EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REVIEW MEETING / APRIL 15, 2015

MEETING START TIME: 9:00 a.m.
MEETING END TIME: 12:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beth Alderman</td>
<td>Division of Facilities Development</td>
<td>Val Schute</td>
<td>River Architects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Hetzel</td>
<td>UW-La Crosse</td>
<td>Mike Adler</td>
<td>River Architects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Macpherson</td>
<td>UW-La Crosse</td>
<td>Tony LoBello</td>
<td>SmithGroupJJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Pearson</td>
<td>UW-La Crosse</td>
<td>David Johnson</td>
<td>SmithGroupJJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Riley</td>
<td>UW-La Crosse</td>
<td>Jeff Kocinski</td>
<td>SmithGroupJJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Hoar</td>
<td>UW-La Crosse</td>
<td>Andrew Cherry</td>
<td>SmithGroupJJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coty Sandberg</td>
<td>SmithGroupJJR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:

1. Program Schedule Review:
   a. Upcoming meetings tentatively scheduled are as follows:
      - Executive Committee Review Meeting to be held April 30, 2015.
      - Design Development Review Meeting No. 2 to be held May 7, 2015.
      - Executive Committee Review Meeting to be held May 21, 2015.
      - Design Development Review Meeting No. 3 to be held May 28, 2015.
   b. The critical milestone dates were reviewed and discussed. The following items were discussed:
      - Board of Regents Approval: July 9, 2015.
   c. Beth Alderman commented that the budget presented in the Design Report is set and cannot be increased. The construction cost estimate presented in the Design Report is final. Any necessary revisions will need to be handled by the AE team prior to documents being released for bidding.
   d. Maura Donnelly commented that verification is needed that the current budget includes UW-L’s funding of LEED certification since DFD does not fund this item.

2. Project Cost Review:
   a. The following cost reduction strategies are being implemented into the project:
      - Eliminating the corridor ceilings
      - Providing rubber flooring at the corridors
      - Corridor wall protection
      - Structural floor system
      - Eliminate second passenger elevator
      - Remove second set of lab doors where possible
      - Wood feature walls
      - Railing types
      - Removal of level 5 finish
• Reduce height of restroom wall tile
• Remove or reduce interior transom glass – committee recommends retaining where possible
• Revise stair finish
• Laboratory “loft” theme
• Additional unfinished basement space for storage requested by UW-L if possible
  ▪ Maura Donnelly commented that there may be strategies (i.e. informational bids) in lieu of add-alternates to address this if bids are favorable.
  ▪ Design Team to assess cost impact.
  ▪ Design Team to provide summary of program vs design of storage spaces.

3. A list of items that need further discussion or direction were reviewed and discussed. The following items were noted:
   a. Researchers currently located in the Health Science Center need further discussion. The Executive Committee noted that this will not impact the design of project. A meeting will be held to review the existing space allocations in HSC and compare them to the new building.
   b. Biochemistry Lab/Shell Space: Bob Hetzel advised that this space does not fit into the budget and will have to be outfitted at a later date using classroom renovation funds.
   c. Maura Donnelly commented that these discussions need to be handled by UW-L administration before they are brought to the Design Team.

4. Interior Design Review:
   a. It was noted by the Design Team that the maroon color depicted in the images was used as a placeholder to identify proposed areas of accent colors.
   b. Maura Donnelly expressed concern about using dark colors. When damage occurs, the imperfection is difficult to mask until it is repaired.
   c. The Executive Committee prefers the concealed column design. Maura Donnelly prefers the exposed column expression.
   d. Maura Donnelly expressed concern with stained concrete floors. She has seen ground concrete floors done successfully.
   e. Bob Hetzel noted a maintenance concern with the color coded ceiling expression.
   f. Executive Committee approved the proposed lighting solution in the corridors as linear wall lights.
   g. Maura Donnelly recommends ceiling clouds be used in lobby areas.
   h. Painted doors not recommended by the Executive Committee. Recommendation to use wood doors, aluminum frames, and wood or light colored wall at the door.
   i. Executive Committee recommended not using full height whiteboards.

5. Exterior Design Review:
   a. Bob Hetzel expressed a concern regarding the south entrance doors and recommended sidelights be added. Val Schute commented that the windows above align with the door jambs and would not recommend adding to the entrance doors.
   b. Maura Donnelly asked if the concerns expressed at the DFD Peer Review are being addressed in terms of the amount of glazing at the north and south corridors. Maura added that she recalled the window sill was to be different from the north to the south at these corridors.
   c. Bob Hetzel expressed concern regarding the stone sills and lintels at the windows.
6. Additional discussion took place after the meeting. The following items were noted:
   a. Card access to be hard wired at all building entrances. Wireless card access to be provided at teaching and research labs. Design Team to evaluate.
   b. Vending machines to be located in the bump-out along the northeast corridor at all levels.

Meeting Notes by: River Architects and SmithGroupJJR

This constitutes our understanding of the issues presented. Contact River Architects, Inc. via phone at (608) 785-2217, or e-mail m.adler@river-architects.com if there are any discrepancies.