Student Senate Agenda
Date: December 2nd, 2009
Time and Location: 6:00 PM; Port O’ Call; Cartwright Center

I. Call to Order
   a. 6:00 PM

II. Pledge of Allegiance

III. Roll Call

IV. Approval of Agenda
   a. Schauer
      i. Move to add Rourke Decker to guests speakers
      ii. Move to add SA 0910: 030 to Discussion
         1. Agenda approved.

V. Approval of Minutes
   a. Zweig/Heying
      i. Minutes approved.

VI. Election of a President Pro Tempore
   a. Nominate Steve Trimborn
      i. Declines
   b. Nominate Senator Schauer
      i. Accepts
   c. Nominate Senator Urbas
      i. Declines
   d. Nominate Senator Hastings
      i. Declines
   e. Senator Schauer elected President Pro Tempore

VII. Guest Speakers
    a. Jason Meyer, USA Today Newspaper Program
       i. We came to talk about the College Readerships Program and a pilot
          that was run here on campus a year ago. It has been up in the air if you
          would run with the program or if you would not like to. I apologize for
          those senators that have been through this before.
       ii. It is good to be back. The pilot was a four week pilot with 3
            newspapers to test the waters. The purpose of it was to reach out to the
            students who don’t live in the res halls. The students picked up an
            average of 549 newspapers. We did keep the res hall program as it has
            always gone. That consumption stayed the same. During that pilot
            period we surveyed on campus twice. We found out that 73% of the
            students we surveyed believed it was important to keep current with
            the news. 73% believed newspapers are important to extremely
important to their education. The percentage of students who didn’t read at all decreased. Students who read occasionally read more. It is important to note that this is not a subscription program; you only pay for papers consumed. With 771 newspapers consumed it breaks down to $4 per student per year. Total more than $42,000.

iii. We have this program at other schools, they have combined res hall and student government funding. One of the things we are looking at is not having one org shouldering the budget. We do have other campuses that have a couple of contributing factors for that budget.

iv. There is a pass along rate, more than one person is reading a single copy. For USA Today there are around 3.3 readers per copy. 65% of students said they picked up a copy that was just lying around. 41.5% got it from a friend. So there could be upwards of around 2,000 people that could be benefiting from this program. 52% of students they would be willing to pay $4 to $7 for the program. We understand student fees seem to go up every year and that is why we are willing to work with more than one organization.

v. Questions
1. Could you bring in the Wall Street Journal?
   a. We could not. it requires a subscription bases. Their company thought they would lose too much money.
   We can get the Financial Times. We do have it in a couple of programs.
2. You came to us last year. The resolution was voted down in the body. Is there a way we could do a pilot study again? This information is kind of old.
   a. Yes, we recognize that the information is a year and a half old. We probably wouldn’t need four weeks again.
   We would set up the free newspapers and surveys. You have the power over the survey.
3. You didn’t do the survey?
   a. We administered it and it was sent away to an outside party.
4. Who did you survey?
   a. It was anonymous and it was people throughout campus. We tried to stay around the pilot locations to try to get the same students.
5. How is the program being funded right now?
   a. Through res halls fees. There is no charge for the pilot.
6. Why was the State Journal chosen over others?
   a. They decided they wanted to use the program the res halls was using and they knew they could change it later.
   b. You are not committed to the pilot papers. You can change it.
7. Since you came last year and the student body decided not to go with it, has there been a significant change in what you are proposing?
   a. No, we came back because last year’s vote was pretty close and we wanted to allow you guys to look at the numbers and make a decision based on that.
   b. Our perception is that students thought it was a great program, but student government didn’t want to pay the fee.
   c. It only failed by one vote.

8. We have international students on this campus; it is possible to get the international Tribune?
   a. We can ask.

9. Last year there was an additional cost for the New York Times, is that still the same?
   a. The Times is an expensive paper. Their discounts are reflected that way. Discounted education rates are the same rates no matter where you go.

