establish Biographies on Online Ballots for Student Association Candidates
   i. Schmidt/Lauderdale
      1. This was talked about in our last meeting. This is going to have a small bio that is part of the election process, 50 words or less, that would be available to people when they are voting online. The rational is that elections turn into a name game and smart voting is a very important thing and this way everyone can get educated.
      2. I think the more information that we have, the better. I talked with some other individuals and they want to make sure the election commission can guide this. when you have the ability to put a bio on the ballot, we want to make sure that is factual. We have included some consequences if have fabricated the truth. If I was in SAH it would be very difficult to know 7 candidates well enough. Then we might have 14 or 15 people running for those spots. I think this would be incredibly helpful in educating future voters.
   ii. Discussion
      1. What made you decide on 50 words? Did you try to write one of these bios yourself?
         a. I kind of threw it out there. I wanted a number where people could include multiple involvements, and I didn’t want someone writing a huge paragraph. I want to keep it as limited as possible. I just want to have a brief bio.
      2. I think this is a great idea. I heard from people that I had talked to that they only voted for one or two people instead of all 7. I would suggest 80 words instead of 50.
      3. 50 words is too little. I think it should be 100. I don’t think that is very much.
      4. One of the reasons I really like this resolution is it’s free. It get’s to the heart of why you are electing the people, not because you have more chalk but because you are the better candidate.
      5. I would like the election commission to give a sample format so that they are not all different. Why 2/3rds to disqualify someone?
         a. We took that straight from the bylaws.
      6. I think the election commission needs to review the bios before they go out. We need to clarify what can be included in the bio. Maybe limiting it to previous experience so that we can clarify what can go up.
      7. Did you consider a list of set questions for the bio?
a. We wanted to keep it open ended because it allows the freedom for the candidates to say what they want to say. I don’t want any body forcing them to answer a certain set of questions; I want the bios to reflect who they are.

8. There is no structure to the biographies and I think that leaves it open to campaigning on the ballot. I would like to see something on the UWL website instead of on the website.

9. I would like it to be at least 150 words.

10. I like the idea of having the question and answer thing. 50 words isn’t enough. What if you had set questions where everyone answers, but at the bottom you could throw in any other information you want to add?

11. I understand the point on the questions, but everyone is still given the same opportunity. But I think it could be biased. There may be a freshman that might be a phenomenal senator but people won’t vote for him because he doesn’t have any past experience.

12. I really don’t like the bios being included on the ballot. You could include a link on the page. I feel there need to be guidelines but not questions. So that some things that shouldn’t be included aren’t included.

13. My idea of a questionnaire would be name, hometown, major and goals for senate. It can be as simple as that.

14. I like the idea of free space, but what about suggestions that people could pick from? That way the people that are inexperienced can choose different questions.

15. I don’t like the idea of the biographies being on the ballot.

16. Motion to close discussion
   a. Schmidt/Callaway
      i. Discussion closed
c. SA 0910:075 Approving Spring 2010 Green Fund
   i. Schmidt/Brown
   ii. Discussion
      1. LEDs are much more efficient and reduce heat waste. In the Galley they are having problems with the salads wasting because of the heat waste. They have a much longer life. They use less energy and it would save $2,970 dollars annually. University Centers committed to contributing the cost of regular bulbs. If this goes well there is a hope it will be brought to other buildings.
         a. What do they look like?
            i. The light is more similar to natural day light.
      2. Composting: want to establish composting at the Hillview greenhouse. It could brake down up to 400 lbs of food waste a week. It is the same distance away from our own composting
a. Is this a one time cost?
   i. Yes, it is for a big machine and worms.

3. REC Lights: this updating the lights in the field house to fluorescent lights and this will improve the quality. This will save $19,000 a year. It will pay for itself in four years. It is about a years worth of green fund money.
   a. Because it is such a large amount of money we brought up doing it in installments but that would mess it up.
   b. Why not LED lights?
      i. With the technology of LED lights they are not bright enough to light such a large building.

4. Showerheads: replacing the current showerheads in all of the res halls with low flow shower heads. They sent out a survey and the response from students was positive. It would reduce the water use by half. It would save millions of gallons of water.
   a. At White Hall, at first they were really good, but now they are just as bad as the old ones.
      i. In the past they did pilots with low flow heads and it didn’t work out, but the new ones will have a longer life.
   b. Do you know if they will have any consumer reviews? Because there are a couple of them that you cant even take a shower under because there is not enough water coming out.
      i. I assume they will continue a feedback system.
   c. Have you tried out the shower head for yourself?
      i. No.
   1. They suck. It takes twice as long to take a shower. I don’t know how many people answered that survey, but it makes me a bit nervous because I don’t feel like the students are being talked to about this. I am a bit hesitant about changing all of the shower heads.
   d. In the past there were issues with funding things in the res halls, why could this be approved?
      i. I think this is something that has obvious and quick changes. The savings are very apparent. It was also something that affects every single res hall. So it will affect a lot of students.
      1. Hearing that it could take twice as long to take a shower, what are the studies
that say it saves so much water? I am curious of the accuracy.

e. I lived in the res halls for three years. There are always issues with the showers. There are costs and benefits with any shower head. You will adjust and deal with it.

f. Motion to close discussion
   i. Schmidt/Kedrowski
      1. Discussion closed.

XV. Announcements
   a. Playing volleyball April 30th at 5:00 meet in the senate office
   b. Drag show 7pm Valhalla on Friday

XVI. Adjournment
   a. Heying/Kedrowski
      i. Meeting adjourned at 9:28pm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENATORS</th>
<th>College/Org.</th>
<th>ROLE CALL</th>
<th>ROLE CALL 2</th>
<th>ROLE CALL 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>Cody</td>
<td>RU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>excused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>Brock</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>excused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boll</td>
<td>Jake</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Adam</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Micah</td>
<td>BSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callaway</td>
<td>Chris</td>
<td>Off-Campus</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud</td>
<td>Andi</td>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruz</td>
<td>Sonia</td>
<td>LASO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dill</td>
<td>Kyle</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grabanski</td>
<td>Kaitie</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haase</td>
<td>Chelsea</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmer</td>
<td>Mike</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her</td>
<td>Dorothy</td>
<td>ASO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heying</td>
<td>Drew</td>
<td>RHAC</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedrowski</td>
<td>Erin</td>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knutson</td>
<td>Ben</td>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koplin</td>
<td>Tim</td>
<td>SOE</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale</td>
<td>Laura</td>
<td>SOE</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieder</td>
<td>Josh</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodahl</td>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattson</td>
<td>Sarah</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Riley</td>
<td>RHAC</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>Samantha</td>
<td>Off-Campus</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nell</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>SAPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td>Derek</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popp</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>RASO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radke</td>
<td>Olivia</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schauer</td>
<td>Andy</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td>Eric</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talhouarne</td>
<td>Gaelle</td>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbas</td>
<td>Cate</td>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verhoeven</td>
<td>Andy</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wermedal</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang</td>
<td>Bee</td>
<td>HOPE</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zahn</td>
<td>Matt</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwieg</td>
<td>Kara</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Present | 30 | 31 | 33 |
| Total members | 36 | 36 | 36 |

| Percent Present | 83% | 86% | 92% |