10. Can you customize what areas receive certain newspapers?
    a. That is an option. We bring in electronic reader racks that are battery operated. They open off of your card. Faculty and administration do not have access. The racks are customizable. We work with groups to customize the racks. We manage it because we can have one delivery system for all the newspapers. We have the ability to put different newspapers in different areas. We are very sensitive about being green.

11. We found that the student ids wouldn’t work is that still the same?
    a. We had a hard time finding a string of numbers to work on the reader. I was making headway with it and then it was voted down. We will make it work, it just takes some time and we ran out of time last year.

b. Debbie Veglahn and Carlena Goddeau, Textbook Rental
   i. Want to update you on some of the changes. We have a huge bar code project happening. We have to change the old software system because it is dying. We evaluated some software solutions and we came up with a library program. It is a web based application. It is Windows based. We want to make it easier for students to find their books. We talked to different rental programs. We are going to change the way text books are laid out to a numeric system. So when you get your list it will list the books by number. With this change there is a lot of work that needs to be done down there. All the books need to have individual bar codes put on the books. Our goal is to have all the books in rental bar coded before the end of the semester. We want to get books that are checked out in early to get them bar coded. We have
ii. We wanted to share with you the things that we are working on to try to get things organized. We are working with departments and faculty to clear out books that aren’t being used. We are excited about the new system because it will make it better for students to get in and out faster. It is just a lot of work to get there.

iii. Questions
   1. The $200 prize, is that just preliminary?
      a. We are moving forth with it, it was approved today. We would want a student org name on the slip, it would be per student.
   2. How soon would you be implementing that?
      a. Next Monday would be our goal.
   3. Are we doing the last names system to come in?
      a. Ideally I would have liked to split it up, but we only have two weeks. Follet is providing more people to help us through the process.
   4. So you won’t have to take student IDs to return books? Would you know if there are still books out for that student?
      a. We would have to scan your ID and look it up. If there is a student who has a question about that they can ask us to swipe their ID.

c. Kati Fanning, Germany Research Study
   i. There is an agreement signed between our institution and the one in Germany. We have experienced a lot of miscommunication. Derek and Eric are over there right now. The point is to bring back something to you. We want feedback as to what you would like to see. We would value your input. We are looking at finding out how they mobilize their students for mass demonstrations and protests.
   ii. Recently they started paying tuition in Germany. The students are now bearing part of the costs of their education. They had mass protests. That is not uncommon. Right now there is a big strike going on and they are denying people access to their college buildings to protest tuition increases. Students here accept that tuition increases as
inevitable. They don’t. We want to figure out how they mobilize students. What is the difference in their student climate that is different from ours? UWL is known as a socially and politically active campus. But we don’t work in big massive uprisings. We have a lot of small coordinating efforts. But we don’t have large unilateral groups. Does it have to do with their student governments? Is that a model we want to approach? Do we want to have student strikes? Is it just a cultural difference or is that something that we would want to move towards?

What interests you as a student government?

iii. Questions

1. I think that is a very interesting program to explore.
2. I think that is a big sociology issues and I would like to hear if it is the culture in general or is it just the student body? I would be very interested to see what you find.
   a. It is not strictly a student phenomenon. The trains had strikes, there were hospital strikes. When it comes to their quality of life, they consider it a human rights issue.
3. We have a really active shared governance in Wisconsin. Is that the same in Germany? We have a system here, is it similar to the German structure? I would be enraged too if I was just throwing money into a pot.
   a. The administration’s hands are tied because the government pays for 90%. But the students are lashing out at the administration.
   b. Part of the reason that there is less of a warm relationship is because they have a very traditional relationship role. Their student government is very much alike, only theirs is a parliamentary system. We don’t know how they evaluate their effectiveness.
4. I don’t think we are looking for answers now. I would like to see a report of the knowledge that you gained there.
   a. That is our ultimate goal.
5. I think that is a very interesting idea. I think it would be interesting to study the effectiveness of the systems in America that have protested tuition hikes.
   a. If we could have people facilitate interviews with people in UC that would be helpful.
6. What do you have planned out right now?
   a. We have about a week before they get into session. Their student elections take place on the 25th of January and we are thinking we would stay and see that process through.
7. I think it is interesting how there was such a big push against the tuition increase. I would like to see what their money is used for, what kind of student programs they have.
8. I would be interested in hearing how the faculty and students deal with the problems that UWL deals with. Like H1N1. Things like SEIs. Or technology and safety on their campus. I think that using that trip to provide perspectives on issues that we are currently dealing with would be great.
   a. The goal is to facilitate a permanent setting to facilitate this.
   b. We want to meet with students, faculty, and administration. We don’t know when their student senate meets but we are hoping to attend some sessions and some faculty meetings.

VIII. Officer Reports
   a. President
   b. Vice-President
      i. Eric is in Germany right now. He is having a good time, he is really busy. On the SA web page there is a link so that you can see what he had been doing.
      ii. Last week office hours were optional but from now on it is required.
      iii. Board of Regents meeting on December 11th leaving around 6 AM and getting back before 5 PM. (Senators Urbas and Brown interested).
   c. Chief Financial Officer
   d. Shared Governance Director
   e. Legislative Issues Director
      i. Met with Larry to talk about a budget for Leg Con.
      ii. I am meeting with all the directors and having them evaluate their semester. I would also like to hear from you on how you think the board has done.
   f. Others
      i. PR
         1. We are only 42 away from our facebook goal.
         2. If there is anything you would like me to improve on please let me know.
      ii. Environmental
         1. I have some words of wisdom from a good childhood friend of mine Captain Planet. He told me the “the power is yours”. Think about it.
      iii. City Affairs
         1. Next week I will be doing the drawing for the Burkhart challenge. If you are going to the City Council meeting let me know so that I can put you in the drawing.
         2. There will be an article in the Racquet about the campus circulator. I did put a booklet in the office. Make sure to take a look at that.
         3. These open forums are a good way for you to listen to your constituents. Make sure you are going to those.
   iv. Gender Issues
1. The healthy relationships campaign is going really well.

IX. RHAC Report
   a. This Friday is Winter Formal from 8 to midnight at the La Crosse Center. You can get tickets at the door. Drew will have nice clothes on for most of the night.
   b. NCC elections is next Thursday.

X. Advisor Reports
   a. Brianne
      i. I will be going to the email forum on Monday.

XI. Committee Reports
   a. ITS Task force
      i. We had a conference call about switching to Gmail and we did come up with a few issues. We want to look into more options. The email survey has gone out. Please fill it out. There are three open forums. Two on December 4th at noon and 3:30 and December 7th at noon in this room.
   b. SUFAC is reviewing all budgets next Monday. We are waiting on Green Fund Money requests.
   c. Faculty Senate meeting tomorrow.
   d. JPB met today to talk about the Educational Attainment report. I will print it out and put it in the senate office. UWL might get a nursing program. Possibly within the next 3 to 4 years.
   e. Education
      i. Making requirements of certain courses to be completed before students reach 60 credits. CST 110, English, and a math course. They want to implement it in Fall 2010 and adding a box about it in the advisement report.
   f. Leg Affairs submitted our findings.
   g. Cultural Affairs finalized some budgets.
   h. Athletics met today to look at the budgets and prioritized our budgets.
   i. Search and Screen will be bringing candidates in.

XII. Unfinished Business

XIII. New Business
   a. SA 0910-025: Resolution Expressing Gratitude for UW-L Faculty
      i. Zweig/Bradley
         1. this is just to thank all the faculty that let us come in to do class raps
      ii. Discussion
         1. Call to question
            a. acclamation
               i. Passed.

XIV. Discussion
   a. SA 0910-026: Resolution on Appointing UWLSA Court Justices
      i. Zweig/Radke
         1. This is for the court justices. There are 5 of them. Martins a returning justice so she will serve as the chief justice. One
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thing that has been brought up is that DeVries is also on the election commission. Anyone who we put in this situation there may be a situation that they have a connection to. A justice should be able to separate themselves from the issue or abstain.

ii. Discussion

1. What the justices do?
   a. They interpret our constitution, if there is suit brought up against an org, and they serve as the non academic tribunal. Before that was a separate group but we combined them.

2. Move to close to discussion
   a. Discussion closed.

b. SA 0910-027: Resolution Renewing the Partnership with Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany and Expanding Partnership to Fachhochschule Frankfurt am Main University of Applied Sciences, Frankfurt, Germany

i. Lodahl/Zweig

1. In 2007 we passed a partnership with the university in Frankfurt. This would renew the partnership and extend it to another school. Eric went to both those universities and is remaining in contact with them.

ii. Discussion

1. I really like the idea of the partnership. I don’t want us to bite off more than we can chew. I want to make sure we can sustain and use fully. I want to make sure they are both full forced, well used resources.

2. The last scholarship we signed there was an incident. Are we applying a scholarship?
   a. We have 250 Euros a month because of this partnership. Last I heard, Eric was looking into the same thing for the other school. It is provided by their student government.

3. Would it be possible to look at this after we get the information from the two representatives that are going?
   a. The reason that Eric wanted to pass this now is because they want the partnership and that way Katie and Rourke could take the resolution with them and they could sign it.

4. Are these sister schools like us and Madison or are they completely different?
   a. We can find that out.

5. Motion to close discussion
   a. Schauer/Popp
      i. Discussion closed.

c. SA 0910-028: Resolution Saving Safety on Our Sidewalks

i. Koplin/Grabanski
1. As many of you know the university used to have a program called Safety on Our Sidewalks to walk students home so that they wouldn’t have to walk alone at night. The program ended because the funding ended. We want to pick this up and find different orgs on campus that would be willing to volunteer. Its requesting a one shot for name tags so that you could identify the individuals. We are hoping that this is done at the Murphy Library location.

ii. Discussion
1. In giving tours a lot of times this comes up. A lot of times parents ask if we have an escort program or how students get around safely on campus. I feel that putting this through would be great.
2. I know it was well talked about, but how well was this program used?
   a. From what I heard it wasn’t the most used program. There were two locations so I think by having one location it will be used more. Also parking rules have changed so I think there will be more people walking. I also think that it wasn’t publicized very well so that will help increase the number of students who would use this.
3. As an art major I found that there are a lot of times that you are forced to be in the building late at night. I don’t always feel safe walking back and there has been a huge increase followings... less safe on campus.
4. I am in support of bringing this back, but I feel that there has to be more changes. If you bring it back the same way, when we had it before it wasn’t utilized. Just making sure students are aware.
5. I don’t know if it is relevant to how many people used it because it is volunteer based. If you even prevented one attack or one incident from happening it is well worth it.
6. How do you feel as having this as part of your position?
   a. I really like this because I would like one initiative to be here on campus as well. It gives me a program to manage here on campus. It will be a lot of pre work during the summer and winter months to make sure all the dates are organized. I don’t think it will be a huge time commitment to get the orgs to help out. The only days that will be much of a time commitment are Thursdays because we will be holding that day for orgs that don’t want to commit weekly.
7. I really like this resolution. I have heard a lot of people say they wish that SOS was here now that it is gone. I would love...
to volunteer as part of senate, but I would like to hear from the rest of you.

8. I really like this. How is this going to work if it is only in Murphy Library?
   a. The reason Murphy was selected is because it is a central location on campus and it is not a far walk from anywhere on campus. And it is the building that has the most usage late at night.

9. How far do you walk?
   a. I plan on using the same distance they used previously. They would go up to 9th Street, Lousey, and La Crosse Street. I plan on doing it similarly to what they did in the past.

10. I would love to volunteer for this, but to have senate volunteer to this, I don’t want to be high and mighty. I don’t think we need to volunteer one day a week.

11. Can anyone volunteer to be a walker? And it could create a bad situation. That creates the perfect stalker situation.
   a. You would always walk with two or three volunteers. Orgs would generate the individuals that would volunteer. There will be a record of who took the badges and who is volunteering.

12. Would it be possible to have a phone for this service?
   a. Right now I did not put that in because I did not know if that was something that we wanted to pay for.
      i. There could be an agreement with the Library that they could be called and they would notify SOS.

13. I thought of the idea of a tandem bicycle, it could be a really great publicity thing.
   a. Doing it during passing periods.

14. One thing to consider is that we do have a safety committee at the moment. That committee would review how many people use it and staffing. The issue about senate serving, everyone who volunteers would be called a sidewalk hero. I think it would be great if everyone could make an effort at least once.

15. The old website says you could call a number and two volunteers could come get you. I think that would be great.

16. I think it would be cool to give an org that puts in the most hours give them something. I like the idea of calling the library.
   a. In regards to calling the library, the phone number is behind the circulation desk and that would require the employee to walk out to the lobby. There is a phone in the lobby area, I don’t know if it has a number. I could explore that.
17. It sounds like a lot people are for the phone idea, I will see if I could add that on to the res hall plan. I could see if I could get funding or look into a track phone. I will come back with those ideas.

18. Aren’t there already walkie talkies from the old SOS? so we could put a walkie at the circulation desk so that when they get a call they can let the lobby know.

19. Motion to close discussion
   a. Popp/Hastings
      i. Discussion closed.

   d. SA 0910-029: Legislative Affairs Committee Findings on the Impeachment Changes Against Senator Eric Schmidt
      i. Schauer/Bradley

   1. On November 16th the committee received a petition for impeachment from Thiel to impeach Schmidt. The committee did not take the petition lightly. There was no process as it is fairly rare. A plan was put in place. On Monday we received the letter and by that afternoon I met with Karly and Ryan VanLoo to go over a procedure. We met Tuesday night and Wednesday morning. We heard from Thiel, Schmidt, and Zaan. On Tuesday the committee received the response letter and we met that night to discuss the letter. On Wednesday the committee met again in the morning to hear statements and discuss the issue and reviewing the testimony. A motion to impeach Schmidt was made and it failed. I notified Karly with the results and notified Thiel and Schmidt. The committee met last Sunday to discuss sanctions and produce a formal document. Some clarifications were made to the document and no objections were made. The committee found that the charge of 4.106 was too ambiguous to warrant an impeachment, same thing goes for the abuse of power. 4.205 breach, it was there that the committee found a violation. Some of the guest speakers spoke from ATP. the committee chose to move forward with admonishment because the committee found the violation was not one that warranted impeachment. However, the committee still found there to be a breach in 4.205. the word admonishment was chosen because of it’s disapproving tone yet lacks any real punishment. It is to scold in a mild manner. The resolution was drafted on Sunday and was passed unanimously.

   2. In regards to bylaw 4.205 it states senators should conduct themselves in a respectful fashion. We talked about the appropriateness of the questions and the manner in which the accused questioned the guest speakers and if it made them feel disrespected. It is difficult to prove whether it was disrespectful. The committee did find it helpful to look at the
minutes. They found this helpful in assessing the nature of the questions
3. We found he was inappropriate because it was related to what the guest speakers came to talk about. It disregarded what the guest speaker came to talk about.
4. I would like to say that as a member of the committee we really did deal with this as professionally as we could.

ii. Discussion
1. You unanimously approved this, was every committee member there?
   a. Not everyone was there.
2. I am in support of this document, I felt the impeachment charges were over the top. But I did talk to others that where at the meeting and they were embarrassed by it. I was embarrassed by it. We shouldn’t feel that way with what is going on in this room. Saying we are not proud of what happened is a good step.
3. I’d like to bring up a concern that as this resolution stands, the way it is written, you can agree whether impeachment should be done or whether admonishment should be done. I motion that this is sent back to committee with two different resolutions.
   a. Schauer/Popp
      i. Did the committee talk about putting it in two resolutions?
         1. We did discuss that and it was the consensus of the committee that it weakened the document and it wasn’t necessary.
         2. Next week the question could be split.
            a. You would have to strike the last clause from the resolution and introduce it as a separate resolution. You can’t divide the resolution by dividing the question. You would instead have to amend it.
      ii. I know there are constituents out there that feel that this is a double edged sword. We are voting on two things here with one vote.
      iii. Would it speed up the process if we introduced a new resolution and had it in new business next week?
         1. to remove that the committee would have to submit that. that is the only way it could be resubmitted.
a. Then I also support this going back to committee.

iv. Isn’t he not impeached? Wasn’t that decided by the committee? So why would there be a resolution on that?
   1. because it is in the constitution and we have to agree with that.

v. We have to vote whether we agree or disagree with the findings. So we have to agree with their decision and their decision is that he should have this admonishment. So if someone didn’t agree with the admonishment and voted no then that looks like senate would want him impeached.

vi. One of my constituents was very adamant in having this resolution split. It just makes it clearer as to what this body wants.

vii. If this gets sent back, my recommendation is that everything that was said about why the senator should be admonished, that should be put in the whereas clause to make it more clear.

viii. I would refer to the committee about that.

ix. The committee wouldn’t site things that were said in closed discussion

x. We decided to keep it all in one document because it would possibly weaken one document. This is a resolution about our findings, so it makes sense to have one resolution.

xi. I feel these two ideas should be split. My constituents feel the same way.

xii. When I was watching this, I thought it was a dynamic discussion about a really charged issue. I didn’t feel embarrassed by it. I think everyone has to remember that politics is fluid and you have to be ready to answer questions.

xiii. If we split the question, if senate approves one and doesn’t approve the other, what happens?
   1. That’s perfectly fine, as long as we make a decision on both halves.

xiv. Move to amend the motion to add that the committee should bring this back next week.
   1. Schauer/Nelson
      a. Call to question
Amendment to the motion passed, three abstentions.

Call to question
1. Passed, four abstentions

e. SA 0910-030
   i. Schauer/Popp
      1. This is a resolution that urges any unallocated money in technology fee be used to credit student’s accounting for printing. Printing is still an issue and students want to see some relief there. It would be great to have this help us if this money isn’t being used for anything else.
   
   ii. Discussion
      1. Is the money being used at all on anything?
         a. I tried to get the answer but I have yet to receive that answer so hopefully this speeds things up.
         b. We have been trying to convene the committee and haven’t gotten a response.
      2. I really like this idea. Is it feasible?
         a. It was feasible to credit every student with 60 cents, so it would be feasible to credit them with more money.
      3. One thing this resolution urges is that the money can transfer from year to year. It also urges that the money left in the accounts of graduating students be returned to the technology fee account.
      4. We are still aiming to get the funds used for something. We don’t want to see these student dollars get absorbed again.
      5. Move to close discussion
         a. Popp/Schmidt
            i. Discussion closed.

XV. Announcements
   a. Thank you for putting up with me today and being patient.
   b. We will not be meeting on the 16th, that is the day before finals. The only reason we would need to meet is if the impeachment process is not finished. Other than the directors you will be getting the invitation for the chancellor’s open house in Cleary.
   c. Good job Micah on your first full meeting, you did a great job.
   d. Cellar Thursdays. This Thursday we have a comedian, songwriter, entertainer at 7pm.
   e. Greek Life bell at the Clocktower.
   f. The senior art exhibition opens this Friday. They will be talking about their work. Free food and cash bar.

XVI. Adjournment
   a. Schmidt/Popp
      i. Meeting adjourned at 8:46 PM.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENATORS</th>
<th>College/Org.</th>
<th>ROLE CALL</th>
<th>ROLE CALL 2</th>
<th>ROLE CALL 3</th>
<th>ROLE CALL 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arends</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>BSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callaway</td>
<td>Off-Campus</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruz</td>
<td>LASO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dill</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings</td>
<td>RU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heimerl</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her</td>
<td>ASO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heying</td>
<td>RHAC</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedrowski</td>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knutson</td>
<td>Ben</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koplin</td>
<td>SOE</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale</td>
<td>SOE</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieder</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodahl</td>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattson</td>
<td>Sarah</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>RASO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>Off-Campus</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nell</td>
<td>SAPA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popp</td>
<td>RASO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radke</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schauer</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talhouarne</td>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimborn</td>
<td>At-Large</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbas</td>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verhoeven</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wermedal</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiong</td>
<td>HOPE</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwieg</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Present   | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 |
| Total     | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Percent Present | 80% | 80% | 80% | 83% |
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